# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

## N. J. KALTON On the weak-basis theorem

*Compositio Mathematica*, tome 27, nº 2 (1973), p. 213-215. <http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM\_1973\_27\_2\_213\_0>

© Foundation Compositio Mathematica, 1973, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Compositio Mathematica » (http:// http://www.compositio.nl/) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/legal.php). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

### $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

#### **ON THE WEAK\*-BASIS THEOREM**

#### N. J. Kalton

Suppose  $(E, \tau)$  is a locally convex space; then a sequence  $(x_n)$  is called a basis of E if for every  $x \in E$  there is a unique sequence of scalars  $(a_n)$ with

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$$

If, furthermore the coefficients  $a_n$  are given by

$$a_n = f_n(x)$$

where each  $f_n$  is a  $\tau$ -continuous linear functional, we say that  $(x_n)$  is a Schauder basis of E.

The weak basis theorem of Mazur (see [2]) states that if X is a Banach space, then a basis of X in the weak topology is a Schauder basis of X in the strong topology; in particular it is a Schauder basis. This theorem has been extended to various classes of locally convex spaces. In particular it is natural to ask whether a basis  $(f_n)$  of X\* in the weak\* topology  $\sigma(X^*, X)$  is necessarily a Schauder basis; this is equivalent (see [8] p. 155) to asking whether there exists a basis  $(x_n)$  of X with  $(f_n)$  the corresponding coefficient functionals. Unfortunately Singer shows by example ([8] p. 153 or see [7]) that a weak\* basis need not be Schauder.

However it is trivial that a weak\*-basis of the dual of a reflexive Banach space is Schauder; in this paper we give another important class of spaces for which this theorem is true.

Let  $\tau$  be an  $\langle X, X^* \rangle$  polar topology on  $X^*$ , and let  $(f_k)$  be a  $\tau$ -basis of  $X^*$ ; suppose  $(p_{\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda)$  is a collection of semi-norms defining the topology  $\tau$ . We define

$$p_{\lambda}^{*}(x) = \sup_{n} p_{\lambda}(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} x_{k})$$

where

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k(\tau).$$

Then the collection of semi-norms  $(p_{\lambda}^{*}; \lambda \in \Lambda)$  define a topology  $\tau^{*}$  on  $X^{*}$ . We then have the following lemma (see McArthur [6] Lemma 2 or Bennett and Cooper [1] Lemma 1).

LEMMA 1:  $(X^*, \tau^*)$  is complete and  $(f_k)$  is a Schauder basis of  $(X^*, \tau^*)$ .

**PROOF:** This is proved by a method similar to [1] Lemma 1 or [6] Lemma 3. It is only necessary to assume that whenever  $\sum a_k f_k$  is a  $\tau$ -Cauchy series then it converges; this follows from the sequential completeness of  $(X^*, \tau)$ .

LEMMA 2:  $\tau^*$  is weaker than the norm topology on  $X^*$ .

**PROOF:** For

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k f_k(\tau),$$

the sequence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k f_k$$

is  $\tau$ -bounded and therefore norm bounded. Let

$$||f||^* = \sup_n ||\sum_{k=1}^n a_k f_k||$$

Then the standard argument, used in [1] Lemma 1, shows that  $(X^*, || ||^*)$  is a Banach space. As the identity map  $(X^*, || ||^*) \rightarrow (X^*, || ||)$  is continuous, we obtain, by the open mapping theorem, a constant K > 0 such that

$$||f||^* \leq K||f||$$

However as  $\tau$  is weaker than the norm topology on  $X^*$ ; then for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exists  $K_{\lambda}$  with

$$p_{\lambda}(x) \leq K_{\lambda}||f|| \quad (x \in E)$$

and so

$$p_{\lambda}^{*}(x) \leq K_{\lambda} ||f||^{*} \leq KK_{\lambda} ||f||$$

and  $\tau^*$  is weaker than the norm topology.

THEOREM: Let  $\mu$  be a (positive) measure on a set S; suppose X is a closed subspace of  $L_1(\mu)$  and that  $\tau$  is an  $\langle X, X^* \rangle$  polar topology on  $X^*$ . Then any basis of  $(X^*, \tau)$  is a Schauder basis.

**PROOF:** Suppose  $\{f_k\}$  is a basis of  $(X^*, \tau)$ ; then  $\{f_k\}$  is a Schauder basis of  $(X^*, \tau^*)$ , and so it is sufficient to show that every  $\tau^*$ -continuous linear functional is also  $\tau$ -continuous.

Let  $J: X \to L_1(\mu)$  denote the inclusion map, and let B and C be the closed unit balls if X\* and  $[L_1(\mu)]^*$  respectively; then we have  $J^*(C) = B$ . Let I be the identity map on X\*. The map  $IJ^*: [L_1(\mu)]^* \to (X^*, \tau^*)$ 

214

is continuous by Lemma 2; furthermore, by Lemma 1,  $(X^*, \tau^*)$  is a separable complete locally convex space.

We use the well-known result that  $[L_1(\mu)]^*$  is isometrically isomorphic with the space C(S) of continuous functions on a compact Stone space. This follows, in the case of  $\mu \sigma$ -finite, from the remarks of Grothendieck [3] p. 167. More generally we may use the results of Kakutani ([4], [5]) to show that the real space  $[L_1(\mu)]^*$  is an abstract *M*-space with unit, and therefore lattice isomorphic and isometric with a space C(S) where *S* is compact and Hausdorff; as  $[L_1(\mu)]^*$  is also clearly order-complete it follows that *S* is a Stone space.

Now, by a result of Grothendieck [3], p. 168,  $IJ^* : [L_1(\mu)]^* \to (X^*, \tau^*)$ is weakly compact. Let  $\sigma^*$  denote the weak topology associated with  $\tau^*$ ; we have that  $IJ^*(C) = B$  is  $\sigma^*$ -relatively compact. However B is  $\tau$ -closed and therefore  $\tau^*$ -closed; as B is convex we can deduce that B is  $\sigma^*$ -closed. Thus B is  $\sigma^*$ -compact; hence on B,  $\sigma^*$  coincides with the weaker Hausdorff topology  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ . If  $\phi$  is a  $\tau^*$ -continuous linear functional on  $X^*$ , then  $\phi$  is  $\sigma^*$ -continuous and therefore  $\sigma(X^*, X)$  continuous on B; it follows that  $\phi$  is  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -continuous and therefore  $\tau$ -continuous. This completes the proof.

We conclude by remarking that if X satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem then X is weakly sequentially complete; conversely we may ask whether the theorem holds if X is weakly sequentially complete. This would seem very likely but we have been unable to prove it.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. BENNETT and J. B. COOPER, Weak bases in (F)- and (LF)-spaces, J. London Math. Soc. (1) 44 (1969) 505-508.
- [2] C. BESSAGA and A. PELCZYŃSKI, Properties of bases in spaces of type B<sub>0</sub>, Prace Mat. 3 (1959) 123-142 (Polish).
- [3] A. GROTHENDIECK, Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type C(K), Can. J. Math. 5 (1953) 129-173.
- [4] S. KAKUTANI, Concrete representation of abstract (L)-spaces and the mean ergodic theorem, Ann. Math. (2) 42 (1941) 523-537.
- [5] S. KAKUTANI, Concrete representation of abstract (M)-spaces, Ann. Math. (2) 42 (1941) 994-1024.
- [6] C. W. MCARTHUR, On the weak basis theorem, Coll. Math. 17 (1967) 71-76.
- [7] I. SINGER, Weak\*-bases in conjugate Banach spaces, Stud. Math. 21 (1961) 75-81.
- [8] I. SINGER, Bases in Banach spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1970.

(Oblatum 5-X-1972)

Department of Pure Mathematics Singleton Park Swansea, Wales