

Isomorphisms between L_p -Function Spaces when $p < 1$

N. J. KALTON*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri,
Columbia, Missouri 65211*

Communicated by the Editors

Received August 30, 1980; revised February 24, 1981

We prove a number of results concerning isomorphisms between spaces of the type $L_p(X)$, where X is a separable p -Banach space and $0 < p < 1$. Our results imply that the quotient of $L_p([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ by the subspace of functions depending only on the first variable is not isomorphic to L_p , answering a question of N. T. Peck. More generally if \mathcal{B}_0 is a sub- σ -algebra of the Borel sets of $[0, 1]$, then $L_p([0, 1])/L_p([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_0)$ is isomorphic to L_p if and only if $L_p([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_0)$ is complemented. We also show that L_p has, up to isomorphism, at most one complemented subspace non-isomorphic to L_p and classify completely those spaces X for which $L_p(X) \cong L_p$. In particular if $\mathcal{L}(L_p, X) = \{0\}$ and $L_p(X) \cong L_p$ then $X \cong l_p$ or is finite-dimensional. If X has trivial dual and $L_p(X) \cong L_p$ then $X \cong L_p$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A quasi-Banach space X is an F -space on which the topology is given by a quasi-norm $x \mapsto \|x\|$, which satisfies

$$\|x\| > 0 \quad x \neq 0, \quad x \in X, \quad (1.0.1)$$

$$\|tx\| = |t| \|x\| \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in X, \quad (1.0.2)$$

$$\|x + y\| \leq k(\|x\| + \|y\|) \quad x, y \in X, \quad (1.0.3)$$

where k is a constant independent of x and y . If, in addition, for some $p > 0$, we have

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p \quad x, y \in X, \quad (1.0.4)$$

then X is a p -Banach space. If X can be equivalently re-normed to be a p -Banach space then X is said to be p -convex.

* Research supported in part by NSF Grant MCS-8001852.

For any quasi-Banach space X we define $l_p(X)$ ($0 < p < \infty$) to be the space of sequences (x_n) with $x_n \in X$ such that

$$\|(x_n)\| = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad (1.0.5)$$

$l_p(X)$ is a quasi-Banach space, which is a p -Banach space when X is a p -Banach space (when $0 < p \leq 1$). Also we define $L_p(X)$ to be the space of Borel maps $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f\| = \left\{ \int_0^1 \|f(s)\|^p ds \right\}^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (1.0.6)$$

Identifying, as usual, functions equal a.e., $L_p(X)$ is also a quasi-Banach space which is a p -Banach space when $0 < p \leq 1$. We remark here that $[0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure can be replaced with any Polish space with a diffuse probability measure, but for the purposes of the introduction, the above definition suffices.

If X and Y are two quasi-Banach spaces then $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the space of all operators $T: X \rightarrow Y$ with quasi-norm

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\|.$$

If $X = Y$ we abbreviate this to $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

In the remainder of the introduction we sketch some of the problems which motivated this research and then summarise our results.

This paper arises out of problems suggested by [6]. In [7] it was shown that if H is the subspace of $L_p([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ consisting of all functions depending only on the second variable, then H is uncomplemented in $L_p([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ when $0 < p < 1$. (The case $p = 0$ had earlier been proved by Berg *et al.* [2].) A natural question (suggested by Peck) is:

PROBLEM 1.1. *Is $L_p([0, 1] \times [0, 1])/H \cong L_p$?*

Of course if $1 \leq p < \infty$, H is complemented and the answer to Problem 1.1 is yes. A number of problems we consider are illuminated by comparison with the case $p = 1$ in particular, and in that case one can prove easily the following theorem (basically due to Lindenstrauss [10]).

THEOREM 1.2. *If X is a Banach space and N is a closed subspace such that $N \cong L_1$ and $X/N \cong L_1$ (or is even an \mathcal{L}_1 -space), then N is complemented in X .*

This suggests a companion problem to Problem 1.1.

PROBLEM 1.3. *If X is a p -Banach space ($0 < p < 1$) and N is a closed subspace of X isomorphic to L_p such that $X/N \cong L_p$, is N complemented in X ? What about the case $X = L_p$?*

Also Theorem 1.2 suggested an approach to Problem 1.1 by examining whether $L_p([0, 1]^2)/H$ is an \mathcal{L}_p -space when $0 < p < 1$. We intend to develop the theory of \mathcal{L}_p -spaces when $p < 1$ in a separate paper. For the purposes of resolving 1.1, however, the approach proved to be a failure, since $L_p([0, 1]^2)/H$ is an \mathcal{L}_p -space (and therefore could be isomorphic to L_p).

An alternative approach is to treat $L_p([0, 1]^2)/H$ as the space $L_p(L_p/1)$, where $L_p/1$ is the quotient of L_p by a subspace of dimension one [8]. As shown in [8], $L_p/1 \not\cong L_p$, and clearly one would wish to somehow exploit this non-isomorphism. Thus we state a new problem:

PROBLEM 1.4. *Characterize those p -Banach spaces such that $L_p(X) \cong L_p$.*

or more generally:

PROBLEM 1.5. *If X and Y are two p -Banach spaces such that $L_p(X) \cong L_p(Y)$ what can one say about X and Y ?*

Again comparison with the $p = 1$ is in order. If $p = 1$ there is a natural projection from $L_1(X)$ onto a subspace isomorphic to X , namely,

$$Pf(s) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

From this and the Pelczynski decomposition technique one quickly gets that $L_1(X) \cong L_1$ if and only if X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of L_1 . The problem of characterizing such X is still open. It is known (the Lewis–Stegall theorem [9, 14]) that if X is infinite-dimensional and has the Radon–Nikodym property then $X \cong l_1$, and it is still open whether, in general, $X \cong l_1$ or $X \cong L_1$. In Problem 1.5 one can conclude X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_1(Y)$ and Y to a complemented subspace of $L_1(X)$, and little else.

For $0 < p < 1$, the absence of such a projection radically changes the problem. Clearly $L_p(l_p) = L_p$ but l_p is not isomorphic to a complemented subspace of L_p (or even more simply $L_p(\mathbb{R}) \cong L_p$). Clearly one must also consider:

PROBLEM 1.6. *Is L_p prime when $0 < p < 1$? (if X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of L_p is $X \cong L_p$?).*

We now sketch the main results of this paper and how they affect Problems 1.1–1.6.

Sections 2–4 are preparatory; we gather in many more or less elementary lemmas and definitions which are required later. Our first major result is Theorem 5.2 which gives a representation theorem for operators $T: L_p \rightarrow L_p(X)$, where X is a p -Banach space, generalizing the scalar case proved in [7]. We use Theorem 5.2 to then establish a lifting theorem (5.3) which yields the conclusion that if X is a p -Banach space and N is a closed subspace of X which is q -convex for some $q > p$ (e.g., if $\dim N < \infty$), then $L_p(X/N) \cong L_p$ implies $L_p(N)$ is complemented in $L_p(X)$ (in its natural embedding). Combined with the fact from [7] (also proved in more generality in Section 6) that $H = L_p(\mathbb{R})$ is uncomplemented in $L_p([0, 1]^2) \cong L_p(L_p)$ we can deduce that the answer to Problem 1.1 is no (Corollary 5.4), i.e., $L_p([0, 1]^2)/H \not\cong L_p$. This is not the only proof of this fact given in the paper, however.

In Section 6 we consider operators $T: L_p(X) \rightarrow L_p(Y)$, where X and Y are two separable p -Banach spaces. We introduce the notion of diagonal maps and use them to show that if X is a subspace of Y and $L_p(X)$ is complemented in $L_p(Y)$ (in its natural embedding) then X is complemented in Y (this proof is valid for $0 < p \leq 1$). Of course this gives another proof that H is uncomplemented in $L_p([0, 1]^2)$.

Our main result in Section 7 is Theorem 7.3. Here we consider the quotient $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$ of $L_p([0, 1])$ ($0 < p < 1$) by a closed subspace $L_p([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_0)$, where \mathcal{B}_0 is some sub- σ -algebra of the Borel sets. In [6] we gave a complete characterization of those \mathcal{B}_0 for which this subspace is complemented. Here we show that $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0) \cong L_p$ implies that $L_p([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_0)$ is complemented, generalizing Corollary 5.4. On the other hand, $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$ always contains a complemented copy of L_p . We contrast this with Example 8.7, where we construct a proper subspace of L_p , N , say, so that $N \cong L_p$ but L_p/N contains no complemented copy of L_p . Thus N cannot be moved by any automorphism into a space $L_p([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_0)$. This is somewhat akin to the recent result of Bourgain [3] that L_1 contains an uncomplemented subspace isomorphic to L_1 .

In Section 8 we prove our main results. Here the critical assumption is that a p -Banach space X is p -trivial, i.e., $\mathcal{L}(L_p, X) = \{0\}$. This definition was introduced in [7] and it was shown to be an appropriate analogue when $p < 1$ to the assumption that X has the Radon–Nikodym property. In Theorem 8.3, we show that if $L_p(X)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_p(Y)$, where Y is separable and p -trivial, then $X \cong X_1 \oplus X_2$, where X_1 is a complemented subspace of $l_p(Y)$ and X_2 is a complemented subspace of $L_p(Y)$ (either X_1 or X_2 can be $\{0\}$). Note that in the case $p = 1$ this is a trivial conclusion since X is complemented in $L_1(X)$. We then deduce a nice partial solution to Problem 1.5, namely, that if $0 < p < 1$ and X and Y are two separable p -Banach spaces which are p -trivial and $L_p(X) \cong L_p(Y)$, then $l_p(X) \cong l_p(Y)$. Specializing to $Y = \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that

$L_p(X) \cong L_p$ with X p -trivial, implies X is finite-dimensional or $X \cong l_p$. This result is strikingly similar to the Lewis–Stegall theorem, which implies that if $L_1(X) \cong L_1$ and X has the Radon–Nikodym Property then X is finite-dimensional or $X \cong l_1$.

In Section 9 we use these techniques to study the dual space of $\mathcal{L}(L_p)$. The problem of determining whether $\mathcal{L}(L_p)$ has trivial dual was suggested to the author by J. H. Shapiro. We characterize operators $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)$ so that $\chi(S) = 0$ for every $\chi = \mathcal{L}(L_p)^*$ as the small operators introduced in [7]. Using this, we show that if L_p has a complemented subspace Z non-isomorphic to L_p , then $L_p(Z) \not\cong L_p$. Hence we obtain (Theorem 9.6) that if $L_p(X) \cong L_p$ and $X^* = \{0\}$, then $X \cong L_p$.

Finally we somewhat illuminate Problem 1.6 by showing that if L_p fails to be prime, then there is, up to isomorphism, a unique complemented subspace $Z \not\cong L_p$. In particular Z must be prime. We believe that no such Z can exist.

We note here that we have some corresponding results for the case $p = 0$, but the techniques are completely different and we proposed to publish these separately.

2. PRELIMINARIES FROM MEASURE THEORY

Let Ω be a topological space, then we denote by \mathcal{B} (or $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ where more precision is required) the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of Ω .

We start by giving some essentially known results on Borel measurable maps. Suppose Ω and K are Polish spaces and v is a σ -finite Borel measure in Ω . Then a Borel measurable map $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow K$ will be called *anti-injective* if $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and $\sigma|B$ is an injection then $v(B) = 0$.

LEMMA 2.1. *In order for σ to fail to be anti-injective it is necessary and sufficient that there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ with $v(B) > 0$, such that if $C \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and $C \subset B$, then there exists $A \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ with*

$$v(|\sigma^{-1}(A) \cap B| \Delta C) = 0.$$

Proof. If σ fails to be anti-injective then there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ with $v(B) > 0$ such that $\sigma|B$ is an injection. By Lusin's theorem we can find $B_n \subset B$ which are compact so that $\sigma|B_n$ is continuous and injective and $v(B \setminus \bigcup B_n) = 0$. If $C \subset B$ is a Borel set then $A = \sigma(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (C \cap B_n)) \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ and $C \Delta (\sigma^{-1}(A) \cap B) \subset B \setminus \bigcup B_n$.

Conversely suppose B satisfies the conditions of the lemma. Let (U_n) be a countable base for the topology in Ω . For each n pick $A_n \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ with $v((\sigma^{-1}(A_n) \cap B) \Delta (U_n \cap B)) = 0$. Let $F = \bigcup |(\sigma^{-1}(A_n) \cap B) \Delta (U_n \cap B)|$. Then $v(F) = 0$. If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in B \setminus F$ and $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ there exists $n \in N$ so that

$\omega_1 \in U_n$ but $\omega_2 \notin U_n$. Hence $\sigma\omega_1 \in A_n$ but $\sigma\omega_2 \notin A_n$, i.e., $\sigma\omega_1 \neq \sigma\omega_2$. Thus $\sigma|B \setminus F$ is injective.

Now if $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow K$ is a Borel map there is an induced measure σ^*v on K defined by

$$\sigma^*v(B) = v(\sigma^{-1}B) \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(K).$$

Our next proposition is in reality a form of Maharam's theorem on homogeneous measure algebras [11]; but is stated in the language necessary for this paper. We refer also to Semadeni [15, pp. 471–477].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Suppose Ω and K are Polish spaces and v is a probability measure on Ω . Suppose $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow K$ is an anti-injective Borel map. Then there is a compact metric space M , a diffuse probability measure π on M and a Borel map $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow M$ such that*

$$(i) \quad \text{There is a Borel map } \rho: K \times M \rightarrow \Omega \text{ with } \rho(\sigma \times \tau)(\omega) = \omega \text{ } v-\text{a.e., } \omega \in \Omega, \text{ where } (\sigma \times \tau)(\omega) = (\sigma\omega, \tau\omega). \quad (2.2.1)$$

$$(ii) \quad (\sigma \times \tau)^* v = \sigma^*v \times \pi. \quad (2.2.2)$$

Proof. Let (U_n) be a base for the open sets of Ω , where each set is repeated infinitely often. Let \mathcal{B}_0 be the sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ of all sets of the form $(\sigma^{-1}B; B \in \mathcal{B}(K))$.

We shall show how to construct a sequence of finite sets F_n and a sequence of Borel maps $\xi_n: \Omega \rightarrow F_n$ such that (2.2.3)–(2.2.5) hold:

$$|F_n| \geq 2 \text{ and } A \subset F_n \text{ then } v(\xi_n^{-1}(A)) = \pi_n(A), \quad (2.2.3)$$

where π_n is the probability measure on F_n defined by $\pi_n(A) = |A|/|F_n|$ ($|C|$ = the cardinality of C).

If \mathcal{B}_n is the smallest sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{B} such that $\sigma, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ are measurable with respect to \mathcal{B}_n , then for $n \geq 1$, ξ_n is independent of \mathcal{B}_{n-1} , i.e., if $A \subset F_n$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ then

$$v(\xi_n^{-1}(A) \cap B) = \pi_n(A) v(B).$$

$$\text{There exists } A \in \mathcal{B}_n \text{ with } v(A \Delta U_n) < 1/n, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.2.5)$$

The argument is an easy induction. If $(\xi_k: k \leq n-1)$ have been constructed, where $n \geq 1$, then the map $\sigma \times \xi_1 \times \dots \times \xi_{n-1}: \Omega \rightarrow K \times F_1 \times \dots \times F_{n-1}$ is anti-injective, by the finiteness of $F_1 \times \dots \times F_{n-1}$ (some obvious rewording is necessary when $n=1$). By Lemma 2.1 this means \mathcal{B}_{n-1} (or more exactly the measure algebra induced by \mathcal{B}_{n-1}) induces no ideal in (\mathcal{B}, v) as defined

on p. 473 of Semadeni [15]. Now as in Lemmas 26.5.13 and 26.5.14 of [15, pp. 475, 476], we can find F_n and ξ_n to satisfy (2.2.3)–(2.2.5).

Now let M be the product $\prod_n F_n$ with the product measure $\bigotimes_n \pi_n = \pi$ which is diffuse and define $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow M$ by $\tau(\omega) = (\xi_n(\omega))_{n=1}^\infty$. For each open set $U \in (U_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, it is clear that there is a Borel set $A \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ with

$$v((\sigma \times \tau)^{-1}(A) \cap U) = 0.$$

Hence the same is true for every Borel set $U \subset \Omega$. Now Lemma 2.1 (or more precisely its proof) implies that there is a Borel subset Ω_0 of Ω with $v(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$ such that $\sigma \times \tau|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0}$ is injective: We may suppose Ω_0 is an F_σ -set, $(\sigma \times \tau)(\Omega_0)$ is Borel and $(\sigma \times \tau)^{-1}|_{(\sigma \times \tau)(\Omega_0)}$ is Borel; this follows easily from applying Lusin's theorem. Defining $\rho = (\sigma \times \tau)^{-1}$ on $(\sigma \times \tau)(\Omega_0)$ and arbitrarily (subject to being Borel) off $(\sigma \times \tau)(\Omega_0)$ we can satisfy (2.2.1).

It is easy to see that (2.2.2) is automatic from the construction.

Suppose now X is separable quasi-Banach space. Then it is easy to see that if Ω is a Polish space, then a Borel map $g: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a uniform limit of countably-valued Borel maps $g_n: \Omega \rightarrow X$.

If X and Y are separable quasi-Banach spaces then a map $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is *strongly (Borel) measurable* if it is a Borel map for the strong-operator topology on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, i.e., for $x \in X$, the map $\omega \mapsto \Phi(\omega)x$ is a Borel map into Y . From the preceding paragraph it can be shown that if $g: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a Borel map and $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is strongly measurable then $\omega \mapsto \Phi(\omega)(g(\omega))$ is also a Borel map.

The following lemma is one that we shall require later for the special case $X = L_p$ when the conditions are satisfied for $c = 1 + \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ (this follows quickly from results of Rolewicz [13, pp. 253–254]).

LEMMA 2.3. *Let Ω be a Polish space and let v be a σ -finite Borel measure as Ω . Suppose X is a separable quasi-Banach space with the property*

For some fixed $c > 0$, if $x, y \in X$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ there exists an invertible $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\| \leq c$ and $Tx = y$. (2.3.1)

Suppose further $g: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is a Borel map such that $0 < \alpha \leq \|g(\omega)\| \leq \beta < \infty$ for $\omega \in \Omega$. Then given $u \in X$ with $\|u\| = 1$ there exists a strongly measurable map $\omega \mapsto T_\omega$ such that:

$$\|T_\omega\| \leq c\beta \quad \omega \in \Omega, \tag{2.3.2}$$

$$\|T_\omega^{-1}\| \leq c\alpha^{-1} \quad \omega \in \Omega, \tag{2.3.3}$$

$$T_\omega u = g(\omega) \quad v - \text{a.e.} \tag{2.3.4}$$

Proof. Let $G \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ the subset of $\mathcal{L}(X) \times \mathcal{L}(X)$ of all (S, T) with $TS = ST = I$, $\|S\| \leq c\alpha^{-1}$ and $\|T\| \leq c\beta$. Then in the product strong-operator topology G is closed. For if $(S_n, T_n) \rightarrow (S, T)$ then $\|S\| \leq c\alpha^{-1}$ and $\|T\| \leq c\beta$ and since $S_n \rightarrow S$ uniformly as compact sets we have

$$\begin{aligned} STx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(T_n x) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_m(T_n x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(T_n x) \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $V \subset X$ be the set $\{Tu : (S, T) \in G\}$. Assumption (2.3.1) guarantees that $V \supset \{x : \alpha \leq \|x\| \leq \beta\}$.

Now G is a Polish space (it is bounded and closed in $\mathcal{L}(X) \times \mathcal{L}(X)$). The map $(S, T) \mapsto Tu$ is continuous onto V . Hence by the von Neumann selection theorem (Diestel [4, p. 270]; see also [1; 12, p. 448]), there is a universally measurable map $\phi(v) = (\phi_1(v), \phi_2(v))$, where $\phi_2(v) u = v$.

Now let

$$T'_\omega = \phi_2(g(\omega)).$$

Then $\omega \mapsto T'_\omega$ is universally measurable and by modifying it on a set of measure zero we get the result of the lemma.

Remark. Inversion is continuous on the set G so the map $\omega \mapsto T_\omega^{-1}$ is also strongly Borel measurable.

3. p -INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In this section K will be a compact metric space and X a p -Banach space, where $0 < p \leq 1$. We define a bounded linear operator $T : C(K) \rightarrow X$ to be p -integral if for some constant c , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|Tf_i\|^p \leq c \max_{s \in K} \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(s)|^p \quad (3.0.1)$$

whenever $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(K)$. The best constant c arising in (3.0.1) is denoted by $\pi_p(T)$. In the case $p = 1$, this reduces to the standard definition of an integral operator and $\pi_1(T)$ is the integral or absolutely summing norm of T (cf. Diestel and Uhl [5, pp. 161–169]).

Let $\mathcal{M}_p(K; X)$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{L}(C(K); X)$ of all p -integral

operators. We observe that the map $\pi_p: \mathcal{M}_p(K; X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is lower-semicontinuous for the strong-operator topology.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose $T: C(K) \rightarrow X$ is p -integral. Then there is a unique positive Borel measure on K , which we denote by $\mu = \mu(T)$ so that*

$$(i) \quad \|Tf\| \leq \left\{ \int_K |f(s)|^p d\mu(s) \right\}^{1/p} \quad f \in C(K), \quad (3.1.1)$$

$$(ii) \quad \|\mu\| = \mu(K) = \pi_p(T), \quad (3.1.2)$$

(iii) *If v is a positive Borel measure with*

$$\|Tf\| \leq \left\{ \int_K |f(s)|^p dv(s) \right\}^{1/p} \quad f \in C(K),$$

$$\text{then } \mu \leq v. \quad (3.1.3)$$

Proof. Let P be the open positive cone in $C(K)$, i.e., $P = \{f \in C(K); f(s) > 0 \text{ for all } s \in K\}$. Let C be the cone of all functions of the form

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n \|Tf_i\|^p - \pi_p(T) \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p$$

for $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(K)$. By hypothesis $C \cap P = \emptyset$ and so, as P has non-empty interior, the Hahn–Banach theorem implies the existence of a positive Borel measure μ with $\|\mu\| = \pi_p(T)$ such that

$$\int \phi d\mu \leq 0 \quad \phi \in C,$$

which quickly yields (3.1.1) and (3.1.2).

Uniqueness will follow from proving (3.1.3). Let $\rho = v + \mu$ and by the Radon–Nikodym theorem write $dv = \phi d\rho$ and $d\mu = \psi d\rho$, where ϕ, ψ are non-negative Borel functions. If v does not satisfy $v \geq \mu$ then there is a compact subset K_0 of K of positive μ -measure such that

$$\psi(s) > \phi(s) \quad s \in K_0.$$

Let h_n be any sequence of functions in $C(K)$ satisfying $0 \leq h_n \leq 1$, $h_n|_{K_0} = 1$ and $h_n(s) \rightarrow 0$ for $s \notin K_0$. Then for $f \in C(K)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|^p &\leq \|T(h_n f)\|^p + \|T(f - h_n f)\|^p \\ &\leq \int_K |h_n f|^p \phi d\rho + \int_K |f - h_n f|^p \psi d\rho; \end{aligned}$$

and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\|Tf\|^p \leq \int_{K_0} |f|^p \phi \, d\rho + \int_{K \setminus K_0} |f|^p \psi \, d\rho.$$

Thus, for $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(K)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|Tf_i\|^p \leq c \max_{s \in K} \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(s)|^p,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \int_{K_0} \phi \, d\rho + \int_{K \setminus K_0} \psi \, d\rho \\ &< \int_K \psi \, d\rho = \|\mu\| = \pi_p(T). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves the lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *For $\phi \in C(K)$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), X)$ define $T_\phi \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), X)$ by*

$$T_\phi(f) = T(\phi f) \quad f \in C(K). \quad (3.2.1)$$

Then if $T \in \mathcal{M}_p(K, X)$, $T_\phi \in \mathcal{M}_p(K, X)$ and

$$d\mu(T_\phi) = |\phi|^p d\mu(T). \quad (3.2.2)$$

Proof. That $T_\phi \in \mathcal{M}_p(K, X)$ is trivial. Note that if $\mu = \mu(T)$ then

$$\|T_\phi(f)\|^p \leq \int |\phi f|^p \, d\mu$$

so that $d\mu(T_\phi) \leq |\phi|^p d\mu$. If ϕ does not vanish, (3.2.2) now follows by reversing the reasoning. If $\phi \geq 0$

$$d\mu(T_{\phi+\alpha}) \leq d\mu(T_\phi) + \alpha^p d\mu$$

when α is a positive constant. Thus

$$|\phi + \alpha|^p d\mu \leq d\mu(T_\phi) + \alpha^p d\mu;$$

and letting $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ we obtain (3.2.2). For general ϕ note that

$$d\mu(T_{\phi^2}) \leq |\phi|^p d\mu(T_\phi)$$

and apply a similar argument.

Now let $\mathcal{M}(K)$ be the dual space of $C(K)$ (i.e., the space of finite signed Borel measures in K).

LEMMA 3.3. *The map $\mu: \mathcal{M}_p(K; X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(K)$ (where $\mathcal{M}_p(K, X)$ has the strong-operator topology and $\mathcal{M}(K)$ the weak*-topology) is Borel measurable.*

Proof. It will suffice to show that the map

$$T \mapsto \int_K \phi \, d\mu(T)$$

is Borel, where $\phi \in C(K)$ and $\phi \geq 0$. Let $\phi = \psi^p$, where $\psi \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi \, d\mu(T) &= \int \psi^p \, d\mu(T) \\ &= \pi_p(T_\psi). \end{aligned}$$

As $T \mapsto T_\psi$ is clearly continuous, and we have already observed that π_p is lower-semi-continuous the result follows.

Now if $T \in \mathcal{M}_p(K; X)$ and $\mu = \mu(T)$, we can extend T to a linear operator (which we still denote by T) $T: L_p(K, \mu) \rightarrow X$ with $\|T\| = 1$. In particular Tf can be defined uniquely for any bounded Borel function f and the map $T \mapsto Tf$ is a Borel map from $\mathcal{M}_p(K; X)$ into X . Furthermore, in place of (3.0.1) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|Tf_i\|^p \leq \pi_p(T) \sup_{s \in K} \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(s)|^p \quad (3.3.1)$$

for f_1, \dots, f_n bounded Borel functions in K .

To conclude this section we establish a lifting-type result which we need later. We recall [8] that if Y is a p -Banach space and N is a closed subspace of Y which is either q -convex for some $q > p$ or a pseudo-dual space then a bounded linear operator $S: L_p \rightarrow Y/N$ can be “lifted” to an operator $S_1: L_p \rightarrow Y$ so that $QS_1 = S$, where $Q: Y \rightarrow Y/N$ is the quotient map. (Here N is pseudo-dual if there is a Hausdorff vector topology in N for which the unit ball is relatively compact.) Furthermore there is a constant c independent of S so that $\|S_1\| \leq c\|S\|$. The same conclusions for l_p are valid with no restriction on N and with $c = 1$. Applying this we have:

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose X is a p -Banach space and N is a closed subspace of X which is either pseudo-dual or q -convex for some $q > p$. Suppose*

$T: C(K) \rightarrow X/N$ is p -integral. Then there exists a p -integral operator $T_1: C(K) \rightarrow X$ and there is a constant c depending only on X and N so that

$$\pi_p(T_1) \leq c\pi_p(T), \quad (3.4.1)$$

$$\mu(T_1) \leq c\mu(T). \quad (3.4.2)$$

Proof. Simply consider the induced map $T: L_p(K, \mu) \rightarrow X/N$ and since $L_p(\mu) \cong l_p$, L_p , $l_p \oplus L_p$, or $l_p^n \oplus L_p$ there exists a lift $T_1: L_p(K, \mu) \rightarrow X$ with $\|T_1\| \leq c\|T\|$. Restricted to $C(K)$, T_1 is p -integral and (3.4.1) and (3.4.2) are immediate with c replaced by c^p .

Remark. If μ is diffuse then T_1 is unique.

The form that we shall require later is the following:

LEMMA 3.5. *Let Ω be a Polish space and v a σ -finite Borel measure on Ω . Suppose K is a compact metric space. Suppose X is a separable p -Banach space and N is closed subspace of X which is either pseudo-dual or q -convex for some $q > p$. Let $\omega \mapsto T_\omega$ be a strongly Borel measurable map from Ω into $\mathcal{M}_p(K; X/N)$. Then there is a constant $c > 0$ and a strongly measurable map $\omega \mapsto S_\omega$ of Ω into $\mathcal{M}_p(K; X)$ such that*

$$QS_\omega = T_\omega \quad v\text{-a.e.}, \quad (3.5.1)$$

$$\mu(S_\omega) \leq c\mu(T_\omega) \quad v\text{-a.e.}, \quad (3.5.2)$$

where $Q: X \rightarrow X/N$ is the quotient map.

Proof. This is again an application of the von Neumann selection theorem. Let G be the set of $S \in \mathcal{M}_p(K; X)$ such that $\mu(QS) \leq c\mu(S)$. It is readily verified that $\mathcal{L}(C(K), X)$ is a Souslin spaces (for the strong-operator topology) and that $\mathcal{M}_p(K; X)$ is a Borel subset (in fact an F_σ -set). It follows easily that G also is Borel (use Lemma 3.3 and the fact the positive cone in $\mathcal{M}(K)$ is a Borel set).

Now the map $S \mapsto QS$ maps G onto $\mathcal{M}_p(K; X/N)$ by Lemma 3.4 and so there is a universally measurable map $\theta: \mathcal{M}_p(K; X/N) \rightarrow G$ so that $Q\theta(S) = S$ (Theorem 2.2 of [7]).

To complete the proof let $S_\omega = \theta(T_\omega)$ v -a.e. simply modifying on a set of measure zero to ensure Borel measurability.

4. THE SPACES $L_p(X)$

Let Ω be a Polish space and let v be a σ -finite measure on Ω . Then if X is separable p -Banach space, we define $L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ to be the space of all Borel maps $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f\| = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|^p dv(\omega) \right\}^{1/p} < \infty.$$

After the usual identification of functions equal almost everywhere $L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ is a p -Banach space. We now list without proof several easy facts.

FACT 4.0.1. *The simple functions are dense in $L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ and hence $L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ is separable.*

FACT 4.0.2. *If v_0 is a finite measure having the same sets of measure 0 as v and $dv = \phi^p \cdot dv_0$, where ϕ is a positive Borel function, then the map $f \mapsto \phi \cdot f$ is an isometry of $L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ onto $L_p(\Omega, v_0; X)$.*

FACT 4.0.3. *For any two diffuse measures v_1 and v_2 on Polish spaces Ω_1 and Ω_2 , respectively, the quasi-Banach spaces $L_p(\Omega_1 v_1; X)$ and $L_p(\Omega_2 v_2; X)$ are isometric. In cases where only the isomorphism class of the space matters we denote this space $L_p(X)$.*

FACT 4.0.4. *If N is a closed subspace of X then $L_p(\Omega, v; N)$ is a closed subspace of $L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ and $L_p(\Omega, v; X)/L_p(\Omega, v; N) \cong L_p(\Omega, v; X/N)$ under the natural quotient map.*

FACT 4.0.5. *Suppose Ω_1 and Ω_2 are Polish spaces, v_1 is a diffuse σ -finite measure on Ω_1 and v_2 is a diffuse σ -finite measure on Ω_2 . Then $L_p(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, v_1 \times v_2; X)$ is naturally isometric to $L_p(\Omega_1, v_1; L_p(\Omega_2, v_2; X))$ under the isomorphism*

$$Tf(\omega_1)(\omega_2) = f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \quad \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, \quad \omega_2 \in \Omega_2.$$

It is also convenient to observe that $L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ can be interpreted as the p -convex tensor product of $L_p(\Omega, v; \mathbb{R})$ ($= L_p(\Omega, v)$) and X (Vogt [17]). In fact if $\phi \in L_p(\Omega, v)$ and $x \in X$ we shall write $f = \phi \otimes x$, where

$$f(\omega) = \phi(\omega) x. \tag{4.0.6}$$

A conclusion from Vogt's results [17] is the following:

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let Z be a p -Banach space. Then there is a natural isometry $T \mapsto \hat{T}$ between $\mathcal{L}(L_p(\Omega, v; X), Z)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathcal{L}(L_p(\Omega, v), Z))$ given by*

$$\hat{T}(x)(\phi) = T(\phi \otimes x). \quad (4.1.1)$$

We shall conclude this short introductory section by considering a very special class of operators on $L_p(X)$ spaces.

We define an operator $T: L_p(\Omega, v; X) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$ to be *diagonal* if for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ if

$$f(\omega) = 0 \quad \omega \in B,$$

then

$$Tf(\omega) = 0 \quad \omega \in B.$$

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose X and Y are separable p -Banach spaces and $T: L_p(\Omega, v; X) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$ is a diagonal operator. Then there is a strongly Borel measurable map $s \mapsto A_s (\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ such that*

$$Tf(s) = A_s(f(s)) \quad v\text{-a.e.,} \quad (4.2.1)$$

$$\|T\| = v\text{-ess. sup } \|A_s\|. \quad (4.2.2)$$

Furthermore the map $s \mapsto A_s$ is unique up to v -null sets.

Conversely if $s \mapsto A_s$ is a strongly Borel measurable map with $v\text{-ess. sup } \|A_s\| < \infty$, then (4.2.1) defines a bounded linear operator.

Proof. First we observe that the converse is pretty well automatic once one notes that $A_s(f(s))$ is Borel; see the remarks preceding Lemma 2.3.

For the direct part of the theorem, choose F_n to be an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of X whose union F is dense in X . Let (x_n) be any sequence dense in the unit ball of F , such that $\{x_n : x_n \in F_k\}$ is dense in the unit ball of F_k .

By picking a Hamel basis of F and extending linearly we may determine linear maps $A_s: F \rightarrow Y$ so that

$$T(1_\Omega \otimes x)(s) = A_s(x) \quad v\text{-a.e.} \quad x \in F.$$

From the diagonal property it is easy to see that

$$T(1_B \otimes x)(s) = 1_B(s) \cdot A_s(x) \quad v\text{-a.e.} \quad x \in F,$$

and hence if $\phi \in L_p(\Omega, v)$,

$$T(\phi \otimes x)(s) = \phi(s) A_s(x) \quad v\text{-a.e.} \quad x \in F.$$

The proof will be completed by showing $\|A_s\| \leq \|T\|$ $v\text{-a.e.}$ and since

$x \mapsto A_s x$ is a Borel map for $x \in F$, it will follow by density that, after defining suitably on a set of measure zero, we have (4.2.1) and (4.2.2). Uniqueness is trivial, by considering $T(1_\Omega \otimes x_n)$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\theta_n(s) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|A_s x_i\|.$$

Note that the quasi-norm is Borel measurable on X and so θ_n is a Borel function. Define

$$f_n(s) = x_i,$$

where $i = i(s)$ is the least i such that

$$\|A_s x_i\| = \theta_n(s).$$

Then if $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and $v(B) < \infty$, $1_B \otimes f_n \in L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ and

$$\|1_B \otimes f_n\|^p \leq v(B).$$

However,

$$\|T(1_B \otimes f_n)\|^p = \int_B \theta_n(s)^p dv(s).$$

We conclude

$$\theta_n(s) \leq \|T\| \quad v\text{-a.e.},$$

and hence

$$\sup_n \theta_n(s) \leq \|T\| \quad v\text{-a.e.},$$

i.e.,

$$\|A_s|F_k\| \leq \|T\| \quad v\text{-a.e.},$$

for each k (Of course A_s is continuous on F_k). Thus as required

$$\|A_s\| \leq \|T\| \quad v\text{-a.e.}$$

5. OPERATORS ON L_p

We now give a representation theorem for operators from L_p into $L_p(X)$ which generalizes the representation theorem given in [7]. We give the result in two parts.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Suppose $0 < p \leq 1$ and (5.1.1)–(5.1.5) hold:*

K is a compact metric space and λ is a probability measure on K. (5.1.1)

Ω is a Polish space and v is a σ -finite Borel measure on Ω . (5.1.2)

X is a separable p -Banach space. (5.1.3)

$\omega \mapsto T_\omega(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_p(K; X))$ is a strongly Borel measurable map. (5.1.4)

If $\mu_\omega = \mu(T_\omega)$ (as in Lemma 3.1) then for some $c < \infty$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu_\omega(B) dv(\omega) \leq c^p \lambda(B) \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(K). \quad (5.1.5)$$

Then we conclude

(i) *If $f \in L_p(K; \lambda)$ then v -a.e., $f \in L_p(K, \mu_\omega)$.* (5.1.6)

(ii) *The formula*

$$Tf(\omega) = T_\omega f \quad f \in L_p(K, \lambda) \quad (5.1.7)$$

defines a bounded linear operator $T: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ with $\|T\| \leq c$.

Proof. By (5.1.5) and Theorem 3.1 of [7] there is a bounded linear operator $U: L_1(K; \lambda) \rightarrow L_1(\Omega, v)$ defined by

$$Uf(\omega) = \int f d\mu_\omega \quad v\text{-a.e.}$$

[The extension of [7] to the σ -finite case is easy.] In particular if $f \in L_p(K, \lambda)$ then $|f|^p$ is μ_ω -integrable v -a.e., i.e., (5.1.6) holds. Thus the formula in (5.1.7) makes sense v -a.e. and in fact $\omega \mapsto T_\omega f$ is Borel by approximating by bounded simple functions. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|T_\omega f\|^p dv(\omega) &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_K |f|^p d\mu_\omega dv(\omega) \\ &= \|U(|f|^p)\|_1 \\ &\leq c^p \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|T\| \leq c$.

The converse is naturally much more interesting.

THEOREM 5.2. Suppose we have (5.1.1)–(5.1.3) and that $T: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, \nu; X)$ is a bounded linear operator.

Then there is a strongly Borel measurable map $\omega \mapsto T_\omega(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_p(K; X))$ such that (5.1.5) and (5.1.6) hold, with $c = \|T\|$ and

$$Tf(\omega) = T_\omega f \quad \nu\text{-a.e., } f \in L_p(K, \lambda). \quad (5.2.1)$$

Proof. As in Theorem 3.1 of [7] we may suppose that K is totally disconnected. To do this it is necessary only to check that if $\sigma: K \rightarrow K_1$ is a Borel isomorphism onto a totally disconnected compact metric space then the induced map $\sigma^*: \mathcal{M}_p(K; X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_p(K_1, X)$ is also Borel, where

$$\sigma^*T(f) = T(f \circ \sigma) \quad f \in C(K).$$

This is clear (cf. remarks following Lemma 3.3).

Now suppose that for each n , \mathcal{A}_n is a partitioning of K into clopen sets so that \mathcal{A}_{n+1} refines \mathcal{A}_n for every n and if $\mathcal{A}_n = (U_{n,k}: 1 \leq k \leq l(n))$ then $\text{diam } U_{n,k} \leq n^{-1}$. Let $\chi_{n,k}$ be the characteristic function of $A_{n,k}$, and let E be the linear span of $(\chi_{n,k}: 1 \leq k \leq l(n), 1 \leq n < \infty)$. E is dense in $C(K)$ by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. We may further suppose that the map $f \mapsto Tf(\omega)$ is everywhere linear on E (by picking a Hamel basis of E and extending). Thus we may define $T_\omega: E \rightarrow X$ by

$$T_\omega f = Tf(\omega).$$

For any n, k ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \|T_\omega \chi_{n,k}\|^p d\nu \leq \|T\|^p \lambda(U_{n,k}),$$

and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^{l(n)} \|T_\omega \chi_{n,k}\|^p d\nu \leq \|T\|^p.$$

Now if

$$\phi(\omega) = \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{l(n)} \|T_\omega \chi_{n,k}\|^p,$$

then from the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\int \phi(\omega) d\nu(\omega) \leq \|T\|^p,$$

and so $\phi(\omega) < \infty$ ν -a.e. Let Ω_0 be a Borel set with $\nu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$ such that

$\phi(\omega) < \infty$ for $\omega \in \Omega_0$. Then if $\omega \in \Omega_0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in E$ we can find a large enough n so that

$$f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{l(n)} c_{ij} \chi_{n,j}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Thus

$$\|T_\omega f_i\|^p \leq \sum_{j=1}^{l(n)} |c_{ij}|^p \|T_\omega \chi_{n,j}\|^p,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \|T_\omega f_i\|^p &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l(n)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |c_{ij}|^p \right) \|T_\omega \chi_{n,j}\|^p \\ &\leq \phi(\omega) \max_{s \in K} \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(s)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

It follows easily that T_ω extends to a p -integral operator, $T_\omega : C(K) \rightarrow X$, and $\pi_p(T_\omega) = \phi(\omega)$. After suitable definition on $\Omega \setminus \Omega_0$, $\omega \mapsto T_\omega$ can be assumed strongly Borel measurable (clearly $f \mapsto T_\omega f$ is Borel for $f \in E$).

Now a measure μ'_ω can be defined so that

$$\mu'_\omega(U_{n,k}) = \sup_{m > n} \sum_{U_{m,h} \subset U_{n,k}} \|T_\omega \chi_{m,h}\|^p$$

for $\omega \in \Omega_0$. It is clear than, first for $f \in E$ and then in general

$$\|T_\omega f\|^p \leq \int |f|^p d\mu'_\omega.$$

However, $\|\mu'_\omega\| = \phi(\omega) = \pi_p(T_\omega)$ and hence $\mu(T_\omega) = \mu'_\omega = \mu_\omega$, say. Thus

$$\int \mu_\omega(U_{n,k}) dv \leq \|T\|^p \lambda(U_{n,k}),$$

and so we obtain (5.1.5).

Now it follows easily from the preceding Proposition 5.1 that T can be given by formula (5.2.1).

Remark 5.2.3. The map $\omega \mapsto T_\omega$ is unique up to sets of v -measure zero given only that

$$T_\omega f = Tf \quad v\text{-a.e.},$$

for $f \in C(K)$. Simply check against a dense countable subset of $C(K)$.

THEOREM 5.3. Suppose X is a separable p -Banach space and N is a closed subspace of X which is either pseudo-dual or q -convex for some $q > p$. Then if $T: L_p \rightarrow L_p(X/N)$ is a bounded linear operator, there is a bounded operator $T_1: L_p \rightarrow L_p(X)$ so that $QT_1 = T$, where $Q: L_p(X) \rightarrow L_p(X/N)$ is the natural quotient map.

Proof. Write $L_p = L_p(K, \lambda)$, where K is compact metric, and $L_p(X/N) = L_p(\Omega, v; X/N)$. Then the operator T may be represented as above

$$Tf(\omega) = T_\omega f,$$

where $\omega \mapsto T_\omega (\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_p(K, X/N))$ is a Borel map satisfying

$$\int \mu(T_\omega)(B) dv(\omega) \leq \|T\|^p \lambda(B) \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(K).$$

By Lemma 3.5 there is a Borel map $\omega \mapsto S_\omega$ of Ω into $\mathcal{M}_p(K, X)$ so that (3.5.1) and (3.5.2) hold. (We use Q for both the quotient $X \rightarrow X/N$ and $L_p(X) \rightarrow L_p(X/N)$.) Now if

$$Sf(\omega) = S_\omega f$$

then by Proposition 5.1, S is a bounded linear operator from $L_p(K, \lambda)$ into $L_p(\Omega, v; X)$ and clearly $QS = T$.

COROLLARY 5.4. $L_p(L_p|1)$ is not isomorphic to L_p for $0 < p < 1$.

Proof. If $T: L_p \rightarrow L_p(L_p|1)$ is an isomorphism then there is a lift $S: L_p \rightarrow L_p(L_p)$. Now $I - ST^{-1}Q$ is a projection of $L_p(L_p)$ onto its subspace $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, where $\mathbb{R} \subset L_p$ is the space of constants. The non-existence of such a projection is shown in Corollary 4.5 of [7]. (Another proof will be given later with more general results.)

6. DIAGONAL PROJECTIONS

In Section 4 we introduced diagonal operators $T: L_p(\Omega, v; X) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$ and gave a representation theorem for them (Theorem 4.2). We now slightly extend our definition. Suppose K is a compact metric space and λ is a probability measure on K ; let Ω be a Polish space and v be a σ -finite Borel measure on Ω . Let $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow K$ be a Borel map. If X and Y are separable p -Banach spaces we shall show that a bounded linear operator $T: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$ is σ -elementary if, wherever $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$,

$$f(s) = 0 \quad s \in B,$$

implies

$$Tf(\omega) = 0 \quad \omega \in \sigma^{-1}B.$$

Clearly if $\Omega = K$ and $v = \lambda$ and σ is the identity we obtain by this the definition of a diagonal operator. Let us remark that it is possible for suitable σ that the only σ -elementary operator is $T = 0$.

Now for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\{B_{n,1}, \dots, B_{n,l(n)}\}$ be a partitioning of K into disjoint Borel sets of diameter at most $1/n$. For $T \in \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(L_p(K, \lambda; X), L_p(\Omega, v; Y))$ we define

$$\Pi_n(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{l(n)} P_{\sigma^{-1}(B_{n,k})} T P_{B_{n,k}}.$$

Here, if A is a Borel subset of Ω , then $P_A : L_p(\Omega, v; Y) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P_A f(\omega) &= f(\omega) & \omega \in A, \\ &= 0 & \omega \notin A, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly on $L_p(K, \lambda; X)$.

Now $\Pi_n : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a projection and $\|\Pi_n\| = 1$. Our main result is then

PROPOSITION 6.1.

(i) *For $f \in L_p(K, \lambda; X)$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_n(T)f = \Delta_\sigma(T)f \text{ exists.} \quad (6.1.1)$$

(ii) *$\Delta_\sigma : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a projection. $\|\Delta_\sigma\| \leq 1$ and $\Delta_\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ is the set of σ -elementary operators* $\quad (6.1.2)$

(iii) *If $\phi \in L_p(K, \lambda)$ and $x \in X$ then*

$$\Delta_\sigma(T)(\phi \otimes x)(\omega) = \phi(\sigma\omega) \hat{T}(x)_\omega (e_{\sigma\omega}) \quad v\text{-a.e.,} \quad (6.1.3)$$

where \hat{T} is defined by (4.1.1), $\omega \mapsto \hat{T}(x)_\omega$ is the representation of $\hat{T}(x)$ determined in Theorem 5.2 and if $t \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} e_t(s) &= 1 & s = t, \quad s \in K \\ &= 0 & s \neq t, \quad s \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $\phi \in L_p(K, \lambda)$ and $x \in X$

$$\widehat{\Pi_n(T)(x)(\phi)}(\omega) = \hat{T}(x)_\omega (\phi \cdot 1_{B_{n,k}}),$$

where $\omega \in \sigma^{-1}B_{n,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq l(n)$. Thus $k = k_n(\omega)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we see that for v -a.e., $\omega \in \Omega$, $\phi \cdot 1_{B_{n,k}} \rightarrow \phi(\sigma\omega) e_{\sigma\omega}$ in $L_p(\mu(\hat{T}(x)_\omega))$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\Pi_n(T)}(x)(\phi)(\omega) = \phi(\sigma\omega) \hat{T}(x)_\omega (e_{\sigma\omega}) \quad v\text{-a.e.} \quad (6.1.4)$$

and in particular the right-hand side is Borel measurable (when suitably extended on a set of measure zero).

Now

$$\|\widehat{\Pi_n(T)}(x)(\phi)(\omega)\|^p \leq \int_K |\phi|^p d\mu(\hat{T}(x)_\omega)$$

for every n and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \int_K |\phi|^p d\mu(\hat{T}(x))_\omega dv(\omega) &\leq \|\hat{T}(x)\|^p \|\phi\|^p \\ &\leq \|T\|^p \|x\|^p \|\phi\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can employ the dominated convergence theorem to show that

$$\int_\Omega \|\phi(\sigma\omega) \hat{T}(x)_\omega (e_{\sigma\omega}) - \widehat{\Pi_n(T)}(x)(\phi)(\omega)\|^p dv \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we define $\Delta_\sigma(T)$ by

$$\widehat{\Delta_\sigma(T)}(x)(\phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\Pi_n(T)}(x)(\phi),$$

for $x \in X$ and $\phi \in L_p(K, \lambda)$, then $\Delta_\sigma(T)$ is a well-defined member of \mathcal{L} , (6.1.3) holds and

$$\|\Delta_\sigma(T)\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Pi_n(T)\| \leq \|T\|.$$

By a density argument we quickly obtain (6.1.1). The fact that Δ_σ is a projection is trivial, and we have $\|\Delta_\sigma\| \leq 1$. Clearly if T is σ -elementary then $\Delta_\sigma(T) = T$. Conversely suppose $\Delta_\sigma(T) = T$ and $f \in L_p(K, \lambda; X)$ satisfies

$$f(s) = 0 \quad s \in B,$$

where $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$. Then there exists a sequence of functions f_n of the form

$$f_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i \otimes x_i$$

(where $\phi_i \in L_p(K, \lambda)$, $x_i \in X$) such that $f_n \rightarrow f$. In fact $f_n \cdot 1_{K \setminus B} \rightarrow f$ and

$$f_n \cdot 1_{K \setminus B} = \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i \cdot 1_{K \setminus B} \otimes x_i.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\sigma(T)(f_n \cdot 1_{K \setminus B})(\omega) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_i(\omega) 1_{K \setminus B}(\omega) \hat{T}(x_i)_\omega (e_{\sigma\omega}) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{if } \omega \in B \text{ (v-a.e.)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T is σ -elementary and (6.1.2) is established.

Remarks. Of course the projection A_σ defined above is independent of the choice of $(B_{n,k})$. In the case $K = \Omega$, $\lambda = v$ and $\sigma(s) = s$, $s \in K$, we shall denote A_σ by simply A . A is thus a projection onto the diagonal operators.

Let us call a subspace W of $L_p(K, \lambda; X)$ *diagonal* if for $\phi \in W$, then $P_B \phi \in W$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that if $T: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; Y)$ is diagonal, then the range of T , $\mathcal{R}(T)$, and the kernel of T , $\mathcal{N}(T)$ are diagonal subspaces.

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Suppose W is a complemented diagonal subspace of $L_p(K, \lambda; X)$. Then there is a diagonal projection onto W .*

Proof. If $T: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow W$ is a projection then so is $\Pi_n(T)$ for each n , where $\Pi_n(T)$ is defined as in Theorem 6.1. Hence so is $A(T)$, since $\Pi_n(T)(f) \rightarrow A(T)(f)$ for every $f \in L_p(X)$.

THEOREM 6.3. *Let Y be a closed subspace of the separable p -Banach space X . Suppose $L_p(K, \lambda; Y)$ is complemented in $L_p(K, \lambda; X)$. Then Y is complemented in X .*

Proof. By Proposition 6.2, there is a diagonal projection $T: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; Y)$. By Theorem 4.2 there is a strongly measurable map $s \mapsto A_s$ from K into $\mathcal{L}(X)$ so that

$$Tf(s) = A_s(f(s)) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

Now

$$T^2f(s) = A_s^2(f(s)) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.},$$

and by considering a dense countable subset of X we see

$$A_s^2 = A_s \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.},$$

i.e., A_s is a projection λ -a.e. Clearly we also have λ -a.e., $A_s(X) \subset Y$ and $A_s(y) = y$ for $y \in Y$. Thus λ -a.e. A_s is a projection of X onto Y .

EXAMPLE. The fact that $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ is uncomplemented in $L_p(L_p)$ ($p < 1$) (where \mathbb{R} is the subspace of constants), which we used in the preceding section follows immediately. As noted where this is equivalent to the absence of a projection from $L_p([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ onto the subspace of functions depending only on the first variable.

7. ELEMENTARY OPERATORS

Again in this section we suppose Ω is a Polish space and v is a σ -finite Borel measure on Ω , while K is a compact metric space and λ is a probability measure on K . X and Y will be separable p -Banach spaces.

THEOREM 7.1. Suppose $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow K$ is a Borel map which is anti-injective (see Section 2), and that $T: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$ is a σ -elementary bounded linear operator.

Then there a linear operator $J: L_p(\Omega, v; Y) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; L_p(Y))$ and a Borel subset A of Ω such that

$$(i) \quad J = JP_A \text{ and } J|L_p(A, v; Y) \text{ is an isometry.} \quad (7.1.1)$$

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{There is a Borel subset } C \text{ of } K \text{ such that} \\ L_p(C, \lambda, L_p(Y)) = \mathcal{R}(J). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1.2)$$

$$(iii) \quad JT \text{ is diagonal and } \mathcal{R}(T) \subset L_p(A, v; Y). \quad (7.1.3)$$

Proof. It will be convenient to suppose v is a probability measure. The reduction to this case is immediate from Fact 4.0.2.

We now appeal to Lemma 2.2, to determine a compact metric space M , a probability measure π on M and a Borel map $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow M$ such that (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) are satisfied. Let $\rho: K \times M \rightarrow \Omega$ be as in (2.2.1).

The measure σ^*v may be decomposed into a part absolutely continuous with respect to λ and a part singular with respect to λ , i.e.,

$$d(\sigma^*v) = \theta d\lambda + d\eta,$$

where $\theta: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative Borel map and η is singular with respect to λ .

We consider the space $L_p(K, \lambda; L_p(M, \pi, Y)) \cong L_p(K \times M, \lambda \times \pi, Y)$ (Fact 4.0.5). Define $J: L_p(\Omega, v, Y) \rightarrow L_p(K \times M, \lambda \times \pi, Y)$ by

$$Jf(s, t) = \theta(s)^{1/p} f(\rho(s, t)) \quad s \in K, \quad t \in M.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|Jf\|^p &= \int_{K \times M} \theta(s) \|f(\rho(s, t))\|^p d(\lambda \times \pi)(s, t) \\ &\leq \int_{K \times M} \|f(\rho(s, t))\|^p d(\sigma^* v \times \pi)(s, t) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\|^p dv(\omega) \\ &= \|f\|^p.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|J\| \leq 1$. However, $\|Jf\| = \|f\|$ if and only if

$$\int_{K \times M} \|f(\rho(s, t))\|^p d(\eta \times \pi)(s, t) = 0 \quad (7.1.4)$$

and $Jf = 0$ if and only if

$$\theta(s) f(\rho(s, t)) = 0 \quad (\lambda \times \pi)\text{-a.e.} \quad (7.1.5)$$

There exists $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ with $\eta(B_0) = \lambda(K \setminus B_0) = 0$. Let $A = \sigma^{-1}(B_0)$. Then $(\eta \times \pi)(\rho^{-1}A) = 0$ and so if $f = P_A f = 0$, then $f(\rho(s, t)) = 0$ ($\lambda \times \pi$)-a.e. This establishes (7.1.1).

To prove (7.1.2) let $C = \{s : \theta(s) > 0\}$ and observe that if $f \in L_p(C, \lambda, L_p(Y))$, then $f = Jg$, where

$$\begin{aligned}g(\omega) &= \theta(\sigma\omega)^{-1/p} f(\sigma\omega, \tau\omega) && \text{if } \theta(\sigma\omega) > 0 \\ &= 0 && \text{if } \theta(\sigma\omega) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Finally if $f \in L_p(K, \lambda; X)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ then

$$f(s) = 0 \quad \lambda\text{-a.e. } s \in B$$

implies

$$Tf(\omega) = 0 \quad v\text{-a.e. } \omega \in \sigma^{-1}B$$

so that JT is diagonal.

We now turn to the general case.

THEOREM 7.2. Suppose $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow K$ is any Borel map and $T : L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$ is a σ -elementary bounded linear operator.

Then there is a linear operator $J: L_p(\Omega, v; X) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda, l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y))$, and a Borel subset A of Ω such that:

$$(i) \quad J = JP_A \text{ and } J|L_p(A, v; Y) \text{ is an isometry.} \quad (7.2.1)$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{R}(J) \text{ is closed and there is a diagonal projection } Q \text{ onto } \mathcal{R}(J). \quad (7.2.2)$$

$$(iii) \quad JT \text{ is diagonal and } \mathcal{R}(T) \subset L_p(A, v; Y). \quad (7.2.3)$$

Remark. Here the direct sum is the l_p -sum.

Proof. Choose a maximal family $(\Omega_n; n = 1, 2, \dots)$ of disjoint compact subsets of Ω of positive finite v -measure, and such that $\sigma|\Omega_n$ is injective and continuous. Such a family is clearly at most countably infinite. Let $\Omega_\infty = \Omega \setminus \bigcup \Omega_n$. Then Ω_∞ is a G_σ -set and $\sigma|\Omega_\infty$ is anti-injective by Lusin's theorem. For convenience of exposition we shall suppose $(\Omega_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is infinite and $v(\Omega_\infty) > 0$; only minor modifications are required for the other cases.

By the preceding theorem there is a linear map $J_\infty: L_p(\Omega_\infty, v; Y) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; L_p(Y))$ such that for some Borel subset A_∞ of Ω_∞ ,

$$J_\infty = J_\infty P_{A_\infty} \text{ and } J|L_p(A_\infty, v; Y) \text{ is an isometry.} \quad (7.2.4)$$

$$\text{There is a Borel subset } C_\infty \text{ of } K \text{ such that } \mathcal{R}(J_\infty) = L_p(C_\infty, \lambda, L_p(Y)). \quad (7.2.5)$$

$$J_\infty P_\infty T \text{ is diagonal and } \mathcal{R}(P_\infty T) \subset L_p(A_\infty, v; Y). \quad (\text{Here } P_\infty = P_{\Omega_\infty} \text{ is the natural projection of } L_p(\Omega, v; Y) \text{ onto its subspace } L_p(\Omega_\infty, v; Y).) \quad (7.2.6)$$

For $n < \infty$, $\sigma|\Omega_n$ has a continuous inverse on $\sigma(\Omega_n)$. Let $v_n = v|_{\Omega_n}$ and suppose

$$d(\sigma^* v_n) = \theta_n d\lambda + d\eta_n$$

(as in 7.1), where θ_n is a non-negative Borel function and η_n is singular with respect to λ . Define

$$J_n: L_p(\Omega_n, v; Y) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda, Y)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} J_n f(s) &= \theta_n(s)^{1/p} f(\sigma^{-1}s) & s \in \sigma(\Omega_n) \\ &= 0 & s \notin \sigma(\Omega_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|J_n\| \leq 1$, and arguing as in Theorem 7.1 we can find a subset A_n of Ω_n so that $J = JP_{A_n}$ and $J|L_p(A_n, v; Y)$ is an isometry. Furthermore, $\mathcal{R}(J) =$

$L_p(C_n, \lambda; Y)$, where $C_n = (s; \theta_n(s) > 0)$, $\mathcal{R}(P_n T) \subset L_p(A_n, v; Y)$ and $J_n P_n T$ is diagonal.

Now define

$$J: L_p(\Omega, v; Y) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda, l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y))$$

by

$$Jf(s) = ((J_n P_n f(s))_{n=1}^{\infty}, J_{\infty} P_{\infty} f(s)).$$

Let $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and define a diagonal projection $Q: L_p(K, \lambda, l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y)) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda, l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y))$ by

$$Qf(s) = ((P_{C_n} f_n(s))_{n=1}^{\infty}, P_{C_{\infty}} f_{\infty}(s)),$$

where

$$f(s) = ((f_n(s))_{n=1}^{\infty}, f_{\infty}(s)).$$

Then conditions (7.2.1)–(7.2.3) are satisfied. We omit the easy verification.

THEOREM 7.3. *Suppose K is a compact metric space and λ is a diffuse probability measure on K . Let \mathcal{B}_0 be a sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(K)$, and let $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ be the closed subspace of $L_p(K, \lambda)$ of all \mathcal{B}_0 -measurable functions. Assume $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ is a non-trivial subspace and let $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$ be the quotient space $L_p(K, \lambda)/L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$. Then*

(i) *$\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$ contains a complemented copy of L_p .* (7.3.1)

(ii) *If $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0) \cong L_p$, then $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ is complemented in L_p .* (7.3.2)

Remarks. In [7] we showed that $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ is complemented in $L_p(K, \lambda)$ if and only if there exist $A \in \mathcal{B}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ such that:

$$\lambda(B \cap A) \geq \varepsilon \lambda(B) \quad B \in \mathcal{B}_0. \quad (7.3.3)$$

If $C \subset A$, $C \in \mathcal{B}$, then there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$ with $\lambda((B \cap A) \Delta C) = 0$. (7.3.4)

In fact, conditions (7.3.3) and (7.3.4) imply the existence of an automorphism of L_p taking $L_p(A, \lambda)$ into $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$. Precisely $P_A|L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ is an isomorphism onto $L_p(A, \lambda)$ and has inverse $V: L_p(A, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$, say; then the automorphism is given by $U = P_{K \setminus A} + VP_A$. In particular, if $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ is complemented then $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0) \cong L_p$.

Proof. We may assume that \mathcal{B}_0 is generated up to sets of measure zero by a sequence $(B_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of Borel sets. Define $\sigma: K \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}} = M$, say, by

$$(\sigma s)_n = 1_{B_n}(s) \quad s \in K.$$

Induce a probability measure π on M by $\pi = \sigma^* \lambda$. Then $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda) = \mathcal{R}(T)$ when T is the σ -elementary operator

$$Tf(s) = f(\sigma s).$$

Now by Theorem 7.2 we can find a map $J: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(M, \pi, l_p \oplus L_p)$ and a Borel subset A of K such that $J = JP_A$, $J|L_p(A, \lambda)$ is an isometry, $\mathcal{R}(J)$ is complemented, JT is diagonal and $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset L_p(A, \lambda)$. Since $1_K \in \mathcal{R}(T)$, we must have $\lambda(K \setminus A) = 0$, i.e., J is an isometric embedding. Of course by construction T is also an isometric embedding.

Thus

$$JT\phi(s) = \phi(s) g(s) \quad \pi\text{-a.e.} \quad s \in M,$$

where $g: M \rightarrow l_p \oplus L_p$ satisfies $\|g(s)\| = 1$, $s \in M$. To prove (7.3.1) let us first assume that for some $n < \infty$, and some Borel subset C of M of positive π -measure.

$$|g_n(s)| \geq \delta > 0, \quad s \in C.$$

If we defined $P: \mathcal{R}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(JT)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Pf(s) &= g_n(s)^{-1} f_n(s) g(s) & s \in C \\ &= 0 & s \notin C, \end{aligned}$$

then P is a projection. $J^{-1}PJ$ is clearly seen to be a projection of $L_p(\sigma^{-1}C, \lambda)$ onto $L_p(\sigma^{-1}C, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$. Clearly $L_p(\sigma^{-1}C, \lambda)/L_p(\sigma^{-1}C, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$. Thus if $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$ does not contain a complemented copy of L_p , we conclude

$$L_p(\sigma^{-1}C, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda) = L_p(\sigma^{-1}C, \lambda).$$

Since M cannot be a countable family of such sets, there is a compact set $A \subset M$ such that $g_n(s) = 0$, $s \in A$, $1 \leq n < \infty$ and $\pi(A) > 0$.

This means $\|g_\infty(s)\| = 1$, $s \in A$. By observing the form of the projection onto $\mathcal{R}(J)$ we note that $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$ contains a complemented copy of $L_p(A, \pi; L_p)/\mathcal{R}(JTP_A)$.

Now by Lemma 2.3 we can find a strongly measurable map $s \mapsto V_s$ ($A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L_p)$) such that $V_s g_\infty(s) = 1_\Omega$ (where $L_p = L_p(\Omega)$) and $\|V_s\|$,

$\|V_s^{-1}\| \leq 2$. [Here V_s^{-1} is constructed in Lemma 2.3.] Using this we construct an automorphism

$$\begin{aligned} V: L_p(A, \pi; L_p) &\rightarrow L_p(A, \pi; L_p) \\ Vf(s) &= V_s f \quad s \in A, \end{aligned}$$

and conclude

$$L_p(A, \pi; L_p)/\mathcal{R}(JTP_A) \cong L_p(A, \pi; L_p|1).$$

As $L_p|1 \cong L_p \oplus L_p|1$ [8], (7.3.1) follows.

Now we turn to (7.3.2). First we embed $l_p \oplus L_p$ isometrically in L_p by an isometry U , say, and thus induce a diagonal isometry

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}: L_p(M, \pi, l_p \oplus L_p) &\rightarrow L_p(M, \pi, L_p), \\ \hat{U}f(s) &= U(f(s)) \quad s \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h = \hat{U}g$. As above we find a diagonal automorphism V of $L_p(M, \pi, L_p)$ so that $\|V\|, \|V^{-1}\| \leq 2$ and $Vh(s) = 1_\Omega$ for all s . Thus $\mathcal{R}(V\hat{U}JT) = L_p(M, \pi, \mathbb{R} \cdot 1_\Omega)$. Now $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0) \cong \mathcal{R}(J)/\mathcal{R}(JT) \cong \mathcal{R}(V\hat{U}J)/\mathcal{R}(V\hat{U}JT)$ and so we have an embedding

$$R: \Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow L_p(M, \pi, L_p)/\mathcal{R}(V\hat{U}JT),$$

where $RQ_1 = Q_2 V\hat{U}J$ if $Q_1: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$ and $Q_2: L_p(M, \pi, L_p) \rightarrow L_p(M, \pi; L_p)/\mathcal{R}(V\hat{U}JT)$ are the quotient maps.

Now $L_p(M, \pi; L_p)/\mathcal{R}(V\hat{U}JT) \cong L_p(M, \pi; L_p|1)$ and so by Theorem 5.3, there is a lift $\tilde{R}: \Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow L_p(M, \pi; L_p)$ so that $Q_2 \tilde{R} = R$. Since $\mathcal{R}(Q_2 \tilde{R}) \subset \mathcal{R}(Q_2 V\hat{U}J)$ we conclude $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{R}) \subset \mathcal{R}(V\hat{U}J)$ and can define $S = (V\hat{U}J)^{-1} \tilde{R}$. $S: \Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda)$ is an embedding and $RQ_1 S = Q_2 \tilde{R} = R$ so that $Q_1 S = I$ on $\Lambda(\mathcal{B}_0)$. Hence $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ is complemented.

8. ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN $L_p(X)$ -SPACES

We recall that a p -Banach space X is p -trivial [6] if $\mathcal{L}(L_p, X) = \{0\}$ when $0 < p < 1$. As shown in [6], p -triviality is an appropriate non-locally convex analogue of the Radon–Nikodym Property for Banach spaces. Note that, for example, if X has a separating dual or is q -convex for some $q > p$, then X is p -trivial.

THEOREM 8.1. *Suppose K is an infinite compact metric space and λ is a probability measure on K . Suppose X and Y are separable p -Banach spaces, where $0 < p < 1$, and that Y is p -trivial. Then if $T: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; Y)$*

is a bounded linear operator, we can find a Polish space Ω and a σ -finite Borel measure v on Ω so that $T = ST_0$, where

- (i) $S: L_p(\Omega, v; Y) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda, Y)$ is a bounded linear surjection. (8.1.1)
- (ii) $T_0: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$ is an elementary operator. (8.1.2)

Proof. For let $\{x_n\}$ be a dense countable subset of X . For each n consider $V_n: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; Y)$ given by $V_n = \hat{T}(x_n)$ as in Proposition 4.1. Now there is a strongly Borel measurable map $s \mapsto V_{n,s}(\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_p(K; Y))$ so that

$$V_{n,s}f = V_n f(s) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e., } s \in K,$$

and if $\mu_{n,s} = \mu(V_{n,s})$ then $s \mapsto \mu_{n,s}$ is also a Borel map ($K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(K)$) and $V_{n,s}: L_p(K, \mu_{n,s}) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. But Y is p -trivial and so

$$\|V_{n,s}f\|^p \leq \int_K |f(s)|^p d\mu_{n,s}^a,$$

where $\mu_{n,s}^a$ is the purely atomic part of the measure $\mu_{n,s}$. By definition of $\mu(V_{n,s})$ we conclude that $\mu_{n,s}^a = \mu_{n,s}$ ($s \in K$).

Now applying Theorem 2.10 of [7] there are universally measurable maps $a_{n,j}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t_{n,j}: K \rightarrow K$ so that

$$\mu_{n,s} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j}(s) \delta(t_{n,j}(s)), \quad (8.1.3)$$

where for every $s \in K$, and fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau_{n,j}(s) = \tau_{n,k}(s)$ implies $j = k$.

For convenience we can redefine $a_{n,j}$, $\tau_{n,j}$ on a set of λ -measure zero so that they are Borel and (8.1.3) holds λ -a.e. Now let $\{\tau_n^*: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be any sequential ordering of the maps $\{\tau_{n,j}; n \in \mathbb{N}, j \in N\}$, and define inductively $\sigma_1 = \tau_1^*$, and if $n > 1$,

$$\sigma_n(s) = \tau_k^*(s),$$

where k is the least index such that $\tau_k^*(s) \notin \{\sigma_1(s), \dots, \sigma_{n-1}(s)\}$. This process defines a sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ of Borel maps $\sigma_n: K \rightarrow K$ such that $\sigma_n(s) = \sigma_m(s)$ implies $n = m$ and for any fixed k , $\{\tau_{k,j}(s)\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \{\sigma_j(s)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$.

Now for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$ let

$$\Delta_j(t) = \Delta_{\sigma_j}(T)$$

so that $\Delta_j(T): L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; Y)$ is σ_j -elementary. Let $\Omega = K \times \mathbb{N}$ with v the product of λ and counting measure on \mathbb{N} and define

$$T_0: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, v; Y)$$

by

$$T_0 f(s, n) = \Delta_n(T) f(s) \quad s \in K, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We must first check that T_0 is a bounded linear operator. This is most easily done by checking its behavior on elements $\phi \otimes x$, and appealing to Proposition 4.1, to produce a bounded linear operator T'_0 agreeing with T_0 on such elements. Then it is trivial that $T'_0 = T_0$.

Now for fixed n ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(\phi \otimes x)(s, n) &= \Delta_n(T)(\phi \otimes x)(s) \\ &= \phi(\sigma_n(s)) \hat{T}(x)_s e_{\sigma_n(s)} \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

by (6.1.3). Hence

$$\|T_0(\phi \otimes x)(s, n)\|^p \leq |\phi(\sigma_n(s))|^p \mu(\hat{T}(x)_s \{\sigma_n(s)\}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|T_0(\phi \otimes x)(\omega)\|^p d\nu(\omega) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_K \|T_0(\phi \otimes x)(s, n)\|^p d\lambda(s) \\ &= \int_K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi(\sigma_n(s))|^p \mu(\hat{T}(x)_s \{\sigma_n(s)\}) d\lambda(s) \\ &\leq \int_K \int_K |\phi(t)|^p d\mu(\hat{T}(x))_s d\lambda(s) \\ &\leq \|\hat{T}(x)\|^p \int_K |\phi(s)|^p d\lambda(s). \end{aligned}$$

This last step follows from (5.1.5) (with $c = \|\hat{T}(x)\|$). Thus

$$\|T_0(\phi \otimes x)\| \leq \|T\| \|\phi\| \|x\|.$$

as so T_0 is a bounded linear operator.

Note that T_0 is σ -elementary, where

$$\sigma(s, n) = \sigma_n(s) \quad (s, n) \in \Omega.$$

Next define $S: L_p(\Omega, v; Y) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; Y)$ by

$$Sf(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(s, n) \quad s \in K.$$

Here the series converges λ -a.e. for any $f \in L_p(\Omega, v, Y)$ since

$$\int_K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f(s, n)\|^p d\lambda(s) = \|f\|^p$$

then S is an operator of norm one.

Now for $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$ST_0(\phi \otimes x_j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(\sigma_n s) V_{j,s}(e_{\sigma_n s}) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

For λ -almost every s , $\mu_{j,s}$ is supported on $\{\sigma_n s\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\phi \in L_p(\mu_{j,s})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ST_0(\phi \otimes x_j) &= V_{j,s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(\sigma_n s) e_{\sigma_n s} \\ &= V_{j,s}(\phi) \\ &= T(\phi \otimes x_j) \end{aligned}$$

and by a density argument $ST_0 = T$.

THEOREM 8.2. *Under the same hypotheses as Theorem 8.1, there exist a diagonal operator*

$$D: L_p(K, \lambda; X) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y))$$

and a bounded linear operator

$$R: L_p(K, \lambda, l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y)) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; Y) \text{ so that } T = RD.$$

Proof. We write $T = ST_0$ as in 8.1. Now by Theorem 7.2 we can find

$$J: L_p(\Omega, v; Y) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda, l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y))$$

and a Borel subset A of Ω such that (i) $\mathcal{R}(T_0) \subset L_p(A, v; Y)$, (ii) $J|L_p(A, v; Y)$ is an isometry onto $\mathcal{R}(J)$, (iii) $\mathcal{R}(J)$ is complemented by a projection Q and (iv) JT_0 is diagonal.

Let $D = JT_0$ and $R = SJ^{-1}Q$, where $J^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(J) \rightarrow L_p(A, v; Y)$, is the inverse of J .

THEOREM 8.3. *Suppose X and Y are separable p -Banach spaces with Y*

p -trivial, and such that $L_p(X)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_p(Y)$. Then $X \cong X_1 \oplus X_2$, where X_1 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_p(Y)$ and X_2 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $l_p(Y)$ [$X_1 = \{0\}$ or $X_2 = \{0\}$ are possibilities here].

Remarks. The converse of Theorem 8.3 is trivial.

Proof. Let T be an operator mapping $L_p(K, \lambda; X)$ isomorphically onto a complemented subspace of $L_p(K, \lambda; Y)$. Let $T = RD$ as in Theorem 8.2.

Here D and $R|_{\mathcal{R}(D)}$ must be embeddings. If Q is a projection on $\mathcal{R}(T)$ then $R^{-1}QR$ is a projection on $\mathcal{R}(D)$, where $R^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(D)$. However, $\mathcal{R}(D)$ is a diagonal subspace. Hence there is a diagonal projection P onto $\mathcal{R}(D)$ (by 6.2).

Now since D is embedding we can define an operator $E = D^{-1}P$ (where $D^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(D) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; X)$) and E is also diagonal. Recalling Theorem 4.2, we get strongly Borel measurable maps $s \mapsto D_s$ ($K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y))$, $s \mapsto E_s$ ($K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y), X)$) so that

$$\begin{aligned} Df(s) &= D_s(f(s)) && \text{λ-a.e.,} \\ Ef(s) &= E_s(f(s)) && \text{λ-a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Since ED is the identity on $L_p(K, \lambda; X)$, by the uniqueness of such a representation

$$E_s D_s = I \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

This implies, a.e., that D_s maps X isomorphically onto a complemented subspace of $l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y)$.

To complete the proof suppose W is a complemented subspace of $l_p(Y) \oplus L_p(Y)$. Since $\mathcal{L}(L_p, Y) = 0$ we have $\mathcal{L}(L_p(Y), l_p(Y)) = 0$. Thus if Q is a projection onto W and P_1, P_2 are the canonical projections onto $l_p(Y)$ and $L_p(Y)$, respectively, we must have $P_1 Q P_2 = 0$.

It follows that QP_2 is a projection. Indeed $QP_2 QP_2 = Q^2 P_2 = QP_2$: $W_2 = \mathcal{R}(QP_2)$. Then W_2 is a complemented subspace of $L_p(Y)$ and $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, where $W_1 = \mathcal{R}(QP_1 Q)$. However, since QP_1 is on W a projection, $W_1 \cong \mathcal{R}(P_1 QP_1)$, and $P_1 QP_1$ is a projection. Hence W_1 is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $l_p(Y)$. This will complete the proof.

THEOREM 8.4. Suppose X and Y are p -trivial separable p -Banach spaces, with $L_p(X) \cong L_p(Y)$. Then $l_p(X) \cong l_p(Y)$.

Proof. We have $X \cong X_1 \oplus X_2$, where X_1 is complemented in $l_p(Y)$ and X_2 is complemented in $L_p(Y)$. If X is p -trivial, $X_2 = \{0\}$, i.e., X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $l_p(Y)$. Thus $l_p(X)$ is isomorphic to a

complemented subspace of $l_p(Y)$. Reversing the reasoning and applying the Pelczynski decomposition technique gives the result.

Remark. Of course $l_p(X) \cong l_p(Y)$ always implies $L_p(X) \cong L_p(Y)$ as $L_p(X) \cong L_p(l_p(X))$.

COROLLARY 8.5. *If X is p -trivial and $L_p(X) \cong L_p$ then X is finite-dimensional or $X \cong l_p$.*

This follows from a theorem of Stiles that every complemented infinite dimensional subspace of l_p is isomorphic to l_p [16].

COROLLARY 8.6. *If X is p -trivial and $L_p(X)$ contains a complemented copy of L_p then $X^* \neq \{0\}$.*

EXAMPLE 8.7. Let (e_n) be the canonical basis vectors of l_p and let $u_n = 2^{-1/p}(e_{2n-1} + e_{2n})$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then (u_n) is the basis for a subspace N_0 isomorphic to l_p . If $2^{1-1/p} < c < 1$, let $T: N_0 \rightarrow l_p$ be defined so that $Tu_n = ce_n$. As $\|T\| = c < 1$, $I - T: N_0 \rightarrow l_p$ is an isomorphism of N_0 onto a closed subspace N of l_p also isomorphic to l_p , with $(u_n - Tu_n)$ as a basis. By considering e_1 , for example, it is not difficult to show N is a proper subspace. On the other hand, N is weakly dense. Indeed

$$u_n - Tu_n = c(Se_n - e_n),$$

where $Se_n = c^{-1} 2^{-1/p}(e_{2n-1} + e_{2n})$ so that S is bounded linear operator on l_1 with $\|S\|_1 < 1$. Thus $(I - S)$ is an automorphism of l_1 and $(u_n - Tu_n)$ is a weak basis of l_p .

Now l_p/N is p -trivial since $N \cong l_p$ by appealing to the lifting theorems of [8] (N is pseudo-dual). Thus $L_p(l_p/N)$ does not contain a complemented copy of L_p . However, $L_p(l_p/N) \cong L_p(l_p)/L_p(N)$. Hence we have found a subspace of L_p isomorphic to L_p but which cannot be moved by any automorphism into a subspace $L_p(K, \mathcal{B}_0, \lambda)$ for some sub- σ -algebra (use Theorem 7.3).

THEOREM 8.8. *Suppose X and Y are separable p -Banach spaces Y is p -trivial and $\mathcal{L}(X, Y) = 0$. Then if $L_p(X)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_p(Y)$, X is also isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_p(Y)$.*

The proof of Theorem 8.8 employs techniques similar to those of the proof of Theorem 8.4 and we omit it. Theorem 8.6 provides yet another proof that $L_p(L_p|1) \cong L_p$, for $L_p|1$ is not isomorphic to a complemented subspace of L_p (since, e.g., L_p is a K -space and $L_p|1$ is not [8]).

9. THE COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACE PROBLEM FOR L_p

Suppose K is a compact metric space and λ is a diffuse measure on K . Then by Theorem 3.2 of [7] every $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(K, \lambda))$ has a representation of the form

$$Tf(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(s) f(\sigma_n s) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e., } s \in K, \quad (9.0.1)$$

where $a_n : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_n : K \rightarrow K$ are Borel maps satisfying

$$\sigma_m(s) \neq \sigma_n(s) \quad s \in K, \quad m \neq n, \quad (9.0.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(s)|^p < \infty \quad \lambda\text{-a.e., } s \in K, \quad (9.0.3)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma_n^{-1}B} |a_n(s)|^p d\lambda(s) \leq \|T\|^p \lambda(B), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}, \quad (9.0.4)$$

$$|a_n(s)| \geq |a_{n+1}(s)| \quad s \in K, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (9.0.5)$$

Conversely if a_n, σ_n satisfy (9.0.2)–(9.0.5) then (9.0.1) defines a *bounded* linear operator. Of course this result also would follow from Theorem 5.2.

In [7], T was called *small* if each σ_n is anti-injective on the set $\{s : |a_n(s)| > 0\}$. This definition does not depend on the precise form of the representation (9.0.1) as long as (9.0.2) is satisfied.

If T is not small, it is called large and large operators were characterized as follows (Theorem 5.6 of [7])

PROPOSITION 9.1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

$$(i) \quad T \text{ is large} \quad (9.1.1)$$

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{There is a Borel subset of } K \text{ with } \lambda(B) > 0 \text{ such that} \\ &T|_{L_p(B, \lambda)} \text{ is an isomorphism and } T(L_p(B, \lambda)) \text{ is} \\ &\text{complemented in } L_p(K, \lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (9.1.2)$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{There exist } S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(K, \lambda)) \text{ such that } S_1 TS_2 = I \quad (9.1.3)$$

COROLLARY 9.2. *If T is a large projection $\mathcal{R}(T) \cong L_p$.*

Proof. By (9.1), $\mathcal{R}(T)$ contains a complemented copy of L_p . Hence $\mathcal{R}(T) \cong L_p$ by the Pelczynski decomposition technique.

Now if T is small, returning to (9.0.1) it is possible to alter each σ_n to be anti-injective on K without changing T (simply redefine σ_n on $(s : a_n(s) = 0)$).

PROPOSITION 9.3. *Let $U: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; L_p(K, \lambda))$ be defined by*

$$Uf(s) = f(s) \cdot 1_K.$$

Then if T is small there exists a bounded linear operator $S: L_p(K, \lambda; L_p(K, \lambda)) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda)$ such that $T = SU$.

Proof. Clearly $T = S_0 E$, where $E: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(K \times \mathbb{N}, \gamma)$ (γ is the product of λ and counting measure) is defined by

$$Ef(s, n) = a_n(s) f(\sigma_n s)$$

and $S_0: L_p(K \times \mathbb{N}, \gamma) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda)$ is given by

$$S_0 f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(s, n), \quad s \in K, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now E is σ -elementary, where σ is anti-injective. We now argue as in Theorem 8.2, but using Theorem 7.1 in place of Theorem 7.2 to write $T = S_1 V$, where $V: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; L_p)$ is diagonal and $S_1: L_p(K, \lambda; L_p) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda)$. It will be convenient to note $L_p(K, \lambda; L_p) \cong L_p(K, \lambda; L_p(K, \lambda))$. Thus

$$Vf(s) = f(s) g(s) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.},$$

where $g: K \rightarrow L_p$ is a Borel map with $\|g(s)\| \leq \|V\| \lambda\text{-a.e.}$ By Lemma 2.3 there is a strongly Borel measurable map $s \mapsto R_s$ of K into $\mathcal{L}(L_p)$ so that $\|R_s\| \leq 2$ and $R_s 1_K = g(s)$. If $R: L_p(K, \lambda; L_p) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; L_p)$ is given by

$$Rf(s) = R_s(f(s)) \quad s \in K,$$

then $T = S_1 R U$ as required.

THEOREM 9.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)$; then T is small if and only if $\chi(T) = 0$ for every $\chi \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)^*$.*

Proof. Suppose $\chi(T) = 0$ for every $\chi \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)^*$. If T is large then $I = S_1 T S_2$ for some $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)$ and so $\chi(I) = 0$ for every $\chi \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)^*$. However, the diagonal projection Δ maps $\mathcal{L}(L_p)$ into a subspace (of diagonal operators) isomorphic to L_∞ and $\Delta(I) = I$. This is a contradiction.

Conversely suppose T is small. Then $T = SU$ as in 9.3. For each $\phi \in L_p(K, \lambda)$, define $U_\phi: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; L_p(K, \lambda))$ by

$$U_\phi f(s) = f(s) \phi, \quad s \in K.$$

Then $\phi \mapsto U_\phi$ is linear and $\|U_\phi\| = \|\phi\|$. Hence there is a bounded linear map $\phi \mapsto S U_\phi$ of L_p into $\mathcal{L}(L_p)$ with $1 \mapsto T$. Thus $\chi(T) = 0$ for every $\chi \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)^*$.

Remarks. If P is small projection with range Z , say, then $\mathcal{L}(Z)^* = \{0\}$, since if $\chi \in \mathcal{L}(Z)^*$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(Z)$ $T \rightarrow \chi(SPT|Z)$ is a linear functional as $\mathcal{L}(L_p)$ and $D \rightarrow \chi(S)$. Hence $\chi(S) = 0$.

COROLLARY 9.5. *If Z is a complemented subspace of L_p with $Z \not\cong L_p$ then $L_p(Z)$ is also isomorphic to a complemented subspace of L_p , and $L_p(Z) \not\cong L_p$.*

Proof. Clearly $L_p(Z)$ is also isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_p(L_p) = L_p$. Additionally if $\mathcal{L}(L_p(Z))^* \neq \{0\}$, then there exists $\chi \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(Z))^*$ with $\chi(I) \neq 0$. However, there is a natural injection $\mathcal{L}(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(L_p(Z))$, sending I_Z to $I_{L_p(Z)}$ using diagonal operators. Hence $\mathcal{L}(L_p(Z))^* = \{0\}$ and so $L_p(Z) \not\cong L_p$.

THEOREM 9.6. *Suppose X is a p -Banach space with trivial dual such that $L_p(X) \cong L_p$. Then $X \cong L_p$.*

Proof. By 8.3, X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of L_p and by Corollary 9.5, $X \cong L_p$.

Our final result shows a complemented subspace of L_p must belong to one of at most two isomorphism classes. In fact, we strongly suspect L_p is prime.

PROPOSITION 9.7. *Suppose there is a complemented subspace Z of L_p with $Z \not\cong L_p$. Then*

$$(i) \quad Z \cong L_p(Z). \tag{9.7.1}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Every complemented subspace of } L_p \text{ is isomorphic either to } L_p \text{ or to } Z. \tag{9.7.2}$$

$$(iii) \quad Z \text{ is prime.} \tag{9.7.3}$$

Proof. Let us define \mathcal{A} to be the collection of all operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)$ with the following property:

There is a constant $c < \infty$ and a Borel subset B of K with $\lambda(B) > 0$ such that whenever $A \subset B$ is a Borel set of positive measure, there exist operators $S_A : Z \rightarrow L_p$, $R_A : L_p \rightarrow Z$ with $R_A T P_A S_A = I$ on Z , and $\|R_A\| \|S_A\| < c$. $\left. \right\} (9.7.4)$

Two properties of \mathcal{A} are easy to establish and we omit the proofs.

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ is open (for the norm topology on } \mathcal{L}(L_p)). \tag{9.7.5}$$

$$\text{If } ST \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{then } T \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{9.7.6}$$

The proof depends on the fact that a small enough perturbation of the identity on Z is invertible.

Let $Q: L_p \rightarrow Z$ be any projection. Q is certainly a small operator and so may be written $Q = SU$, where $U: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; L_p(K, \lambda))$ is given by

$$Uf(s) = f(s) \cdot 1_K.$$

We shall here identify U as an endomorphism of L_p , using the fact that $L_p(K, \lambda; L_p)$ is isometric to L_p ; equally we may treat S as an endomorphism of L_p . Now $U(Z)$ is also complemented in L_p by the projection UQS , and is isomorphic to Z . Hence there exist operators $R_1: Z \rightarrow L_p$, $R_2: L_p \rightarrow Z$ such that $R_2UR_1 = I$. Now for any Borel subset A of K , with positive λ -measure there are isometries $V_1: L_p \rightarrow L_p(A, \lambda)$, $V_2: L_p(A, \lambda; L_p) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda, L_p)$ such that $V_2UV_1 = U$. Hence $R_2V_2P_A UP_A V_1 R_1 = I$ and $\|R_2V_2P_A\| \cdot \|V_1 R_1\| \leq \|R_1\| \cdot \|R_2\|$. Thus $U \in \mathcal{A}$, with $c = \|R_1\| \|R_2\|$ and $B = K$.

The next step is that if $V: L_p(K, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(K, \lambda; L_p)$ is a non-zero diagonal operator then $V \in \mathcal{A}$. Indeed

$$Vf(s) = f(s)g(s),$$

where $g: K \rightarrow L_p$ is a bounded Borel map. If for some $\delta > 0$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ of positive measure $\|g(s)\| \geq \delta$, $s \in B$, then it is easy to show (via Lemma 2.3) that $UP_B = SV$ and so by (9.7.6), $V \in \mathcal{A}$. Appealing to Theorem 7.1 and (9.7.6), this shows that every non-zero σ -elementary operator for anti-injective σ is in \mathcal{A} .

Next we show that every non-zero small operator belongs to \mathcal{A} . Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_p)$ is small; then we may write

$$Tf(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(s) f(\sigma_n s) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

as in (9.0.1)–(9.0.5). Let

$$Sf(s) = a_1(s) f(\sigma_1 s) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

S is small and elementary and also non-zero (if $S1 = 0$ then $a_1 \equiv 0$ and hence $T = 0$).

We now repeat an argument from p. 371 of [7]. For each n , let $(B_{n,k}: 1 \leq k \leq l(n))$ be partitioning of K into Borel sets of diameter at most $1/n$. Let

$$T_n = \sum_{k=1}^{l(n)} P_{\sigma_1^{-1}B_{n,k}} T P_{B_{n,k}}.$$

Then as on p. 371 of [7], $\theta_p(T_n - S) \rightarrow 0$ and by Lemma 5.2 we can find a set A of positive λ -measure with $SP_B \neq 0$ and $\|(T_n - S)P_A\| \rightarrow 0$. Hence for

large enough n , $T_n P_A \in \mathcal{A}$. Find $B \subset A$ so that (9.7.4) is satisfied for $T_n P_A$ and choose k so that $\lambda(B \cap B_{n,k}) > 0$. Then

$$T_n P_{B \cap B_{n,k}} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \text{i.e., } P_{\sigma_1^{-1} B_{n,k}} T P_{B \cap B_{n,k}} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence $T P_{B \cap B_{n,k}} \in \mathcal{A}$ and so $T \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now any small projection is in \mathcal{A} and in particular it follows that if W is a complemented subspace of L_p , which is not isomorphic to L_p , then W contains a subspace isomorphic to Z and complemented in it. In particular Z contains a complemented copy of $L_p(Z)$; but the reasoning may be reversed to get a complemented copy of Z in $L_p(Z)$. The Pelczynski decomposition technique now yields (9.7.1) and (9.7.2). Statement (9.7.3) follows since Z cannot contain a complemented copy of L_p (again the Pelczynski technique!).

COROLLARY 9.8. L_p has a prime complemented subspace.

Proof. Either Z exists or it does not!

Remarks. We now finally state that $L_p(X) \cong L_p$ with X infinite-dimensional implies that X is one of the spaces l_p , L_p , $L_p \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 1$), $L_p \oplus l_p$, $Z \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 1$), $Z \oplus l_p$ provided Z exists. Otherwise the list is reduced by omitting those involving Z .

10. OPEN PROBLEMS

We list now the major problems left open in this paper.

Problem 10.1 (= Problem 1.6). Is L_p prime for $0 < p < 1$?

Two related problems are:

Problem 10.2. Does there exist a quasi-Banach space X such that $\mathcal{L}(X)^* = \{0\}$? Does there exist a quasi-Banach algebra with identity and a trivial dual?

We remark here that results of Zelazko [18] show that a commutative quasi-Banach algebra with identity has non-trivial dual.

Problem 10.3. If $0 < p < 1$, and X is a quasi-Banach space can there be a projection from $L_p(X)$ onto its subspace of constant functions?

One can show that Z would have the above property; in fact there is a clear relationship with Problem 10.2.

Problem 10.4. If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and X is a quasi-Banach space such that there is a projection from $L_p(X)$ into the subspace of constant functions, is X locally convex?

The author has a number of partial results on this problem which will be published elsewhere. In particular the answer to 10.4 is yes when X has a basis.

Problem 10.5 (= Problem 1.3). Let X be a closed subspace of L_p so that $X \cong L_p/X \cong L_p$. Is X complemented?

Problem 10.6 (See Theorem 7.3). If for two sub- σ -algebras $\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1$ of \mathcal{B} we have $A(\mathcal{B}_0) \cong A(\mathcal{B}_1)$, what can one deduce about \mathcal{B}_0 and \mathcal{B}_1 ?

Problem 10.7. If X and Y are Banach space with the Radon–Nikodym property and $L_1(X) \cong L_1(Y)$ is $l_1(X) \cong l_1(Y)$?

REFERENCES

1. I. W. BAGGETT AND A. RAMSEY, A functional analytic proof of a selection lemma, *Canad. J. Math.* **32** (1980), 441–448.
2. I. D. BERG, N. T. PECK, AND H. PORTA, There is no continuous projection from the measurable functions on the square onto the measurable functions on the interval, *Israel J. Math.* **14** (1973), 199–204.
3. J. BOURGAIN, A counterexample to a complementation problem, *Comp. Math.* **43** (1981), 133–144.
4. J. DIESTEL, “Geometry of Banach Spaces—Selected Topics,” Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 485, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
5. J. DIESTEL AND J. J. UHL, “Vector Measures,” Mathematical Surveys No. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1977.
6. N. J. KALTON, An analogue of the Radon–Nikodym property for non-locally convex quasi-Banach spaces, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **22** (1979), 49–60.
7. N. J. KALTON, The endomorphisms for L_p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$), *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **27** (1978), 353–381.
8. N. J. KALTON AND N. T. PECK, Quotients of $L_p(0, 1)$ for $0 \leq p < 1$, *Studia Math.* **64** (1979), 65–75.
9. D. R. LEWIS AND C. STEGALL, Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $l_1(\Gamma)$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **12** (1973), 177–187.
10. J. LINDENSTRAUSS, On a certain subspace of l_1 , *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **12** (1964), 539–542.
11. D. MAHARAM, On homogeneous measure algebras, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **28** (1942), 108–111.
12. J. VON NEUMANN, On rings of operators, reduction theory, *Ann. Math.* **30** (1949), 401–485.
13. S. ROLEWICZ, “Metric Linear Spaces,” PWN, Warsaw, 1972.
14. H. P. ROSENTHAL, The Banach spaces $C(K)$ and $L_p(\mu)$, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1975), 763–781.
15. Z. SEMADENI, “Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions,” Vol. I, PWN, Warsaw, 1971.
16. W. J. STILES, Some properties of l_p , $0 < p < 1$, *Studia Math.* **42** (1972), 109–119.
17. D. VOGT, Integrations theorie in p -normierten Räumen, *Math. Ann.* **173** (1967), 219–237.
18. W. ZELAZKO, On the radicals of p -normed algebras, *Studia Math.* **21** (1962), 203–206.