

interaction between functional  
analysis, harmonic analysis,  
and probability

edited by

Nigel Kalton

Elias Saab

Stephen Montgomery-Smith

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Missouri—Columbia  
Columbia, Missouri*

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Interaction between functional analysis, harmonic analysis, and probability / edited by Nigel Kalton, Elias Saab, Stephen Montgomery-Smith.

p. cm. — (Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics ; 175)

“Proceedings of a conference held at the University of Missouri in Columbia, May 29-June 3, 1994”—Pref.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-8247-9611-X (hardcover : alk. paper)

I. Functional analysis—Congresses. 2. Harmonic analysis—Congresses. 3. Probabilities—Congresses. I. Kalton, Nigel J. (Nigel John). II. Saab, E. (Elias).

III. Montgomery-Smith, Stephen. IV. Series: Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics ; v. 175.

QA319.I55 1995

515'.7—dc20

95-37084

CIP

The publisher offers discounts on this book when ordered in bulk quantities. For more information, write to Special Sales/Professional Marketing at the address below.

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

**Copyright © 1996 by MARCEL DEKKER, INC. All Rights Reserved.**

Neither this book nor any part may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

MARCEL DEKKER, INC.

270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

Current printing (last digit):

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

**PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

11

# Complex Interpolation and Complementably Minimal Spaces

**P. G. Casazza and N. J. Kalton** Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri—  
Columbia, Columbia, Missouri

**Denka Kutzarova** Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

**M. Mastylo** Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki,  
Poznań, Poland

## ABSTRACT

We construct a class of super-reflexive complementably minimal spaces, and study uniformly convex distortions of the norm on Hilbert space by using methods of complex interpolation.

## 1. Introduction

A Banach space  $X$  is called (*complementably*) *minimal* if every infinite-dimensional closed subspace  $E$  contains a (complemented) subspace isomorphic to  $X$ . These notions were introduced by Pełczyński [17] and Rosenthal [19]. Any minimal space must be separable and it is a classical result of Pełczyński [17] that the spaces  $\ell_p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $c_0$  are complementably minimal. The space  $T^*$  (the dual of Tsirelson space) provides another example of a minimal but not complementably minimal space ([2], [3]). Recently

Schlumprecht ([20], [21]) constructed the first example of a complementably minimal space other than the classical spaces  $\ell_p$  and  $c_0$  and this was a launching point for a number of remarkable developments in Banach space theory ([8],[15],[16]).

The space constructed by Schlumprecht is reflexive (see [20] and Proposition 2 below) but fails to be super-reflexive since it contains  $\ell_\infty^n$ 's uniformly. Our main aim in this note is to show how interpolation methods can be used to extend Schlumprecht's construction and thereby introduce a class of complementably minimal super-reflexive spaces. We also show that interpolation can be used to tighten the known results on distortions of the norm in Hilbert space ([16]); precisely one can require the distorted norm to satisfy good uniform convexity and uniform smoothness conditions.

Our arguments depend heavily on the ideas of complex interpolation of Banach spaces first introduced by Calderón in 1964 ([1]). For another application of such ideas to problems of this nature see Daher [5].

## 2. Some remarks on minimal and complementably minimal spaces

**PROPOSITION 1** Every minimal Banach space is isomorphic to a subspace of a minimal space with an unconditional basis.

*Proof.* If  $X$  is minimal and contains an unconditional basic sequence then it is clear that  $X$  embeds into a minimal space with unconditional basis. We argue that  $X$  must contain an unconditional basic sequence. For otherwise by [7]  $X$  contains an hereditarily indecomposable subspace  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is not isomorphic to any proper subspace of itself ([8] Corollary 19 and Theorem 21) this is a contradiction.

**PROPOSITION 2** (1) A minimal space is either reflexive or isomorphic to a subspace of  $c_0$  or  $\ell_1$ .

(2) A complementably minimal space is either  $c_0$ ,  $\ell_1$  or is reflexive.

*Proof.* (1) follows immediately from the preceding Proposition, since any space with an unconditional basis contains  $c_0$  or  $\ell_1$  or is reflexive. (2) follows quickly from (1).

We remark that it is unknown if every complementably minimal space is prime, or whether complementable minimality passes to dual spaces in general. Note that the minimal space  $T^*$  has a non-minimal dual ([3]).

## 3. Some classes of complementably minimal spaces

We let  $c_{00}$  be the space of all finitely non-zero sequences. If  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are finite intervals of natural numbers we write  $E_1 < E_2$  to mean  $\max E_1 < \min E_2$ . If  $x \in c_{00}$  and  $E$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  we write  $E_x = x\chi_E$ . We will also need the concept of a *block subspace*: this is a subspace of  $c_{00}$  generated by a sequence  $(u_n)$  whose supports  $E_n$  satisfy  $E_1 < E_2 < \dots$ .

We will consider spaces  $X$  determined by lattice norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  on  $c_{00}$ . We will then let  $X$  be the space of all sequences  $x$  so that  $\|x\|_X = \sup \|(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots)\|_X < \infty$ . We

abbreviate  $\|x\|_{\ell_p}$  to  $\|x\|_p$  if  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are two such spaces and  $0 < \theta < 1$  we define  $X^{1-\theta}Y^\theta$  to be the space  $Z$  defined by  $\|z\|_Z = \inf\{\max(\|x\|_X, \|y\|_Y) : |z| = |x|^{1-\theta}|y|^\theta\}$ . When working over the complex scalars, if either  $X$  or  $Y$  is separable then  $Z$  coincides with the usual complex interpolation space  $[X, Y]_\theta$  (see [1]). It will, however, be easily seen that our results apply also in the real case.

We let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the class of increasing functions  $f : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  so that:

- (1)  $f(1) = 1$  and  $f(x) < x$  if  $x > 1$ .
- (2)  $x/f(x)$  is concave.
- (3)  $f$  is submultiplicative, i.e.  $f(xy) \leq f(x)f(y)$ .

Note here that  $f(x) = \log_2(x + 1)$  is an example of a function  $f \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Suppose further  $1 \leq p < r \leq \infty$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{G}$ . We define  $\mathcal{X}(p, r; f)$  to be collection of all sequence spaces  $X$  so that:

- (4)  $\|x\|_r \leq \|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_p$  for all  $x \in c_{00}$ .
- (5)  $X$  is  $p$ -convex and  $r$ -concave with constants one (see [11] for the appropriate definitions).
- (6) If  $E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n$  are intervals in  $\mathbb{N}$  then

$$\|x\|_X \geq \frac{1}{f(n)^{1/p-1/r}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_i x\|_X^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{X}(p, r; f)$  is non-empty. Furthermore if  $r < \infty$  then any  $X \in \mathcal{X}(p, r; f)$  is separable by  $r$ -concavity. If  $r = \infty$  the same conclusion can be obtained from the fact that  $f(n) = o(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $X$  cannot then contain  $c_0$ . When  $p = 1$  and  $r = \infty$  it is clear that there is a unique space, which may be constructed by an inductive procedure ([20],[8])  $S = S(f)$  (Schlumprecht  $f$ -space) satisfying a minimality condition:  $\|x\|_S \leq \|x\|_X$  for  $X \in \mathcal{X}(1, \infty; f)$ . Furthermore as shown by Schlumprecht ([20]) in this space  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\|_S = n/f(n)$  where  $e_i$  are the basis vectors.

**PROPOSITION 3** For any  $f \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $1 \leq p < r \leq \infty$ , the space  $S_{p,r} = \ell_t^{1-\theta} S^\theta$  where  $\theta = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}$  and  $t = (1 - \theta)r$ , is the unique space in  $\mathcal{X}(p, q; f)$  satisfying  $\|x\|_{S_{p,r}} \leq \|x\|_X$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{X}(p, r; f)$ . Furthermore  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\|_{S_{p,r}} = n^{1/p} f(n)^{1/r-1/p}$ .

*Proof.* For the case  $r = \infty$  this follows by elementary convexification from the case  $p = 1$ , since the space  $S_{p,\infty}$  coincides with the  $p$ -convexification of  $S$ . If  $r < \infty$  it will follow easily by convexification or concavification from the case when  $p < 2$  and  $r = q$  the conjugate index of  $p$ . We therefore suppose  $r = q$ . First we prove that the space  $S_{p,q}$  is in the class  $\mathcal{X}(p, q; f)$ . Indeed the only property to be verified is (3), and this is standard. If  $0 \leq x \in c_{00}$  and  $E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n$  then we can write  $x = u^{1-\theta}v^\theta$  where  $0 \leq u, v \in c_{00}$  and  $\|u\|_2 = \|v\|_S = \|x\|_{S_{p,q}}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j x\|_{S_{p,q}}^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j u\|_2^{p(1-\theta)} \|E_j v\|_S^{p\theta} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j u\|_2^2 \right)^{1/q} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|E_j v\|_S \right)^{1/p-1/q} \\ &\leq f(n)^{1/p-1/q} \|u\|_2^{2/q} \|v\|_S^{1/p-1/q} = f(n)^{1/p-1/q} \|x\|_{S_{p,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely suppose  $X \in \mathcal{X}(p, q; f)$ . Then by Pisier's extrapolation theorem ([18] or [9]) there is a sequence space  $Y$  so that  $X = Y^\theta \ell_2^{1-\theta}$  where  $\theta = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = 2\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . We

show that  $Y \in \mathcal{X}(1, \infty; f)$ . Clearly  $\|y\|_\infty \leq \|y\|_Y \leq \|y\|_1$  for all  $y \in c_{00}$ . Now suppose  $0 \leq y \in c_{00}$  and  $E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_n$  are disjoint intervals; let  $y_i = E_i y$ . Pick  $y_i^* \in c_{00}$  supported on  $E_i$  with  $\|y_i^*\|_{Y^*} = 1$  and  $\langle y_i, y_i^* \rangle = \|y_i\|_Y$ . Let  $v_i = y_i^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}} (y_i^*)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} = y_i^\theta w_i^{1-\theta}$  where  $w_i = (y_i y_i^*)^{1/2}$ . Then  $\|w_i\|_2 = \|y_i\|_Y^{1/2}$ .

Now  $X = Y^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}} (Y^*)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}$  (this follows for example from Lozanovskii's theorem ([12]) and the Re-iteration theorem ([1] and [4])). Also by the duality theorem  $X^* = Y^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} (Y^*)^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}$ . Hence  $\|v_i^*\|_{X^*} \leq \|y_i\|_Y^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}$  where  $v_i^* = y_i^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} (y_i^*)^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}$ . Hence  $\|v_i\|_X \geq \|y_i\|_Y^{\frac{1+\theta}{2}}$ .

We conclude that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \right\|_X \geq \frac{1}{f(n)^\theta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|_Y^{p(1+\theta)/2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

This implies

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \right\|_X \geq \frac{1}{f(n)^\theta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|_Y \right)^{(1+\theta)/2}.$$

On the other hand

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \right\|_X \leq \|y\|_Y^\theta \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \right\|_2^{1-\theta}$$

or

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \right\|_X \leq \|y\|_Y^\theta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|_Y \right)^{(1-\theta)/2}.$$

Combining these inequalities gives that

$$\|y\|_Y \geq \frac{1}{f(n)} \sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|_Y$$

so that  $Y \in \mathcal{X}(1, \infty; f)$ . Thus  $Y \subset S$  with norm-one inclusion and similarly  $Y^\theta \ell_2^{1-\theta} = X \subset S_{p,q}$  with norm one inclusion either by interpolation or simple calculation of norms. Finally we note that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \right\|_{S_{p,r}} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \right\|_2^{1-\theta} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \right\|_S^\theta = n^{1/p} f(n)^{1/p-1/q}.$$

The other inequality follows from (6) immediately since the basis vectors have norm one.

*Remark.* The last condition shows that  $S_{p,r}$  does not coincide with  $\ell_p$  as a sequence space. It further follows that since the basis  $(e_n)$  of  $S_{p,r}$  is subsymmetric that it has no subsequence equivalent to the  $\ell_p$ -basis and therefore  $S_{p,r}$  cannot be even isomorphic to  $\ell_p$ .

The following remarkable result is due to Schlumprecht [21]:

**THEOREM 4** If  $f(x) = \log_2(x+1)$  then  $S = S(f)$  is complementably minimal.

We now prove a simple extension of a technique used by both Schlumprecht (see [20] and [21]) and Gowers and Maurey ([8]).

**PROPOSITION 5** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{G}$  and that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)x^{-a} = 0$  if  $a > 0$ . Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and suppose  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  (with appropriate interpretation when  $p = 1$ ). Suppose  $X \in \mathcal{X}(p, \infty; f)$  Then:

- (1) If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $W$  is a block subspace of  $c_{00}$  there is a block basic sequence  $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$  in  $W$  so that  $\|u_i\|_X = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\|u_1 + \dots + u_n\|_X \geq n^{1/p} - \epsilon$ .
- (2) If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $W$  is a block subspace of  $c_{00}$  there is a block basic sequence  $(u_1^*, u_2^*, \dots, u_n^*)$  in  $W$  so that  $\|u_i^*\|_{X^*} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\|u_1^* + \dots + u_n^*\|_{X^*} \leq n^{1/q} + \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* (1) can be obtained immediately from Lemma 3 of Gowers-Maurey ([8]) by convexification. The proof of (2) is similar. Let  $\beta_n = \beta_n(W)$  be the least constant so that for every  $k, \epsilon$  there exists a normalized block basic sequence  $(u_1^*, u_2^*, \dots, u_n^*)$  in  $W$  with  $k < \text{supp } u_1^*$  and  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^*\|_{X^*} \leq \beta_n + \epsilon$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\beta_{mn} \geq \beta_m \beta_n$  for  $m, n$ . Also by  $q$ -concavity of  $X^*$  we have  $\beta_n \geq n^{1/q}$  for all  $n$ . On the other hand one can verify easily by duality that for all block basic sequences  $(u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*)$  we have  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^*\|_{X^*} \leq f(n)(\sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i^*\|_{X^*}^q)^{1/q}$  and so  $\beta_n \leq f(n)n^{1/q}$ . Hence  $\beta_n \leq (\beta_{n^k})^{1/k} \leq (f(n^k))^{1/k} n^{1/q}$  and so  $\beta_n = n^{1/q}$ .

The key to Schlumprecht's argument for Theorem 4 is the following, which combines his Lemma 2 and Theorem 3. (Note that if  $(u_i)_{i=1}^n$  is a normalized block basic sequence with  $\|u_1 + \dots + u_n\| > n - \epsilon$  then  $(u_i)_{i=1}^n$  is  $(1 - \epsilon)^{-1}$  equivalent to the  $\ell_1^n$  basis.)

**PROPOSITION 6** (Schlumprecht [21]) Suppose  $f(x) = \log_2(x + 1)$  and that  $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a normalized block basic sequence in  $S = S(f)$ . Let  $v_n = 2^{-n} \sum_{i=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} u_i$  and suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n(1 - \|v_n\|_S) = 0$ . Then  $(v_n)$  has a subsequence  $(w_n)$  so that  $(w_n)$  is equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $S(f)$ .

*Remark.* The conclusions of Propositions 5 and 6 are all we require for our main result. Thus Theorem 8 below will hold for any  $f \in \mathcal{G}$  for which these Propositions hold; clearly this is a much wider class than just the singleton  $f(x) = \log_2(x + 1)$  but it has not been precisely determined to date.

**PROPOSITION 7** Suppose  $f(x) = \log_2(x + 1)$  and  $1 \leq p < r \leq \infty$ . Suppose  $(u_n)$  is a normalized block basic sequence in  $S_{p,r} = S_{p,r}(f)$ . Let  $v_n = 2^{-n/p} \sum_{i=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} u_i$  and suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n(1 - \|v_n\|_{S_{p,r}}) = 0$ . Then  $(v_n)$  has a subsequence  $(w_n)$  which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $S_{p,r}$ .

*Proof.* If  $r = \infty$  this follows immediately from the fact that  $S_{p,\infty}$  is the  $p$ -convexification of  $S$ . If  $r < \infty$  we can assume each  $u_i \geq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon_n = 2^n(1 - \|v_n\|_{S_{p,r}})$ , so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ . Note that each  $u_i = x_i^\theta y_i^{1-\theta}$  where  $(x_i)$  is a normalized positive block basic sequence in  $S$  and  $(y_i)$  is a normalized block basic sequence in  $\ell_t$  as in Proposition 3. Then

$$\|v_n\|_{S_{p,r}} \leq 2^{-n/p} \left\| \sum_{i=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} x_i \|S\|^\theta \sum_{i=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} y_i \|t\|^{1-\theta} \right\|$$

so that

$$1 - 2^{-n} \epsilon_n \leq 2^{n((1-\theta)/t - 1/p)} \left\| \sum_{i=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} x_i \|S\|^\theta \right\|$$

and this simplifies to

$$\left\| \sum_{i=2^n+1}^{2^{n+1}} x_i \right\|_S \geq (2^{n\theta} - 2^{n(\theta-1)} \epsilon_n)^{1/\theta} \geq 2^n - \theta^{-1} \epsilon_n.$$

It thus follows from Proposition 6 that a suitable subsequence  $(x_{k(n)})$  is equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $S$  and so for some constant  $K$  and any finitely nonzero sequence  $(d_n)$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n x_{k(n)} \right\|_S \leq K \|d\|_S.$$

Now if  $0 \leq a, b \in c_{00}$  then

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\theta b_n^{1-\theta} v_{k(n)} \right\|_{S_{p,r}} \leq \left\| \sum a_n x_{k(n)} \right\|_S^\theta \left\| \sum b_n y_{k(n)} \right\|_t^{1-\theta}$$

Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\theta b_n^{1-\theta} v_{k(n)} \right\|_{S_{p,r}} \leq K^\theta \|a\|_S^\theta \|b\|_t^{1-\theta}$$

and so for any  $d \in c_{00}$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n v_{k(n)} \right\|_{S_{p,r}} \leq K^\theta \|d\|_{S_{p,r}}.$$

However the minimality property of the norm on  $S_{p,r}$  clearly implies that

$$\|d\|_{S_{p,r}} \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n v_{k(n)} \right\|_{S_{p,r}} \left\| v_{k(n)} \right\|_{S_{p,r}}^{-1} \leq C_0 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n v_{k(n)} \right\|_{S_{p,r}},$$

where  $C_0 = \sup_n \|v_{k(n)}\|_{S_{p,r}}^{-1}$ . Taking  $w_n = v_{k(n)}$  the result is proved.

**THEOREM 8** Suppose  $f(x) = \log_2(x+1)$  and that  $1 \leq p < r \leq \infty$ . Then the spaces  $S_{p,r}(f)$  and  $S_{p,r}(f)^*$  are complementably minimal.

*Proof.* By combining Proposition 5 and Proposition 7 we see that any block subspace of  $S_{p,r}$  contains a normalized block basic sequence  $(w_n)$  equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $S_{p,r}$ . Let  $(g_n)$  be any bounded block basic sequence in  $S_{p,r}^*$  so that  $E_n = \text{supp } g_n$  does not meet  $\text{supp } w_m$  when  $m \neq n$  and  $\langle w_n, g_n \rangle = 1$ . Then we claim that  $Px = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, g_n \rangle w_n$  defines a projection onto  $[w_n]$ . In fact if  $x \in c_{00}$  then for a suitable constant  $C$ , and letting  $M = \sup \|g_n\|_{S_{p,r}^*}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Px\|_{S_{p,r}} &\leq C \|(\langle x, g_n \rangle)\|_{S_{p,r}} \\ &\leq CM \|(\|E_n x\|)\|_{S_{p,r}} \\ &\leq CM \|x\|_{S_{p,r}} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $[w_n]$  is complemented. This shows that  $S_{p,r}$  is complementably minimal.

In  $S_{p,r}^*$  we argue that any block subspace contains a normalized block basic sequence  $(u_n^*)$  so that  $\|2^{-n/q} \sum_{i=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} u_i^*\|_{S_{p,r}^*} \leq (1 + \frac{1}{n} 2^{-n})$  for all  $n$ . Choose a normalized block basic sequence  $(u_n)$  in  $S_{p,r}$  so that  $\text{supp } u_n$  is contained in  $\text{supp } u_n^*$  and  $\langle u_n, u_n^* \rangle = 1$ . Then  $\|2^{-n/p} \sum_{i=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} u_i\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n} 2^{-n}$ . It follows by the argument of the first part that we can select a sequence  $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$  so that if  $w_n = 2^{-k(n)/p} \sum_{i=2^{k(n)+1}}^{2^{k(n)+1}} u_i$  then  $(w_n)$  is equivalent to the unit vector basis of  $S_{p,r}$  and complemented by the projection  $Px = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, w_n^* \rangle w_n$  where  $w_n^* = 2^{-k(n)/q} \sum_{i=2^{k(n)+1}}^{2^{k(n)+1}} u_i^*$ . Thus  $[w_n^*]$  is a complemented subspace of  $S_{p,r}^*$  isomorphic to  $S_{p,r}^*$ .

*Remark.* Of course in the cases when  $1 < p < r < \infty$  the space  $S_{p,r}$  is super-reflexive (in fact the given norm is uniformly convex). Note also that it is trivial that none of these spaces can contain a copy of  $c_0$  or  $\ell_p$  for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . The first such example was due to Tsirelson ([22]) and the first super-reflexive example of such a space was given by Figiel and Johnson ([6]). Note also that  $S^* = S_{1,\infty}^*$  is complementably minimal. We remark also that the spaces  $S_{p,r}$  for  $1 < p < r < \infty$  are arbitrarily distortable in view of their minimality and a recent result of Maurey [13] (see also [14]).

**4. Distortions of Hilbert space**

The distortion problem for Hilbert spaces was recently solved by Odell and Schlumprecht ([15],[16]); for the latest developments see Milman and Tomczak-Jaegermann [14] and Maurey [13]. In this brief section we show the following, a strengthening of Theorem 1.2 of [16].

**THEOREM 9** Given  $1 < p < 2$  (with conjugate index  $q$ ) there is a constant  $K = K(p)$  so that for any constant  $C$  there is a Banach space  $X$  isomorphic to  $\ell_2$  so that:

- (1)  $X$  contains no  $C$ -unconditional basic sequence.
- (2) The modulus of convexity  $\delta_X$  satisfies  $\delta_X(\epsilon) \geq K^{-1} \epsilon^q$  for  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ .
- (3) The modulus of smoothness  $\rho_X$  satisfies  $\rho_X(\tau) \leq K \tau^p$  for  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* In fact this is an easy modification of Gowers-Maurey [8] Theorem 2, using the Odell-Schlumprecht theorem on the existence of asymptotic biorthogonal systems in  $\ell_2$  ([15], [16]). We shall suppose that all spaces are complex in the following argument. It is clear that the construction actually yields a space in which the underlying real space has no good unconditional basic sequences, so that the complex structure can be "forgotten" at the end.

Fix  $\theta = 2/q$  and choose  $r$  an integer so that  $r^{1-\theta} > 8C$ . Using the notation of [8] let  $(A_n, A_n^*)$  be an asymptotic biorthogonal system with constant at most  $r^{-2}$ . For each  $n$  let  $Z_n^*$  be a countable dense subset of  $A_n^*$  and let  $Z^* = \cup Z_n^*$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an injection from the collections of all finite sequences in  $Z^*$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\Gamma_r$  be the collection of all  $z^* \in \ell_2^*$  of the form  $z^* = \sum_{i=1}^r z_i^*$  where  $z_1^* \in Z_1^*$  and  $z_{i+1}^* \in Z_{\sigma(z_1^*, \dots, z_i^*)}^*$ .

Define a new equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|_Y$  on  $\ell_2$  by  $\|x\|_Y = \max(\|x\|, r \max\{|\langle x, z^* \rangle| : z^* \in \Gamma_r\})$ . Let  $Y = (\ell_2, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  and form the complex interpolation space  $X = [Y, \ell_2]_{\theta}$ .

Note first that  $X$  is  $\theta$ -Hilbertian ([18]) and so satisfies the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{2}(\|x + y\|_X^p + \|x - y\|_X^p) \leq \|x\|_X^p + \|y\|_X^p$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(\|x + y\|_X^q + \|x - y\|_X^q) \geq \|x\|_X^q + \|y\|_X^q$$

From this it follows easily that one has the appropriate estimates on the moduli of convexity and smoothness.

It remains to show that  $X$  has no  $C$ -unconditional basic sequence. To see this let  $E$  be any block subspace of  $X$ . Arguing as in [8] one constructs a block basis  $(z_1, \dots, z_r)$  normalized in  $\ell_2$  and  $z^* \in \Gamma_r$  so that  $|\langle \sum_{i=1}^r z_i, z^* \rangle| \geq r - 1$  and  $\|\sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i z_i\|_Y \leq 4r$ .

Now  $\|z^*\|_{Y^*} \leq r^{-1}$  and  $\|z^*\|_2 \leq r^{1/2}$ . Hence, by the duality theorem  $\|z^*\|_{X^*} \leq r^{(3\theta-2)/2}$ , whence it follows that  $\|\sum_{i=1}^r z_i\|_X \geq \frac{1}{2}r^{(4-3\theta)/2}$ . On the other hand  $\|\sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i z_i\|_2 \leq r^{1/2}$  so that  $\|\sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i z_i\|_X \leq 4^{1-\theta} r^{(2-\theta)/2}$ . It follows no basic sequence in  $X$  has unconditional basis constant better than  $\frac{1}{8}r^{1-\theta} > C$ .

*Remark.* In this theorem one cannot achieve a similar result with  $p = q = 2$ . For in this case it follows that  $X$  has bounded type two and cotype two constants (cf. [11] p. 77) and so by Kwapien's theorem ([10]) has bounded distance to Hilbert space.

#### REFERENCES

1. A.P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. **24** (1964), 113-190.
2. P.G. Casazza, W.B. Johnson and L. Tzafriri, *On Tsirelson's space*, Israel J. Math. **47** (1974), 191-218.
3. P.G. Casazza and T.J. Shura, *Tsirelson's space*, Springer Lecture Notes 1363, Springer, New York, 1988.
4. M. Cwikel, *Complex interpolation spaces, a discrete definition and reiteration*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **27** (1978), 1005-1009.
5. M. Daher, *Homéomorphismes uniformes entre les sphères unité des espaces d'interpolation*, C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **316** (1993), 1051-1054.
6. T. Figiel and W.B. Johnson, *A uniformly convex space which contains no  $\ell_p$* , Comp. Math. **29** (1974), 179-190.
7. W.T. Gowers, *A new dichotomy for Banach spaces*, preprint.
8. W.T. Gowers and B. Maurey, *The unconditional basic sequence problem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), 851-874.
9. N.J. Kalton, *Differentials of complex interpolation processes for Köthe function spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **333** (1992), 479-529.
10. S. Kwapien, *Isomorphic characterization of inner-product spaces by orthogonal series with vector-valued coefficients*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 583-595.
11. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II, Function spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
12. G.Y. Lozanovskii, *On some Banach lattices*, Siberian Math. J. **10** (1969), 419-430.
13. B. Maurey, *A remark about distortion*, preprint.
14. V.D. Milman and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Asymptotic  $\ell_p$ -spaces and bounded distortions*, Contemp. Math. **144** (1993), 173-195.
15. E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht, *The distortion of Hilbert space*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. **3** (1993), 201-217.
16. E. Odell and T. Schlumprecht, *The distortion problem*, preprint.
17. A. Pelczyński, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **19** (1960), 209-228.
18. G. Pisier, *Some applications of the complex interpolation method to Banach lattices*, J. d'Analyse Math. **35** (1979), 264-281.
19. H.P. Rosenthal, *On a theorem of Krivine concerning block finite representability of  $\ell_p$  in general Banach spaces*, J. Functional Analysis **28** (1978), 197-225.

20. T. Schlumprecht, *An arbitrarily distortable Banach space*, Israel J. Math. 76 (1991), 81-95.
21. T. Schlumprecht, *A complementably minimal space not containing  $c_0$  or  $\ell_p$* , preprint.
22. B.S. Tsirelson, *Not every Banach space contains an embedding of  $\ell_p$  or  $c_0$* , Funct. Anal. Appl. 8 (1974), 138-141.