

Unusual Traces on Operator Ideals

By N. J. KALTON of Missouri — Columbia

(Received October 25, 1985)

1. Introduction

In this note we answer a question of A. PIETSCH by constructing an example of a quasi-normed operator ideal on a HILBERT space which admits more than one continuous trace. We also characterize the class of uniquely traceable operators as described below.

Let H be a separable HILBERT space and let \mathcal{D} be an ideal in $\mathcal{K}(H)$ (the compact operators on H). Then a trace ([2]) on \mathcal{D} is a linear functional $\tau: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that

(T1) $\tau(P) = 1$ if P is a projection of rank one.

(T2) $\tau(AB) = \tau(BA)$ if $A \in \mathcal{D}$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

In addition τ is called separately continuous or $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ -continuous ([2]) if

(T3) For every $A \in \mathcal{D}$, the linear functional $B \rightarrow \tau(AB)$ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}(H)$.

Let C_1 be the trace-class and let $\text{tr}: C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the standard trace. If $A \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\text{rank}(A) < \infty$ then

$$\tau(A) = \text{tr}(A).$$

If τ verifies (T3) then for every $A \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\sup_{\substack{\|B\| \leq 1 \\ \text{rank } B < \infty}} |\text{tr}(AB)| < \infty$$

and so $A \in C_1$. Hence if \mathcal{D} supports a separately continuous trace then $\mathcal{D} \subset C_1$.

We shall say that a positive $T \in C_1$ is *uniquely traceable* if the ideal \mathcal{D} it generates supports exactly one separately continuous trace, namely the standard trace tr .

An ideal \mathcal{D} is said to be *quasi-normed* if there is a quasi-norm $|\cdot|$ on \mathcal{D} verifying

(Q1) $(\mathcal{D}, |\cdot|)$ is complete

(Q2) $|A| \geq \beta \|A\| \quad A \in \mathcal{D}$

for some $\beta > 0$, and

(Q3) $|SAT| \leq \|S\| |A| \|T\| \quad S, T \in \mathcal{L}(H) \quad A \in \mathcal{D}$

Proposition 1. *If \mathcal{D} is a quasi-normed ideal and τ is a separately continuous trace on \mathcal{D} then τ is continuous on $(\mathcal{D}, |\cdot|)$.*

Remark. The converse is clear ([2] p. 68).

Proof. Suppose first that $A_n \in \mathcal{D}$ is a sequence of normal operators verifying $|A_n| \rightarrow 0$. We show $\tau(A_n) \rightarrow 0$. Indeed if not we can find normal B_n with $|B_n| \leq 2^{-n}$ and $\tau(B_n) \geq n$. Now there exists isometries $U_n: H \rightarrow H$ (not necessarily surjective) so that the sequence $C_n = U_n B_n U_n^*$ commutes. Let $P_n = |C_n| = (C_n^* C_n)^{1/2}$. Then $\sum P_n$ converges in to an operator P and each C_n can be written $C = P T_n$ where $\|T_n\| \leq 1$. Thus

$$\sup_n \tau(C_n) < \infty.$$

However $\tau(C_n) = \tau(U_n B_n U_n^*) = \tau(B_n U_n^* U_n) = n$.

For the general case, if A_n is any sequence in \mathcal{D} with $|A_n| \rightarrow 0$, then $|A_n + A_n^*| \rightarrow 0$ and $|A_n - A_n^*| \rightarrow 0$ and hence $\tau(A_n) \rightarrow 0$.

2. Some preparatory lemmas

For $A \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ denote by $s_n(A)$ the sequence of singular values of A so that $\|A\| = s_1(A) \geq s_2(A) \geq \dots \geq s_n(A) \rightarrow 0$. Define

$$\varphi_A(t) = \sup \{n: s_n(A) > t\} \quad t > 0.$$

Then φ_A is a monotone decreasing function continuous on the right.

We note first some easy inequalities. First

$$(1) \quad s_{m+n-1}(A + B) \leq s_m(A) + s_n(B)$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{K}(H)$, $m, n \in N$ (cf. [1]). It follows that

$$(2) \quad \varphi_{A+B}(t) \leq \varphi_A\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + \varphi_B\left(\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

Further note that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n(A) = \int_0^{\infty} \varphi_A(t) dt.$$

Now suppose $A \geq 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{K}(H)$. Then the sequence $\{s_n(A)\}$ consists of the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of A arranged in decreasing order. Let us define

$$(4) \quad \text{tr}_m(A) = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j(A).$$

Then

$$(5) \quad \text{tr}_m(A) = \max \{ \text{tr}(PAP) \}$$

where P ranges over all self-adjoint projections of rank m .

Lemma 2. *For $A, B \geq 0$ and $m, n \in N$ we have*

- (i) $\text{tr}_m(A + B) \leq \text{tr}_m(A) + \text{tr}_m(B)$
- (ii) $\text{tr}_{m+n}(A + B) \geq \text{tr}_m(A) + \text{tr}_n(B)$.

Proof. (i) is immediate. For (ii) note that there exists self-adjoint projections P, Q so that $\text{rank } P = m, \text{rank } Q = n$ and

$$\text{tr}(PAP) = \text{tr}_m(A)$$

$$\text{tr}(QBQ) = \text{tr}_n(B).$$

Let R be a self-adjoint projection onto a subspace of dimension $m + n$ containing $P(H)$ and $Q(H)$. Then

$$\text{tr}(RAR) \geq \text{tr}_m(A)$$

$$\text{tr}(RBR) \geq \text{tr}_n(B)$$

but

$$\text{tr}(R(A + B)R) \leq \text{tr}_{m+n}(A + B).$$

Lemma 3. *If $A, B \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ and $A, B \geq 0$, then*

$$(i) \quad \varphi_{A+B}(t) \geq \max(\varphi_A(t), \varphi_B(t)) \quad t > 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \varphi_{A+B}(4t) \leq 3 \max(\varphi_A(t), \varphi_B(t)) \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. (i) is immediate, for if $\varphi_A(t) = m$ then $\langle (A - tI)x, x \rangle > 0$ on a subspace of dimension m . Hence $\langle (A + B - tI)x, x \rangle > 0$ on the same subspace and so $\varphi_{A+B}(t) \geq m$.

(ii) Let $\varphi_A(t) = m, \varphi_B(t) = n$ and suppose $\varphi_{A+B}(4t) \geq 2 \max(m, n)$. Let $\varphi_{A+B}(4t) = k$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_k(A + B) &> \text{tr}_{m+n}(A + B) + 4(k - m - n)t \\ &\geq \text{tr}_m(A) + \text{tr}_n(B) + 4(k - m - n)t. \end{aligned}$$

However

$$\text{tr}_k(A) \leq \text{tr}_m(A) + (k - m)t$$

$$\text{tr}_k(B) \leq \text{tr}_n(B) + (k - n)t.$$

Hence

$$\text{tr}_k(A + B) \leq \text{tr}_m(A) + \text{tr}_n(B) + (2k - m - n)t.$$

Thus

$$2k - m - n > 4(k - m - n)$$

and so

$$k < \frac{3}{2}(m + n) \leq 3 \max(m, n).$$

Now for $a > 0, A \in C_1$ with $A \geq 0$ we shall define

$$F_A(a) = \int_0^a \varphi_A(t) dt.$$

Lemma 4. *If $A, B \in C_1$ with $A, B \geq 0$ then*

$$|F_{A+B}(a) - F_A(a) - F_B(a)| \leq 9a\varphi_{A+B}(a).$$

Proof. First note that

$$F_A(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \min(s_j(A), a).$$

Let $\varphi_A(a) = m$, $\varphi_B(a) = n$, $\varphi_{A+B}(a) = p$ and $\varphi_{A+B}(4a) = q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_A(a) &= \operatorname{tr}(A) - \sum_{j=1}^m (s_j(A) - a) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(A) + ma - \operatorname{tr}_m(A). \end{aligned}$$

Now since $p \geq \max(m, n)$ (Lemma 3)

$$\operatorname{tr}_{m+n}(A+B) \leq a \min(m, n) + \operatorname{tr}_p(A+B)$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{tr}_m(A) + \operatorname{tr}_n(B) \leq \operatorname{tr}_p(A+B) + a \min(m, n).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A+B}(a) &\leq \operatorname{tr}(A+B) + a(p + \min(m, n)) - \operatorname{tr}_m(A) - \operatorname{tr}_n(B) \\ &\leq F_A(a) + F_B(a) + a(p - \max(m, n)) \\ &\leq F_A(a) + F_B(a) + a\varphi_{A+B}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely $q \leq 3 \max(m, n)$ and hence

$$\operatorname{tr}_q(A+B) \leq \operatorname{tr}_{3N}(A+B)$$

where $N = \max(m, n)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}_q(A+B) &\leq \operatorname{tr}_{3N}(A) + \operatorname{tr}_{3N}(B) \\ &\leq \operatorname{tr}_m(A) + \operatorname{tr}_n(B) + a(6N - m - n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}_p(A+B) &\leq \operatorname{tr}_q(A+B) + 4a(p - q) \\ &\leq \operatorname{tr}_m(A) + \operatorname{tr}_n(B) + a(4p + 6N - 4q - m - n). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A+B}(a) &\geq F_A(a) + F_B(a) + a(p - m - n) - a(4p + 6N - 4q - m - n) \\ &= F_A(a) + F_B(a) - a(3p + 6N - 4q) \\ &\geq F_A(a) + F_B(a) - 9pa. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. Suppose $\psi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a right-continuous integer-valued monotone decreasing function. Suppose

$$(a) \int_0^{\infty} \psi(u) du < \infty.$$

(b) There exist constants $C < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$ so that

$$\int_0^a \psi(u) du \leq C\gamma a \psi(\gamma a) \quad 0 < a \leq 1.$$

Then there exists constants $K < \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$ so that

$$\psi(st) \leq Ks^{\alpha-1}\psi(t)$$

for $0 < s, t \leq 1$.

Proof. First we observe if $0 < a < \gamma$ and $0 < b < a$ then

$$b\psi(b) \leq \int_0^{a/\gamma} \psi(u) \, du \leq C a \psi(a).$$

In particular if $b = \gamma a$

$$\gamma a \psi(\gamma a) \leq C a \psi(a)$$

and hence

$$\int_0^a \psi(u) \, du \leq C^2 a \psi(a) \quad 0 < a \leq \gamma^{-1}.$$

Next we observe that we may suppose $\gamma = 2^{-p}$ where $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$d_k = 2^{-k} \psi(2^{-k}) \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $v_n \in \omega$, the space of all real sequences, by

$$v_n(k) = \frac{d_{k+n}}{d_n} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $n \geq p$ then

$$0 \leq v_n(k) \leq C$$

so that $\{v_n : n \geq p\}$ is bounded in ω . Let Γ be the closed convex hull of $\{v_n : n \geq p\}$. Then Γ is compact.

By hypothesis if $k \geq p$

$$\int_0^{2^{-k}} \psi(u) \, du \leq C^2 d_k$$

and hence

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} d_j \leq 2C^2 d_k.$$

Hence if $m > k \geq p$

$$\sum_{j=k+n}^{m+n} d_j \leq 2C^2 d_{k+n}$$

and so

$$\sum_{j=k}^m v_n(j) \leq 2C^2 v_n(k).$$

Now if $w \in \Gamma$

$$\sum_{j=k}^m w(j) \leq 2C^2 w(k).$$

Since w is bounded this implies that $w \in c_0$. Let P be the closed positive cone of ω ; then $\Gamma - P$ is closed and the constant sequence $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ is not in $\Gamma - P$. Thus

there exist $\beta_n \geq 0$ finitely non-zero such that

$$\sum \beta_k = 1$$

and

$$\sum \beta_k v_n(k) \leq 1 - \theta$$

for all $n \geq p$. If $\beta_k = 0$ for $k > N$ we conclude that

$$\min_{k \leq N} v_n(k) \leq 1 - \theta$$

for $n \geq p$. Thus for every $n \geq p$ there exists $k \leq N$ with

$$d_{n+k} \leq (1 - \theta) d_n.$$

Fix $\alpha > 0$ by $2^{-N\alpha} = 1 - \theta$. We deduce that if $n \geq p$, $\sigma \in N$ there exists k with $\sigma N < k \leq (\sigma + 1)N$ and

$$d_{n+k} \leq (1 - \theta)^{\sigma+1} d_n = 2^{-(\sigma+1)\alpha N} d_n.$$

Hence if $(\sigma - 1)N < l \leq \sigma N$, since ψ is monotone decreasing,

$$d_{n+l} \leq 2^{k-l} d_{n+k} \leq 2^{2N} 2^{-(\sigma+1)\alpha N} d_n = 2^{l-(\sigma-1)N} 2^{-l\alpha} d_n \leq 2^N 2^{-l\alpha} d_n.$$

We easily deduce that for some constant K we have

$$st\psi(st) \leq Ks^\alpha t\psi(t)$$

for every $0 < s, t < 1$ and the result follows.

3. The main results

Let T be a positive compact operator and let $\psi(t) = \varphi_T(t)$. Then the two-sided ideal \mathcal{D}_T generated by T is determined solely by ψ . In fact $A \in \mathcal{D}_T$ if and only if for some γ , $0 < \gamma < 1$ and some $C < \infty$ we have

$$(6) \quad \varphi_A(t) \leq C\psi(\gamma t) \quad t > 0.$$

We denote the set of such A by $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$. To see that $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$ is an ideal one must use equation (2).

Suppose in addition we have that for some λ , $0 < \lambda < 1$,

$$\psi(\lambda t) \geq 2\psi(t) \quad t > 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$ is a quasi-normed ideal if we define $|A|$ to be the infimum of all $c > 0$ so that

$$\varphi_A(ct) \leq \psi(t) \quad t > 0.$$

Note here that

$$\varphi_{A+B}(t) < \varphi_A\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + \varphi_B\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \max\left(\varphi_A\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}t\right), \varphi_B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}t\right)\right)$$

so that

$$|A + B| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \max(|A|, |B|).$$

We also remark that if ψ is any right-continuous monotone decreasing integer valued function with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = 0$ then there is a positive compact operator T with $\varphi_T = \psi$. Clearly $\mathcal{D}(\psi) \subset C_1$ if and only if $T \in C_1$, i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty \psi(u) \, du < \infty.$$

Theorem 6. *Suppose ψ is a nonnegative monotone-decreasing, integer-valued left-continuous function on $(0, \infty)$ with*

$$\int_0^\infty \psi(u) \, du < \infty.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

(i) *If τ is a separately continuous trace on $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$ then*

$$\tau(A) = \text{tr}(A) \quad A \in \mathcal{D}(\psi).$$

(ii) *There exists $K < \infty, \alpha > 0$ so that if $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$*

$$\psi(st) \leq Ks^{\alpha-1}\psi(t).$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let us suppose (ii) fails. Then, according to Lemma 5 we can find a sequence a_n with $0 < a_n \leq 1$ and

$$\int_0^{a_n} \psi(u) \, du > na_n \psi\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right).$$

For $A \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$ we set

$$\Lambda_n(A) = F_A(a_n) \Big/ \int_0^{a_n} \psi(u) \, du.$$

Suppose $\varphi_A(t) \leq C\psi(\gamma t)$. Then

$$F_A(a_n) \leq C \int_0^{a_n} \psi(\gamma u) \, du = C\gamma^{-1} \int_0^{\gamma a_n} \psi(u) \, du$$

so that

$$0 \leq \Lambda_n(A) \leq C\gamma^{-1}.$$

Define

$$\Lambda(A) = \lim_{n \in \mathcal{U}} \Lambda_n(A)$$

where \mathcal{U} is some non-principal ultrafilter on N .

We observe that if $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$F_{\lambda A}(a_n) = \int_0^{a_n} \varphi_{\lambda A}(u) \, du = \int_0^{a_n} \varphi_A(\lambda^{-1}u) \, du = \lambda \int_0^{\lambda^{-1}a_n} \varphi_A(u) \, du$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{\lambda A}(a_n) - \lambda F_A(a_n)| &\leq \lambda \left| \int_{a_n}^{\lambda^{-1}a_n} \varphi_A(u) du \right| \\ &\leq \lambda(\lambda^{-1} - 1) \varphi_A(a_n) \leq C(1 - \lambda) \psi(\gamma a_n) a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $n > \gamma^{-1}$

$$|F_{\lambda A}(a_n) - \lambda F_A(a_n)| \leq \frac{C}{n} (1 - \lambda) \int_0^{a_n} \psi(u) du$$

and

$$|\Lambda_n(\lambda A) - \Lambda_n(A)| \leq \frac{C}{n} (1 - \lambda).$$

Thus

$$\Lambda(\lambda A) = \lambda \Lambda(A) \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad A \geq 0.$$

Now by Lemma 4 if $A, B \geq 0$

$$|F_{A+B}(a_n) - F_A(a_n) - F_B(a_n)| \leq 9a_n \varphi_{A+B}(a_n)$$

If $\varphi_{A+B}(t) \leq C_1 \psi(\gamma_1 t)$ we have

$$|F_{A+B}(a_n) - F_A(a_n) - F_B(a_n)| \leq 9C_1 a_n \psi(\gamma_1 a_n) \leq \frac{9C_1}{n} \int_0^{a_n} \psi(u) du$$

if $n > \frac{1}{\gamma_1}$. It follows that

$$\Lambda(A + B) = \Lambda(A) + \Lambda(B).$$

Note further that

$$0 \leq \Lambda(A) \leq \frac{C}{\gamma}$$

where $\varphi_A(t) \leq C\psi(\gamma t)$.

We also note that if F has finite rank then $\varphi_A(t)$ is bounded and then

$$F_A(a_n) \leq K a_n$$

for some n . Hence $\Lambda(A) = 0$ if $\text{rank } A < \infty$. Now extend Λ to a linear functional still denoted by Λ , defined on $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$. We note that

$$\Lambda(U^{-1}AU) = \Lambda(A)$$

if U is unitary.

If $\text{rank } A < \infty$ then $\Lambda(A) = 0$. Furthermore if H is hermitian then we can write $H = P_1 - P_2$ where P_1, P_2 are positive and

$$\varphi_{P_1+P_2}(t) = \varphi_H(t).$$

Hence if $\varphi_H(t) \leq C\psi(\gamma t)$ then

$$|\Lambda(H)| \leq C\gamma^{-1}.$$

In general if $A \in \mathcal{D}(\psi)$ then

$$\varphi_{A+A^*}(t) \leq 2\varphi_A\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

$$\varphi_{i(A-A^*)}(t) \leq 2\varphi_A\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$$

and hence if $\varphi_A(t) \leq C\psi(\gamma t)$ then

$$\varphi_{A+A^*}(t) \leq 2C\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma t\right)$$

$$\varphi_{i(A-A^*)}(t) \leq 2C\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma t\right).$$

Hence

$$|\Lambda(A)| \leq 8C\gamma^{-1}.$$

It follows easily that Λ is separately continuous, i.e. for each $B \in \mathcal{D}(\psi)$ the linear functional $A \rightarrow \Lambda(AB)$ is bounded on $\mathcal{L}(H)$.

Now define $\tau(A) = \text{tr}(A) + \Lambda(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{D}(\psi)$. Then τ is separately continuous and $\tau(P) = 1$ for every rank one projection. Furthermore

$$\tau(U^{-1}AU) = \tau(A)$$

for every unitary U and hence

$$\tau(AB) = \tau(BA)$$

for every $B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $A \in \mathcal{D}(\psi)$ (cf. [2] p. 63).

To see that τ is a trace distinct from tr we need only produce a positive operator T with

$$\varphi_T(t) = \psi(t).$$

Then $\Lambda(T) = 1$ and hence $\tau(T) = \text{tr}(T) + 1$. This shows that (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let us assume τ is a separately continuous trace on $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$. Let us write $\Lambda(A) = \tau(A) - \text{tr}(A)$, so that Λ is separately continuous and $\Lambda(F) = 0$ if $\text{rank } F < \infty$. Suppose $C < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$; then there exists $M < \infty$ so that if

$$(7) \quad \varphi_A(t) \leq C\psi(\gamma t)$$

then $|\Lambda(A)| \leq M$.

Fix A satisfying (7). Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ using standard representation theorems we can write

$$A = F + A_1 + \dots + A_n$$

where $\text{rank } F < \infty$ and

$$\varphi_{A_j}(t) \leq \frac{1}{n} \varphi_A(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq \lambda$$

and

$$\varphi_{A_j}(t) = 0 \quad t > \lambda.$$

Consider for $0 < \lambda < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\lambda^{-1}A_j}(t) &= \varphi_{A_j}(\lambda t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \varphi_{A_j}(\lambda t) & 0 < t \leq 1 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \psi(\lambda \gamma t) & 0 < t \leq 1 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} K \lambda^{\alpha-1} \psi(\gamma t) & 0 < t \leq 1 \\ &\leq C \psi(\gamma t) & 0 < t \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

provided $K\lambda^{\alpha-1} = n$. Similarly if $t > 1$

$$\varphi_{\lambda^{-1}A_j}(t) = 0 \leq C \psi(\gamma t)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |A(\lambda^{-1}A_j)| &\leq M \\ |A(A_j)| &\leq M\lambda = M \left(\frac{K}{n}\right)^{1/(1-\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A(A) \leq Mn \left(\frac{K}{n}\right)^{1/(1-\alpha)}$$

and hence $A(A) = 0$. It follows that $\text{tr}(A) = \tau(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{D}(\psi)$.

Corollary 7. *Let T be a positive trace-class operator. In order that T is uniquely traceable it is necessary and sufficient that there exists $p > 1$ and $C < \infty$ so that the singular values (λ_n) of T satisfy*

$$\lambda_m \leq C \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-p} \lambda_n \quad m > n.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that φ_T satisfies (ii) of Theorem 6 if and only if it satisfies the criterion of the Corollary. In fact if

$$\varphi_T(st) \leq Ks^{\alpha-1}\varphi_T(t) \quad 0 < s, t < 1,$$

$\lambda_n < 1$ and $m > n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_T(\lambda_n) &< n \\ \varphi_T(\lambda_n -) &\leq n \\ \varphi_T(\lambda_m -) &\geq m \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$m \leq K \left(\frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_n}\right)^{\alpha-1} n$$

and the Corollary follows with $p = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$.

If the condition of the Corollary fails we may for every $C > 0$ $p > 1$ find $m > n$ with $\lambda_n < 1$ so that

$$\lambda_m > C \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-p} \lambda_n.$$

Then $\varphi(\lambda_n) < n$ and

$$\varphi \left(C \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{-p} \lambda_n \right) > m.$$

Thus

$$KC^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{p(1-\alpha)} n > m$$

so that

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{1-p(1-\alpha)} < KC^{\alpha-1}$$

Clearly this is a contradiction if $p = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}$ and $KC^{\alpha-1} < 1$.

Example. We now construct an explicit example of a function ψ so that $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ is a quasi-normed ideal supporting at least two distinct continuous traces.

We define only $\psi(2^{-2m})$ for $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We do this by induction. Let $\psi(2^{-2m}) = \psi_m$. Set $\psi_0 = 0$, $\psi_1 = 1$. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m &= 4\psi_{m-1} & \text{if } n! < m \leq n! + n \\ \psi_m &= 2\psi_{m-1} & \text{if } n! + n < m \leq (n+1)! \end{aligned}$$

Let ψ be a monotone-decreasing right-continuous integer-valued function with $\psi(2^{-2m}) = \psi_m$. Clearly $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$ is a quasi-normed ideal since

$$\psi \left(\frac{1}{16} t \right) \geq 2\psi(t) \quad t > 0.$$

Furthermore

$$\psi_{(n!+n)} = 2^{2n}\psi_{n!}$$

so that ψ fails Theorem 6(ii).

We must check that

$$\int_0^\infty \psi(t) dt < \infty.$$

To do this note we need

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \psi_m < \infty.$$

Now

$$\sum_{n!+1}^{n!+n} \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \psi_m = n\psi_{n!}$$

while

$$\sum_{n!+n+1}^{(n+1)!} \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \psi_m \leq \psi_{n!}$$

Now

$$\psi_{(n+1)!} \leq 2^{n!+n+1-(n+1)!} \psi_{n!} = 2^{(1-n)n!} \psi_{n!} \leq \frac{1}{2} \psi_{n!}$$

if $n \geq 2$. Hence

$$\sum (n+1) \psi_{n!} < \infty$$

and so

$$\int_0^{\infty} \psi(t) dt < \infty.$$

By Theorem 6, $\mathcal{D}(\psi)$ gives the promised example.

Added in proof. For an alternative treatment see [3] pp. 312–321.

References

- [1] A. PIETSCHE, *Operator Ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1979
- [2] —, *Operator Ideals with a trace*, Math. Nachr. 100 (1981) 61–91
- [3] —, *Eigenvalues and s -numbers*, *Mathematik und ihre Anwendungen in Physik und Technik*, Band 43, Leipzig 1987

*Dept. of Mathematics
University of Missouri — Columbia
Columbia, MO 65211
U.S.A.*