

# BASIC SEQUENCES IN $F$ -SPACES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

by N. J. KALTON

(Received 30th June 1973)

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to establish a conjecture of Shapiro (10) that an  $F$ -space (complete metric linear space) with the Hahn-Banach Extension Property is locally convex. This result was proved by Shapiro for  $F$ -spaces with Schauder bases; other similar results have been obtained by Ribe (8). The method used in this paper is to establish the existence of basic sequences in most  $F$ -spaces.

It was originally stated by Banach that every  $B$ -space contains a basic sequence, and proofs have been given by Bessaga and Pelczynski (1), (2), Gelbaum (4) and Day (3). In (1) Bessaga and Pelczynski give a general method of construction in locally convex  $F$ -spaces, but we shall show in Section 3 that this construction can be modified to apply in any  $F$ -space  $(X, \tau)$  on which there is a weaker vector topology  $\rho$  such that  $\tau$  has a base of  $\rho$ -closed neighbourhoods. The basic result of the paper is Theorem 3.2, and this is a natural generalisation of a locally convex version due to Bessaga and Mazur and given (essentially) in Pelczynski (6), (7).

In Section 4 we study the problem of existence of a basic sequence in an arbitrary  $F$ -space, and show that in fact repeated applications of Theorem 3.2 give a basic sequence in any  $F$ -space with a non-minimal topology. Since the only example we know of a minimal  $F$ -space is the space  $\omega$  of all sequences (which has a basis) it seems likely that every  $F$ -space contains a basic sequence.

The results of Section 5 do not depend on Section 4; in this section are gathered together the applications of the existence theory of Section 3. We show that if  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $F$ -space and  $\rho \leq \tau$  is a topology defining the same closed linear subspaces as  $\tau$ , then  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  define the same bounded sets—a result familiar in locally convex theory. The Shapiro conjecture follows immediately. The final theorem is a generalisation of the Eberlein-Smulian theorem employing techniques developed by Pelczynski (7).

The author would like to thank Professor J. H. Shapiro for several helpful comments and supplying a copy of (8), and also the referee for pointing out some serious mistakes in the first draft of the paper.

## 2. Preliminary results

An  $F$ -semi-norm  $\eta$  on a vector space  $X$  is a non-negative real-valued function defined on  $X$  such that

- (i)  $\eta(x+y) \leq \eta(x) + \eta(y)$ .
- (ii)  $\eta(tx) \leq \eta(x) \quad |t| \leq 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \eta(tx) = 0 \quad x \in X$ .

If in addition  $\eta(x) = 0$  implies that  $x = 0$  then we call  $\eta$  an  $F$ -norm. Any vector topology on  $X$  may be defined by a collection of  $F$ -semi-norms; any metrisable topology may be defined by one  $F$ -norm. From this point, unless specifically stated, all vector topologies are assumed to be Hausdorff.

Now suppose  $(X, \rho)$  is a topological vector space and  $\tau$  is a vector topology on  $X$ ; we shall say that  $\tau$  is  $\rho$ -polar if  $\tau$  has a base of neighbourhoods which are  $\rho$ -closed.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $\tau$  is  $\rho$ -polar then  $\tau$  may be defined by a collection of  $F$ -semi-norms  $(\eta_\alpha: \alpha \in A)$  of the form*

$$\eta_\alpha(x) = \sup \{ \lambda(x): \lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha \}$$

where each  $\Lambda_\alpha$  is a collection of  $\rho$ -continuous  $F$ -semi-norms. If  $\tau$  is metrisable then  $\tau$  may be defined by one such  $F$ -norm.

**Proof.** Let  $(\gamma_\alpha: \alpha \in A)$  be a collection of  $F$ -semi-norms defining  $\tau$  such that every  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of 0 contains a set  $\{x: \gamma_\alpha(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$  for some  $\alpha \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; let  $\Delta$  be the collection of all  $\rho$ -continuous  $F$ -semi-norms. We define  $\Lambda_\alpha$  to be the collection of  $F$ -semi-norms of the form

$$\lambda_\delta^\alpha(x) = \inf (\delta(y) + \gamma_\alpha(z): y+z = x).$$

(Thus  $\Lambda_\alpha = \{\lambda_\delta^\alpha: \delta \in \Delta\}$ .) As  $\lambda_\delta^\alpha \leq \delta$  each  $\lambda_\delta^\alpha$  is  $\rho$ -continuous and an  $F$ -semi-norm ( $\lambda_\delta^\alpha \leq \delta$  implies condition (iii) in particular). Now define

$$\eta_\alpha(x) = \sup (\lambda_\delta^\alpha(x): \delta \in \Delta).$$

Clearly  $\eta_\alpha \leq \gamma_\alpha$  and so is an  $F$ -semi-norm. Now if  $U$  is a  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of 0 we may find  $\alpha_1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $x_0 \in \overline{\{x: \gamma_{\alpha_1}(x) \leq \varepsilon\}}$  (closure in  $\rho$ ) then  $x_0 \in U$ . Suppose now  $x_0 \in \{x: \eta_{\alpha_1}(x) < \varepsilon\}$ ; then it is easy to show that  $x_0 \in \overline{\{x: \gamma_{\alpha_1}(x) \leq \varepsilon\}}$  and so  $(\eta_\alpha: \alpha \in A)$  defines  $\tau$ .

If  $\tau$  is metrisable,  $A$  may be taken to be a singleton and therefore  $\tau$  may be defined by a single  $F$ -norm of the required type.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $F$ -space (complete metric linear space) and suppose  $\rho < \tau$  is a vector topology on  $X$ . Then*

- (i) If the net  $x_a \rightarrow 0(\rho)$  but  $x_a \not\rightarrow 0(\tau)$ , then there are vector topologies  $\alpha, \beta$  such that
- (a)  $\rho \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \tau$ ;
  - (b)  $\beta$  is metrisable and  $\alpha$ -polar;
  - (c)  $x_a \rightarrow 0(\alpha)$  but  $x_a \not\rightarrow 0(\beta)$ .
- (ii) If  $U$  is a  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of 0 but not a  $\rho$ -neighbourhood then there are vector topologies  $\alpha, \beta$  satisfying (a), (b) and (c)'  $U$  is a  $\beta$ -neighbourhood of 0 but not an  $\alpha$ -neighbourhood of 0.
- (iii) If  $\tau$  is locally bounded then there is a topology  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha < \tau$  but  $\tau$  is  $\alpha$ -polar.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $\alpha$  be the largest vector topology such that  $\rho \leq \alpha \leq \tau$  and  $x_a \rightarrow 0(\alpha)$  (it is easy to see that there is such a topology). Let  $\beta$  be the vector topology with a base of neighbourhoods consisting of the  $\alpha$ -closures of  $\tau$ -neighbourhoods of 0. Since  $\alpha \leq \tau$  it follows that  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \tau$ . If  $\alpha = \beta$  then the identity map  $i: (X, \alpha) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is almost continuous and so by the Closed Graph Theorem (cf. Kelley (5), p. 213)  $\alpha = \tau$  contrary to hypothesis on the net  $(x_a)$ . Therefore  $\alpha < \beta$ ; clearly also since  $\tau$  is metrisable so is  $\beta$ , and  $x_a \rightarrow 0(\beta)$ .

(ii) (We are grateful to J. H. Shapiro for the following simplification of the original proof.) By an application of Zorn's Lemma it may be shown that there is a maximal vector topology  $\alpha$  such that  $\rho \leq \alpha \leq \tau$  and  $U$  is not an  $\alpha$ -neighbourhood (we do not assert that  $\alpha$  is the largest such topology). Then proceed as in (i).

(iii) Follows from (ii) by considering a single bounded neighbourhood ( $\beta = \tau$ ).

Two vector topologies on  $X$  will be called *compatible* if they define the same closed subspaces.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  be compatible topologies on  $X$ ; they define the same continuous linear functionals.*

**Proof.**  $f$  is  $\tau$ - or  $\rho$ -continuous according as its null space is  $\tau$ - or  $\rho$ -closed.

A sequence  $(x_n)$  in a topological vector space  $X$  is called a *basis* if every  $x \in X$  has a unique expansion in the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i x_i.$$

In this case we may define linear functionals  $f_n$  such that

$$f_n(x) = t_n$$

and linear operators  $S_n$  by

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) x_i.$$

If  $X$  is an  $F$ -space then it is well known (cf. (10), (12)) that each  $f_n$  is necessarily continuous and the family  $\{S_n\}$  is equicontinuous.

Suppose now that  $X$  is metrisable but not necessarily complete; we shall call a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  a *basic sequence* if it is a basis for its closed linear span in the completion of  $X$ . We shall call  $(x_n)$  a *semi-basic sequence* if we simply have  $x_n \notin \overline{\text{lin}} \{x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\}$  for every  $n$ .

We now give a useful and elementary criterion for a sequence  $(x_n)$  to be basic or semi-basic. Let  $(x_n)$  be linearly independent and let  $E$  be the linear span of  $(x_n)$ ; then for  $x \in E$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i x_i$$

uniquely where  $(t_i)$  is finitely non-zero. Define

$$f_n(x) = t_n$$

and

$$S_n x = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) x_i,$$

where  $S_n: E \rightarrow E$  is linear.

**Lemma 2.4.** (i)  $(x_n)$  is semi-basic if and only if each  $S_n$  is continuous or equivalently each  $f_n$  is continuous.

(ii)  $(x_n)$  is basic if and only if the family  $\{S_n\}$  is equicontinuous.

**Proof.** (i) If  $\{x_n\}$  is semi-basic, let  $N_k$  be the null space of  $f_k$ ; then  $N_k$  is a maximal linear subspace of  $E$ . Then  $N_1 = \text{lin} \{x_i: i \geq 2\}$  and since  $x_1 \notin \overline{N}_1$ ,  $N_1$  is closed and  $f_1$  is continuous; while if  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$N_k = \text{lin} \{x_i: i \neq k\} = \text{lin} \{x_i: i < k\} + \text{lin} \{x_i: i > k\}.$$

Hence

$$\overline{N}_k = \text{lin} \{x_i: i < k\} + \overline{\text{lin}} \{x_i: i > k\},$$

since the former space is finite-dimensional. Suppose  $x_k \in \overline{N}_k$ ; then

$$x_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i x_i + y,$$

where  $y \in \overline{\text{lin}} \{x_i: i > k\}$ . Since  $x_k \notin \overline{\text{lin}} \{x_i: i > k\}$  we conclude that there is a first index  $l$  such that  $t_l \neq 0$ . Then we obtain  $x_l \in \overline{\text{lin}} \{x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \dots\}$  and a contradiction. Hence  $x_k \notin \overline{N}_k$  and by the maximality of  $N_k$ ,  $N_k$  is closed and  $f_k$  is continuous.

The converse is trivial.

(ii) (Cf. Shapiro (12), Proposition C.)

It follows from the definition of basic sequence that if  $(x_n)$  is basic then the family  $\{S_n\}$  is equicontinuous (consider  $(x_n)$  as a basis of its closed linear span in the completion of  $X$ ). Conversely,  $S_n(x) \rightarrow x$  for  $x \in E$  and if the family is

equicontinuous  $S_n(x) \rightarrow x$  for  $x \in \bar{E}$  (closure in the completion of  $X$ ), and it easily follows that  $(x_n)$  is a basis for  $\bar{E}$ .

**3. Construction of basic sequences**

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a finite-dimensional space and suppose  $V$  is a closed balanced subset of  $E$ . If  $V$  intersects every one-dimensional subspace of  $E$  in a bounded set then  $V$  is bounded.*

**Proof.** We may suppose  $E$  is normed; suppose  $x_n \in V$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by selecting a subsequence we may suppose  $\|x_n\|^{-1}x_n \rightarrow z$  where  $\|z\| = 1$ . Then for any  $N$  there is an  $m$  such that for  $n \geq m$ ,  $\|x_n\| \geq N$  and

$$\|x_n\|^{-1}x_n \in \|x_n\|^{-1}V \subset N^{-1}V.$$

Therefore  $z \in N^{-1}V$  for all  $N$  and hence  $V \supset \text{lin}\{z\}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is a metric linear space and  $\rho$  is a vector topology on  $X$  such that  $\tau$  is  $\rho$ -polar. Suppose  $(x_a)$  is a net such that  $x_a \rightarrow 0(\rho)$  but  $x_a \not\rightarrow 0(\tau)$ ; suppose  $z_1 \neq 0 \in X$ . Then there is a sequence  $(a(k): k \geq 2)$  such that*

$$a(k+1) > a(k)$$

for all  $k \geq 2$  and the sequence  $(z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a basic sequence where  $z_n = x_{a(n)}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

**Proof.** We may suppose (Proposition 2.1) that  $(X, \tau)$  is normed by an  $F$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  such that

$$\|x\| = \sup\{\lambda(x): \lambda \in \Lambda\},$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a collection of  $\rho$ -continuous  $F$ -norms. Let  $\theta > 0$  be chosen such that

(i)  $\|z_1\| \geq 4\theta$ .

(ii) For all  $a$ ,  $\exists a' \geq a$  such that  $\|x_{a'}\| \geq 4\theta$ .

Let  $V = \{x: \|x\| \leq \theta\}$ ; then  $V \cap \text{lin}\{z_1\}$  is compact (since  $\|z_1\| \geq 4\theta$ ). We shall construct the sequence  $(a(n): n \geq 2)$  by induction so that if

$$E_n = \text{lin}(z_1, x_{a(2)}, \dots, x_{a(n)})$$

then  $E_n \cap V$  is compact.

Suppose  $\{a(2), \dots, a(n)\}$  have been chosen (this set can be empty at the first step, the selection of  $a(2)$ ) and let  $E_n = \text{lin}(z_1, x_{a(2)}, \dots, x_{a(n)})$ . By the inductive hypothesis  $V \cap E_n$  is compact.

For  $1 \leq k \leq 2^{n+3}$  let

$$W_k^n = \{x: \|x\| = k \cdot 2^{-(n+3)}\theta\} \cap E_n.$$

Each  $W_k^n$  is compact and so we may choose finite subsets  $U_k^n$  so that for  $w \in W_k^n$  there exists  $u \in U_k^n$  with

$$\|w - u\| \leq 2^{-(n+3)}\theta.$$

Let  $U^n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^{n+3}} U_k^n$ , and for  $u \in U^n$  choose  $\lambda_u \in \Lambda$  so that

$$\lambda_u(u) \geq \|u\| - 2^{-(n+3)}\theta. \tag{1}$$

Then choose  $b > a(n)$  so that if  $c \geq b$  then

$$\lambda_d(x_c) \leq 2^{-(n+3)}\theta \tag{2}$$

for  $u \in U^n$  (possible since  $U^n$  is finite and  $x_a \rightarrow 0(\rho)$ ).

Choose a subnet  $(x_d: d \in D)$  of  $(x_c: c \geq b)$  such that  $\|x_d\| \geq 4\theta$ , and suppose for every such  $x_d$  the set  $V \cap \text{lin}(E_n, x_d)$  is unbounded. By Lemma 3.1, for every  $d$  there exists  $t_d x_d + u_d \neq 0$  where  $u_d \in E_n$  such that the linear span of  $(t_d x_d + u_d)$  is contained in  $V$ . Clearly  $u_d \neq 0$  and so we may normalize in such a way that  $\|u_d\| = \theta$  (since  $V \cap E_n$  is compact). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_d x_d\| &\leq \|t_d x_d + u_d\| + \|u_d\| \\ &\leq 2\theta \end{aligned}$$

so that  $|t_d| \leq 1$ . Hence since  $x_d \rightarrow 0(\rho)$ ,  $t_d x_d \rightarrow 0$  in  $(\rho)$ . By selection again of a subnet we may suppose  $u_d \rightarrow u$  in  $E_n$  (since  $V \cap E_n$  is compact) and  $\|u\| = \theta$ . Then for any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|tu\| &\leq \liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \|t(t_d x_d + u_d)\| \\ &\leq \theta \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\text{lin}\{u\} \subset V \cap E_n$ , a contradiction.

Hence we may choose  $a(n+1) \geq b$  such that  $\|x_{a(n+1)}\| \geq 4\theta$  and  $V \cap E_{n+1}$  is compact. This completes the construction of  $a(n)$ ; now let  $z_n = x_{a(n)}$   $n \geq 2$ . It remains to establish that by using (1) and (2)  $(z_n)$  is a basic sequence.

For convenience we shall replace  $\|\cdot\|$  by an equivalent  $F$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|^*$  given by

$$\|x\|^* = \min(\|x\|, \theta).$$

We next show that if  $t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}$  is a scalar sequence

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i z_i \right\|^* \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right\|^* - 2^{-(n+1)}\theta. \tag{3}$$

Choose the greatest integer  $k$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right\|^* \geq k \cdot 2^{-(n+3)}\theta.$$

Then  $0 \leq k \leq 2^{n+3}$ ; if  $k = 0$  there is nothing to prove. If  $k \geq 1$  then we may choose a scalar  $s$  with  $|s| \leq 1$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n s t_i z_i \right\|^* = k \cdot 2^{-(n+3)}\theta.$$

Then choose  $u \in U_k^n$  so that

$$\left\| u - \sum_{i=1}^n s t_i z_i \right\|^* \leq 2^{-(n+3)}\theta.$$

If  $|st_{n+1}| \leq 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + st_{n+1}z_{n+1}\| &\geq \lambda_u(u) - \lambda_u(z_{n+1}) \\ &\geq (k-2) \cdot 2^{-(n+3)}\theta \end{aligned}$$

by (1) and (2). If  $|st_{n+1}| \geq 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + st_{n+1}z_{n+1}\| &\geq \|z_{n+1}\| - \|u\| \\ &\geq 3\theta \geq (k-2)2^{-(n+3)}\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| s \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i z_i \right\| &\geq (k-2)2^{-(n+3)}\theta - 2^{-(n+3)}\theta \\ &= (k-3)2^{-(n+3)}\theta \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right\|^* - 2^{-(n+1)}\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence since  $|s| \leq 1$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i z_i \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right\|^* - 2^{-(n+1)}\theta$$

and (3) follows.

From (3) it is clear that  $(z_n)$  is linearly independent for if  $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right\| \geq \theta$  then  $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i z_i \right\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\theta$ ; thus if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i z_i = 0$ , then for every  $s$ ,  $\left\| s \sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i \right\| \leq \theta$  and so since  $V \cap E_n$  is compact,  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i z_i = 0$ . Let  $E$  be the linear span of  $\{z_n\}$  and define  $S_k$  by

$$S_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i z_i$$

where  $(t_i)$  is finitely non-zero. Then by (3)

$$\|S_{n+k}x\|^* \geq \|S_nx\|^* - 2^{-n}\theta \quad (k \geq 0)$$

and therefore for  $x \in E$  and  $n \geq 1$

$$\|x\|^* \geq \|S_nx\|^* - 2^{-n}\theta.$$

Suppose  $\|x_m\| \rightarrow 0$  but  $\|S_kx_m\| \rightarrow 0$ ; then since  $V \cap E_k$  is compact we may, by selecting a subsequence and multiplying by a bounded sequence of scalars, suppose that  $\|S_kx_m\| = \theta$ . Thus  $\|x_m\| \geq \frac{1}{2}\theta > 0$ , and we have a contradiction. Thus each  $S_k$  is continuous.

To establish equicontinuity of  $\{S_m: m \geq 1\}$  we must show that if  $p(m)$  is any sequence and  $x_m \rightarrow 0$  then  $S_{p(m)}x_m \rightarrow 0$ . Suppose not; then we may suppose

$$\|S_{p(m)}x_m\|^* \geq \gamma > 0$$

for all  $m$ . Then

$$\|x_m\|^* \geq \gamma - 2^{-p(m)}\theta$$

and as  $\|x_m\|^* \rightarrow 0$  we conclude that  $p(m)$  is bounded. But then we may select a constant subsequence and this contradicts the continuity of each  $S_n$ . Thus by Lemma 2.4 we have established the theorem.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 suppose  $\mu$  is a pseudo-metrisable topology on  $X$  such that  $\mu \leq \rho$ . Then  $(z_n)$  may be chosen so that  $z_n \rightarrow 0(\mu)$ .*

An examination of the proof of Theorem 3.2 reveals that we can insist that  $\eta(z_n) \rightarrow 0$  for any single  $\rho$ -continuous  $F$ -semi-norm.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $F$ -space and that  $\rho$  is a vector topology on  $X$  with  $\rho < \tau$ . Suppose  $x_a \rightarrow 0(\rho)$  but  $x_a \not\rightarrow 0(\tau)$ , and that  $z_1 \in X$ . Then there is a sequence  $a(k)$  so that  $a(k+1) > a(k)$   $k \geq 2$  and such that the sequence  $(z_n)$  is a semi-basic sequence where  $z_n = x_{a(n)} n \geq 2$ .*

**Proof.** Proposition 2.2 combined with Theorem 3.2 establishes that we may choose  $(z_n)$  to be a basic sequence in a weaker topology than  $\tau$ . This clearly implies that  $(z_n)$  is at least a semi-basic sequence in  $(X, \tau)$ .

#### 4. Existence of basic sequences

In this section we consider the question of whether an  $F$ -space need possess a basic sequence. The results we obtain will not be used in Section 5, and this section may be omitted. We shall call a topological vector space  $(E, \tau)$  *minimal* if for every Hausdorff vector topology  $\rho \leq \tau$  we have  $\rho = \tau$ . It is well known that  $\omega$  is minimal if we restrict to locally convex topologies.

**Proposition 4.1.**  *$\omega$  is a minimal  $F$ -space.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $\rho$  is a weaker vector topology on  $\omega$  and  $x_a \rightarrow 0(\rho)$  but  $\|x_a\| \geq \theta$  (where  $\|\cdot\|$  is an  $F$ -norm determining the topology of  $\omega$ ). Then there is a sequence  $(z_n)$ , with  $\|z_n\| \geq \theta$ , which is a basic sequence for some weaker Hausdorff vector topology on  $\omega$  (Proof of 3.4). Let  $E$  be the closed linear span of  $(z_n)$  in the original topology, then  $E \cong \omega$ . However, the dual functionals of  $(z_n)$  induce on  $E$  a weaker Hausdorff locally convex topology. It follows that  $z_n \rightarrow 0$  contrary to assumption.

We do not know any other examples of minimal  $F$ -spaces; their existence is crucial to the problem of basic sequences in view of the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Every non-minimal  $F$ -space contains a basic sequence.*

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 4.2 we first prove a stability theorem for basic sequences similar to a locally convex version given by Weill (13) (cf. also Shapiro (11), p. 1085). A sequence in a topological vector space is *regular* if it is bounded away from zero.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Suppose  $X$  is an  $F$ -space and  $(x_n)$  is a regular basic sequence. Suppose  $\sum \|u_n\| < \infty$ , and let  $y_n = x_n + u_n$ . If whenever*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n y_n = 0$$

*then  $t_n = 0$ , then  $(y_n)$  is also a basic sequence.*

**Proof.** Define a map  $S: l_{\infty} \rightarrow X$  by

$$S(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n u_n.$$

Since  $\sum \|u_n\| < \infty$ ,  $S$  is well defined and  $S$  is continuous by the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem. Now suppose  $(t^{(n)})$  is a sequence in  $l_{\infty}$  such that

$$\sup \|t^{(n)}\|_{\infty} < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_k^{(n)} = 0 \quad \text{for each } k.$$

Then it is easy to verify that  $\|S(t^{(n)})\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $E$  be the closed linear span of  $\{x_n\}$  and suppose  $f_n \in E'$  is the bi-orthogonal sequence. For  $x \in E$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$ , since  $(x_n)$  is regular. We define  $R: E \rightarrow c_0$  by  $R(x) = (f_n(x))$ ;  $R$  is continuous by the Closed Graph Theorem. Hence the map  $T: E \rightarrow X$  defined by  $T = I + SR$  is also continuous. Since  $T$  takes the form

$$T(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) y_n.$$

$T$  is injective. Now suppose  $(z_n) \subset E$  is a sequence such that  $\|T(z_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ ; suppose  $\|z_n\| > \varepsilon > 0$ . We suppose at first

$$\sup_n \|R(z_n)\|_{\infty} < \infty.$$

Then by selecting a subsequence we may suppose  $R(z_n) \rightarrow t$  co-ordinatewise in  $l_{\infty}$  and hence

$$S(R(z_n)) \rightarrow S(t) \text{ in } X.$$

Now

$$z_n = T(z_n) - S(R(z_n)) \rightarrow -S(t).$$

Therefore  $S(t) \in E$  and

$$R(z_n) + RS(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } l_{\infty}.$$

i.e.

$$t + RS(t) = 0$$

$$S(t) + SRS(t) = 0$$

$$T(S(t)) = 0$$

$$S(t) = 0$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = 0$$

contrary to assumption. It follows that no subsequence of  $(\|Rz_n\|_\infty)$  is bounded.

If, on the contrary,  $\|Rz_n\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ , then we may consider  $(\|Rz_n\|_\infty^{-1}z_n)$  and obtain a similar contradiction. We establish that for such a sequence  $\|Rz_n\|_\infty^{-1}z_n \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $\|Rz_n\|_\infty^{-1}Rz_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $l_\infty$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $T$  is an isomorphism on to its image, and as  $Tx_n = y_n$ ,  $(y_n)$  is a basic sequence.

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Let  $U_n$  be a base of neighbourhoods of 0 in  $(X, \tau)$ ; We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $U_1$  is not a neighbourhood of 0 in some weaker vector topology. By Proposition 2.2 there are vector topologies  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $X$  such that  $\alpha < \beta \leq \tau$ ,  $\beta$  is metrisable and  $\alpha$ -polar and  $U_1$  is a  $\beta$ -neighbourhood. Then by Theorem 3.2 there is a basic sequence  $(w_k^{(1)})$  in  $(X, \beta)$ . Then let  $E_1$  be the  $\tau$ -closed linear hull of the sequence  $(w_k^{(1)})$  and let  $F_1$  be the linear span; let  $\gamma_1 = \beta$ . Then by induction we construct sequences  $(h_k^{(n)})$ ,  $E_n$ ,  $F_n$ ,  $\gamma_n$  such that  $F_n = \text{lin} \{w_k^{(n)}: k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $E_n$  is the  $\tau$ -closure of  $F_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  is a metrisable vector topology on  $E_n$  such that  $(w_k^{(n)}: k = 1, 2, \dots)$  is a basis of  $(E_n, \gamma_n)$ . Furthermore

(i)  $(w_k^{(n)})$  is block basic with respect to  $(w_k^{(n-1)})$  for  $n \geq 2$ , i.e.  $w_k^{(n)}$  takes the form

$$w_k^{(n)} = \sum_{p_{k-1}+1}^{p_k} c_i w_i^{(n-1)},$$

where  $p_0 = 0 < p_1 < p_2 \dots$ . Thus  $F_n \subset F_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $E_n \subset E_{n-1}$   $n \geq 2$ .

(ii) The topology  $\gamma_n$  on  $E_n$  is finer than  $\gamma_{n-1}$  restricted to  $E_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , and coarser than  $\tau$ .

(iii)  $U_n \cap E_n$  is a  $\gamma_n$ -neighbourhood of 0.

We now describe the inductive construction; suppose  $(w_k^{(n)})$ ,  $E_n$ ,  $F_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  have been chosen. If  $U_{n+1} \cap E_n$  is a  $\gamma_n$ -neighbourhood of 0 then let  $\gamma_{n+1} = \gamma_n$  and  $w_k^{(n+1)} = w_k^{(n)}$  for all  $k$ . Otherwise by Proposition 2.2 we may find topologies  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma_{n+1}$  on  $E_n$  such that  $\gamma_n \leq \alpha < \gamma_{n+1} \leq \tau$ ,  $\gamma_{n+1}$  is  $\alpha$ -polar and metrisable and  $U_{n+1} \cap E_n$  is a  $\gamma_{n+1}$ -neighbourhood of 0 but not an  $\alpha$ -neighbourhood.

Since  $F_n$  is  $\tau$ -dense in  $E_n$ ,  $F_n$  is also  $\gamma_{n+1}$ -dense and hence  $\alpha < \gamma_{n+1}$  on  $F_n$ . Thus by Corollary 3.3 we may determine a  $\gamma_{n+1}$ -regular basic sequence  $(z_k)$  in  $F_n$  such that  $z_k \rightarrow 0(\gamma_n)$ . Thus

$$z_k = \sum_{i=1}^{q(k)} c_{k,i} w_i^{(n)},$$

where  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{k,i} = 0$  for each  $i$  (since the co-ordinate functionals for  $(w_i^{(n)})$  are  $\gamma_n$ -continuous). It follows easily that we may find a subsequence  $(y_k)$  and a block basic sequence  $(w_k^{(n+1)})$  such that  $\sum_k \|y_k - w_k^{(n+1)}\|_{n+1} < \infty$  where  $\|\cdot\|_{n+1}$  is an  $F$ -norm determining  $\gamma_{n+1}$ . If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k w_k^{(n+1)} = 0 \quad (\gamma_{n+1})$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k w_k^{(n+1)} = 0 \quad (\gamma_n)$$

and thus since the co-ordinate functionals for  $w_i^{(n)}$  are  $\gamma_n$ -continuous  $t_k = 0$  for all  $k$ . Thus  $(w_k^{(n+1)})$  is a  $\gamma_{n+1}$ -basic sequence, and we proceed by letting  $F_{n+1} = \text{lin } \{w_k^{(n)}\}$ ,  $E_{n+1} = \bar{F}_{n+1}$  (in  $\tau$ ). This completes the inductive construction.

Finally take the "diagonal sequence"

$$v_n = w_n^{(n)}.$$

Then for each  $n$ ,  $(v_k: k \geq n)$  is block basic with respect to  $(w_k^{(n)})$ . In particular  $(v_k)$  is block basic with respect to  $(w_k^{(1)})$  and hence there are  $\gamma_1$ -continuous linear functionals  $(f_k)$  defined on  $\text{lin } \{v_k\}$  such that  $f_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . These are then also  $\tau$ -continuous and extend to the closed linear span  $H$  of  $\{v_k\}$ . Now suppose  $x \in H$ ; we show

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)v_i = x.$$

For any  $n$ ,  $(v_k: k \geq n)$  is a basic sequence in  $(E_n, \gamma_n)$ ; let

$$R_n(x) = x - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(x)v_i.$$

Then  $R_n(x)$  is in the  $\tau$ -closure of  $\text{lin } \{v_k: k \geq n\}$ , as this space is easily seen to be  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i^{-1}(0)$ . Thus  $R_n(x)$  is in  $E_n$  and in the  $\gamma_n$ -closure of  $\text{lin } \{v_k: k \geq n\}$ . Therefore

$$R_n(x) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} f_i(x)v_i \quad (\gamma_n)$$

and so for some  $N$  and all  $m \geq N$ ,

$$R_n(x) - \sum_{i=n}^m f_i(x)v_i \in U_n,$$

and

$$x - \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x)v_i \in U_n.$$

Thus  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)v_i$  for  $x \in H$ , and  $(v_i)$  is a basic sequence.

If  $E$  is a minimal  $F$ -space, then  $E$  may still possess a basic sequence (see Proposition 4.1). The author does not know if every  $F$ -space must possess a basic sequence.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $F$ -space; the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  contains no basic sequence.
- (ii) Every closed subspace of  $X$  with a separating dual is finite-dimensional.

**Proof.** Clearly (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) so we have to show (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). If  $E$  is a subspace of  $X$  with a separating dual, then the weak topology  $\sigma$  on  $E$  is weaker than  $\tau$ . If  $E$  is infinite-dimensional, then by Theorem 4.2  $\sigma = \tau$ . But in this case  $E \cong \omega$ , and so has a basis. Therefore,  $E$  is finite-dimensional.

## 5. Applications

We now can apply basic sequences or rather semi-basic sequences to derive many results familiar in locally convex theory.

### Theorem 5.1.

(i) Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $F$ -space and suppose  $\rho \leq \tau$  is a vector topology on  $X$  compatible with  $\tau$ . Then every  $\rho$ -bounded set is  $\tau$ -bounded.

(ii) Suppose  $X$  is a vector space and  $\rho \leq \tau$  are two vector topologies on  $X$  such that  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  are compatible and  $\tau$  is  $\rho$ -polar. Then any  $\rho$ -bounded set is  $\tau$ -bounded.

**Proof.** (i) It is enough to show that if  $x_n \rightarrow 0(\rho)$  and  $c_n$  is a sequence of scalars such that  $c_n \rightarrow 0$  then  $c_n x_n \rightarrow 0(\tau)$ . Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow 0(\rho)$ ; then choose  $x_0 \neq 0$ . For  $c_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $c_n \neq 0$ ,

$$c_n(x_n + x_0) \rightarrow 0(\rho).$$

Suppose  $c_n(x_n + x_0) \not\rightarrow 0(\tau)$ ; then by Corollary 3.4, there is a semi-basic sequence  $(z_n)$  with  $z_1 = x_0$  and

$$z_n = c_{m_n}(x_{m_n} + x_0) \quad (n \geq 2),$$

where  $(m_n)$  is an increasing sequence of integers. Then

$$c_{m_n}^{-1} z_n \rightarrow x_0(\rho)$$

and hence  $x_0$  is in the  $\rho$ -closure of  $\text{lin} \{z_n: n \geq 2\}$ . Thus  $x_0$  is also in the  $\tau$ -closure of  $\text{lin} \{z_n: n \geq 2\}$ , contradicting the fact that  $(z_n)$  is a semi-basic sequence. Thus since  $c_n x_0 \rightarrow 0$ ,  $c_n x_n \rightarrow 0(\tau)$ .

The proof of (ii) is somewhat similar; let  $\eta$  be a  $\rho$ -lower-semi-continuous  $\tau$ -continuous  $F$ -semi-norm and let  $N = \{x: \eta(x) = 0\}$ . Then  $X/N$  is metrisable under  $\eta$  and may be given the quotient topology  $\hat{\rho}$  of  $\rho$  ( $N$  is  $\rho$ -closed). Every  $\eta$ -closed subspace of  $X/N$  is  $\hat{\rho}$ -closed and so an argument similar to (i) may be employed.

**Corollary 5.2.** Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $F$ -space and  $\rho \leq \tau$  is a metrisable vector topology compatible with  $\tau$ . Then  $\rho = \tau$ .

**Corollary 5.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $F$ -space with the Hahn-Banach Extension Property. Then  $X$  is locally convex.

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma$  be the weak topology on  $N$ ; then  $\sigma \leq \tau$  and  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are compatible by the HBEP. For suppose  $Y$  is a  $\tau$ -closed subspace and  $x \notin Y$ ; then

by HBEP there is a continuous linear functional  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(Y) = 0$  and  $\phi(x) = 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be the associated Mackey topology; then (see Shapiro (10), Proposition 3)  $\sigma \leq \mu \leq \tau$  and  $\mu$  is metrisable. Hence by Corollary 5.2  $\mu = \tau$  and  $\tau$  is locally convex.

**Corollary 5.4.** *Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $F$ -space and  $\rho \leq \tau$  is a vector topology compatible with  $\tau$ . Then  $\tau$  is  $\rho$ -polar.*

**Proof.** Let  $\gamma$  be the topology induced by the  $\rho$ -closures of  $\tau$ -neighbourhoods of 0; then  $\rho \leq \gamma \leq \tau$  and  $\gamma$  is metrisable. Hence by 5.2,  $\gamma = \tau$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $F$ -space and let  $(x_n)$  be a basis of  $X$  in a compatible topology  $\rho \leq \tau$ . Then  $(x_n)$  is a basis of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** By the previous corollary we may assume that  $\tau$  is defined by a  $\rho$ -lower-semi-continuous  $F$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|$  (see Proposition 2.1). Each  $x \in X$  may be expanded in the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)x_i(\rho)$$

(the linear functionals  $f_n$  are not necessarily  $\rho$ -continuous). Now for each  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i\right)$  is  $\rho$ - and therefore  $\tau$ -bounded (Theorem 5.1) and so we may define

$$\|x\|^* = \sup_n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)x_i \right\|.$$

Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|tx\|^* = 0$  since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} ty = 0$  uniformly for  $y$  in a bounded set; hence  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is an  $F$ -norm on  $X$ . Clearly also  $\|x\|^* \geq \|x\|$  by the  $\rho$ -lower-semi-continuity of  $\|\cdot\|$ .

It remains to establish that  $(X, \|\cdot\|^*)$  is complete and then by the Closed-Graph Theorem it will follow that  $\|\cdot\|^*$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  are equivalent. Let  $(y_n)$  be a  $\|\cdot\|^*$ -Cauchy sequence; then since  $\|y_n - y_m\| \leq \|y_n - y_m\|^*$  for all  $m, n$ ,  $(y_n)$  is  $\tau$ -convergent to  $y$  say. Furthermore, it can be seen that the sequences

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(y_n)x_i \right)$$

are  $\tau$ -convergent uniformly in  $m$ ; clearly  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_i(y_n) = t_i$  exists and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(y_n)x_i = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i x_i$$

uniformly in  $m$  for the topology  $\tau$ . Thus working in the weaker topology  $\rho$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m t_i x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(y_n)x_i = y.$$

(The limits are interchangeable by uniform convergence.) Therefore it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(y_n)x_i = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(y)x_i(\tau)$$

uniformly in  $m$  and that  $\|y - y_n\|^* \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|^*$  are equivalent, and by an application of Lemma 2.4,  $(x_n)$  is a basic sequence in  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . By the compatibility of  $\rho$ ,  $(x_n)$  is a basis of  $X$ .

Shapiro (12) proves that the Weak Basis Theorem fails in any non-locally convex locally bounded  $F$ -space. With regard to this theorem we establish that a weaker version of the Weak Basis Theorem holds always.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be a weak basis of  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $F$ -space with a separating dual. Then the associated linear functionals  $\{f_n\}$  are continuous.*

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma$  be the weak topology and  $\mu$  the (metrisable) Mackey topology. Then  $(X, \mu)$  is barrelled, for if  $C$  is a  $\mu$ -barrel then  $C$  is  $\tau$ -closed and by the Baire Category Theorem we may show  $C$  has  $\tau$ -interior. It follows easily that  $C$  is a  $\tau$ -neighbourhood of  $0$  and thus a  $\mu$ -neighbourhood ((10), Proposition 3).

Now let  $\|\cdot\|_n$  be a sequence of semi-norms defining  $\mu$  and let

$$\|x\|_n^* = \sup_m \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x)x_i \right\|_n$$

(finite, since  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  have the same bounded sets). Let  $\mu^*$  be the topology induced by the sequence  $\|\cdot\|_n^*$  and let  $\hat{X}$  be the  $\mu^*$ -completion of  $X$ . Consider the identity map  $i: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (\hat{X}, \mu^*)$ . Suppose  $z_n \in X$ ,  $z_n \rightarrow z$  ( $\mu$ ) and  $z_n \rightarrow z'$  ( $\mu^*$ ).

Then  $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(z_n)x_i \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly  $\mu$ -Cauchy for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ; thus in the topology  $\sigma \leq \mu$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(z_n)x_i = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(z_n)x_i$$

and we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_i(z_n) = t_i \text{ exists for each } i$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z = \sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i x_i \text{ in } \sigma.$$

Thus  $f_i(z) = t_i$  and therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(z_n - z)x_i = 0 \text{ } \mu\text{-uniformly in } m.$$

Hence  $z_n \rightarrow z$  in  $(X, \mu^*)$  and  $i$  has Closed Graph. By the Closed Graph Theorem ((9), p. 116), since  $(\hat{X}, \mu^*)$  is complete and metric,  $\mu \geq \mu^*$  and it follows easily that each  $f_n$  is  $\mu$  and hence  $\tau$ -continuous.

The idea of the next theorem is due to Pelczynski (7).

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $F$ -space and suppose  $\rho \leq \tau$  is a compatible vector topology. Let  $K$  be a subset of  $X$ ; then the following are equivalent*

- (i)  $K$  is  $\rho$ -compact,
- (ii)  $K$  is  $\rho$ -sequentially compact,
- (iii)  $K$  is  $\rho$ -countably compact.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are well known. Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be an  $F$ -norm determining  $\tau$ ; by Corollary 5.4 we may suppose  $\|\cdot\|$  is  $\rho$ -lower-semi-continuous.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). It is easy to see that  $K$  is  $\rho$ -precompact; we show that  $K$  is also  $\rho$ -complete. Let  $(\hat{X}, \hat{\rho})$  be the  $\rho$ -completion of  $X$  and let  $Y \subset \hat{X}$  be the vector space of all  $y \in \hat{X}$  such that there is a  $\rho$ -bounded net  $x_\alpha \in X$  such that  $x_\alpha \rightarrow y$ . By Theorem 5.1 a  $\rho$ -bounded net is  $\tau$ -bounded. Let  $B_\lambda = \{x \in X: \|x\| \geq \lambda\}$ ; then for  $y \in Y$  we define

$$\|y\|^* = \inf \{\lambda: y \in \bar{B}_\lambda, \text{ closure in } \hat{\rho}\}.$$

Let  $y \in Y$  and suppose  $x_\alpha$  is a  $\tau$ -bounded net converging to  $y$  in  $\hat{\rho}$ ; then

$$\|y\|^* \leq \sup_\alpha \|x_\alpha\| < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|ty\|^* &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_\alpha \|tx_\alpha\| \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since the net  $\{x_\alpha\}$  is bounded (cf. Theorem 5.5). It follows without difficulty that  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is an  $F$ -semi-norm on  $Y$ , and that  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is  $\hat{\rho}$ -lower-semi-continuous; also from the definition,  $\|x\| = \|x\|^*$  for  $x \in X$ , since each  $B_\lambda$  is  $\rho$ -closed. Next if  $y \in Y$  and  $\|y\|^* = 0$  then for each  $\lambda > 0$  and  $V$  a neighbourhood of 0 in  $(\hat{X}, \hat{\rho})$  we may find  $x_{\lambda, V} \in X$  such that  $x_{\lambda, V} - y \in V$  and  $\|x_{\lambda, V}\| \leq \lambda$ . The set  $\{(\lambda, V): \lambda > 0, V \text{ a } \hat{\rho}\text{-neighbourhood of } 0\}$  is directed in the obvious way  $[(\lambda, V) \geq (\lambda', V') \text{ if and only if } \lambda \leq \lambda' \text{ and } V \subset V']$ ; then the net  $x_{\lambda, V}$  converges to 0 in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $x_{\lambda, V} \rightarrow 0$  in  $(X, \rho)$ . However  $x_{\lambda, V} \rightarrow y$  in  $(\hat{X}, \hat{\rho})$  and so  $y = 0$ . Thus  $Y$  is a metrisable vector space under  $\|\cdot\|^*$  and  $\|\cdot\|^*$  is  $\hat{\rho}$ -lower-semi-continuous.

Now suppose  $x_\alpha \in K$  is a  $\rho$ -Cauchy net; then  $x_\alpha \rightarrow y$  in  $(\hat{X}, \hat{\rho})$  and  $y \in Y$ . Suppose at first  $\|x_\alpha - y\|^* \rightarrow 0$ ; then by the completeness of  $(X, \tau)$   $y \in X$ , and there is a sequence  $(\alpha(n))$  such that  $x_{\alpha(n)} \rightarrow y(\tau)$ . Thus  $y$  is the sole  $\rho$ -cluster point of  $\{x_{\alpha(n)}\}$  in  $X$ ; since  $K$  is countably compact,  $y \in K$ , and  $x_\alpha \rightarrow y$  in  $(K, \rho)$ .

Now suppose  $\|x_\alpha - y\|^* \not\rightarrow 0$  and that  $y \notin X$ ; since  $y \neq 0$  we may suppose  $x_\alpha \notin V$  for all  $\alpha$ , where  $V$  is a  $\rho$ -neighbourhood of 0. Then by Theorem 3.2 there is a basic sequence  $(z_n)$  in  $(Y, \|\cdot\|^*)$  such that:

- (i)  $z_1 = y$ .
- (ii)  $z_n = w_n - y, n \geq 2$  where  $w_n = x_{\alpha(n)}$  for some increasing sequence.
- (iii)  $\inf \|z_n\|^* > 0$ .

Let  $Z$  be the closed linear span of  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and let  $W$  be the closed linear span of  $\{w_n\}_{n=2}^\infty$ . Since  $z_1 \notin X$  and  $W \subset X$ ,  $W$  is a closed subspace of co-dimension one in  $Z$ . Let  $\phi$  be the continuous linear functional on  $(Z, \|\cdot\|^*)$  such that  $\phi(z_1) = 1$  and  $\phi(W) = 0$ ; we define  $A: Z \rightarrow Z$  by  $Az = z - \phi(z)z_1$ . Then for  $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} Az_n &= Aw_n - Az_1 \\ &= w_n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly define  $B: Z \rightarrow Z$  by

$$B\left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i z_i\right) = \sum_{i=2}^\infty t_i z_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Bw_n &= B(z_1 + z_n) \\ &= z_n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $BAz_n = z_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and hence that  $A$  is an isomorphism of  $\overline{\text{lin}}\{z_n: n \geq 2\}$  on to its image. In particular  $(w_n: n \geq 2)$  is a basic sequence in  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . However  $w_n \in K$  for  $n \geq 2$ , and so  $(w_n)$  possesses a  $\rho$ -cluster point. Now suppose  $w_0$  is a  $\rho$ -cluster point; then  $w_0$  is in the  $\tau$ -closed linear span of  $(w_n)$  by compatibility. It follows that

$$w_0 = \sum_{i=2}^\infty \psi_i(w_0)w_i,$$

where  $\psi_i$  is the dual sequence of  $\tau$ -continuous linear functionals on  $W$ . Each  $\psi_i$  is also  $\rho$ -continuous by compatibility and hence

$$\psi_i(w_0) = 0 \quad i \geq 2.$$

Therefore  $w_0 = 0$ . This contradicts the original choice of  $x_\alpha \notin V$ , where  $V$  is a  $\rho$ -neighbourhood of 0. Thus we have a contradiction.

Finally suppose  $\|x_\alpha - y\|^* \rightarrow 0$  and  $y \in X$ ; determine the basic sequence  $(z_n: n \geq 2)$  satisfying (ii)-(iii). In this case if  $w_0$  is a  $\rho$ -cluster point of  $(w_n: n \geq 2)$  then  $w_0 - y$  is a  $\rho$ -cluster point of  $(z_n: n \geq 2)$ . Since  $w_0 - y \in X$  and  $z_n \in X$  we conclude that  $w_0 - y$  is in the  $\tau$ -closed linear span of  $\{z_n: n \geq 2\}$  by compatibility and it follows as usual that  $w_0 - y = 0$ . Hence  $y \in K$ . We conclude that any  $\rho$ -Cauchy net converges in  $K$  and so  $K$  is complete and therefore compact.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $K$  and let  $x_0$  be a  $\rho$ -cluster point. Then there is a net  $(z_\alpha)$  in  $K$  such that each  $z_\alpha$  is some  $x_n$  and  $z_\alpha \rightarrow x_0$  ( $\rho$ ). If  $z_\alpha \rightarrow x_0$  in  $\tau$  then there is nothing to prove, as it will follow that some subsequence of  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x_0$ . Otherwise we may find a basic sequence  $(u_n)$  of the form  $u_n = z_{\alpha(n)} - x_0$ . Let  $w$  be a  $\rho$ -cluster point of  $(z_{\alpha(n)})$  in  $K$ ; then clearly  $w - x_0 \in \overline{\text{lin}}\{u_n\}$  and since  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  are compatible it follows as in (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) that  $w - x_0 = 0$ . Hence  $x_0$  is the sole cluster point of  $(z_{\alpha(n)})$  and so  $z_{\alpha(n)} \rightarrow x_0$ . However  $z_{\alpha(n)}$  is simply a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  ( $\alpha(n) \rightarrow \infty$  since the  $z_{\alpha(n)}$  are distinct).

[ADDED IN PROOF: The problem of determining conditions under which the Hahn-Banach Extension Property is equivalent to local convexity was originally posed by Duren, Romberg and Shields (14) p.59.]

## REFERENCES

- (1) C. BESSAGA and A. PELCZYNSKI, Wlansosci baz w przestrzeniach typu  $B_0$ , *Prace Mat.* **3** (1959), 123-142.
- (2) C. BESSAGA and A. PELCZYNSKI, On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **17** (1958), 151-164.
- (3) M. M. DAY, On the basis problem in normed linear spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 655-658.
- (4) B. GELBAUM, Notes on Banach spaces with bases, *An. Acad. Brasil. Ci.* **30** (1958), 29-36.
- (5) J. L. KELLEY, *General topology* (New York, 1955).
- (6) A. PELCZYNSKI, A note on the paper of I. Singer, "Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces", *Studia Math.* **21** (1962), 371-374.
- (7) A. PELCZYNSKI, A proof of the Eberlein-Smulian Theorem by an application of basic sequences, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **12** (1964), 543-548.
- (8) M. RIBE, Necessary convexity conditions for the Hahn-Banach theorem in metrizable spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **44** (1973), 715-732.
- (9) A. P. ROBERTSON and W. ROBERTSON, *Topological vector spaces* (Cambridge, 1964).
- (10) J. H. SHAPIRO, Extension of linear functionals on  $F$ -spaces with bases, *Duke Math. J.* **37** (1970), 639-645.
- (11) J. H. SHAPIRO, On convexity and compactness in  $F$ -spaces with bases, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **21** (1972), 1073-1090.
- (12) J. H. SHAPIRO, On the weak basis theorem in  $F$ -spaces (to appear).
- (13) L. J. WEILL, Stability of bases in complete barreled space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 1045-1050.
- (14) P. L. DUREN, B. W. ROMBURG and A. L. SHEIDLs, Linear functionals on  $H^p$ -spaces with  $0 < p < 1$ , *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **238** (1969), 32-60.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE  
SINGLETON PARK  
SWANSEA SA2 8PP