

ON SPACES OF MEASURABLE VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

N. J. Kalton*

1. Introduction. Let K be a Polish space and let μ be a (finite) regular Borel measure on K . If X is an F -space (complete metrizable topological vector space) then we denote by $L_0(K, \mu; X)$ the space of all Borel functions $f: K \rightarrow X$ which are μ -essentially separable. After the standard identification of functions equal almost everywhere, $L_0(K, \mu; X)$ is an F -space under the topology of convergence in μ -measure. If X is F -normed by an F -norm $\|\cdot\|$ then $L_0(K, \mu; X)$ may be F -normed by

$$\|f\| = \int_K \min(1, \|f(s)\|) d\mu(s).$$

If $K = I$, the unit interval, and $\mu = \lambda$, Lebesgue measure on I , then we write $L_0(K, \lambda; X) = L_0(X)$. We write $L_0(K, \mu)$ for $L_0(K, \mu; \mathbf{R})$ and $L_0 = L_0(\mathbf{R})$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.1. *If X is an F -space such that $L_0(X)$ is isomorphic to L_0 , then X is isomorphic to $L_0(K, \mu)$ for some Polish space K and probability measure μ .*

The isomorphism class of the space $L_0(K, \mu)$ depends only on the nature of the atoms of μ . Hence Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to

THEOREM 1.2. *Let X be an F -space. Then $L_0(X)$ is isomorphic to L_0 if and only if X is isomorphic to one of the spaces: \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 1$), ω , L_0 , $L_0 \oplus \mathbf{R}^n$ ($n \geq 1$), $L_0 \oplus \omega$.*

Here ω is the space of all real sequences with the usual product topology.

By way of analogy we point out that for a Banach space the isomorphism of $L_1(X)$ and L_1 is equivalent to the fact that X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_1[0,1]$. It is an unresolved question in Banach space theory whether this implies that $X \cong L_1(K, \lambda; \mathbf{R})$ for some K and λ . However if X has the Radon-Nikodym Property, the Lewis-Stegall theorem implies that $X \cong \ell_1$ (see [5], [6]). Analogous

*Research supported in part by NSF grant MCS-8001852.

results for $L_p(X)$, $0 < p < 1$, were investigated in [2]. The approach here is similar although the details are necessarily rather different and the final result is more complete.

2. Preliminaries from measure theory. If μ is a measure then we use “mod μ ” to denote statements true up to sets of μ -measure zero.

If K is a Polish space, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(K)$ the collection of Borel subsets of K . Let μ be a measure on K and let $\phi: \mathcal{B}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a map. We shall say ϕ is a *sub- μ -homomorphism* if

$$(1) \phi(A_1 \cup A_2) = \phi(A_1) \cup \phi(A_2) \pmod{\mu},$$

for $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(I)$.

$$(2) \text{ Given } \epsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ so that } \lambda(A) < \delta \text{ implies } \mu(\phi(A)) < \epsilon.$$

We shall say that ϕ is a *μ -homomorphism* if it satisfies (1) and (2) and

$$(3) \phi(A_1 \cap A_2) = \emptyset \pmod{\mu}$$

for $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(I)$ with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

A standard result from measure theory, essentially given in Royden [7] Theorem 15.10 is

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $\phi: \mathcal{B}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ is a μ -homomorphism there is a Borel map $\sigma: K \rightarrow I$ so that*

$$\phi(A) \subset \sigma^{-1}(A) \pmod{\mu} \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(I).$$

(If $\phi(I) = K$ we obtain $\phi(A) = \sigma^{-1}(A) \pmod{\mu}$.)

We denote by $D(n,k)$ ($1 \leq h < \infty, 1 \leq k \leq 2^n$) the standard dyadic partition of I , i.e.,

$$D(n,k) = [(k-1)2^{-n}, k \cdot 2^{-n}) \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$$

$$D(n, 2^n) = [1 - 2^{-n}, 1].$$

The next lemma is essentially due to Kwapien [4] and is also essentially used in [3].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\phi: \mathcal{B}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be a sub- μ -homomorphism. There is a countable Borel partitioning $(B_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$ of K so that for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq 2^n$ the maps $\phi_{n,k}: \mathcal{B}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ are μ -homomorphisms where*

$$\phi_{n,k}(A) = \phi(A \cap D(n,k)) \cap B_n.$$

PROOF. Let $g_n \in L_0(K, \mu)$ be defined by

$$g_n = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} 1_{\phi(D(n,k))}.$$

Then $\{g_n\}$ is monotone increasing. If for $\epsilon > 0$ we choose $\delta > 0$ as in condition (2) above, then a calculation as in [3] (Justification of A3)) shows that if $2^n > \delta^{-1}$,

$$\int_0^1 (1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta) g_n(t) d\mu(t) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(t) = g(t) < \infty$ almost everywhere. Let

$$B_1 = \{t: g_1(t) = g(t)\} \cup \{t: g(t) = \infty\}$$

and

$$B_n = \{t: g_{n-1}(t) < g_n(t) = g(t)\} \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Then if $D(m,i)$ and $D(m,j)$ are contained in $D(n,k)$ and disjoint

$$1_{\phi(D(m,i))}(s) + 1_{\phi(D(m,j))}(s) \leq 1$$

whenever $g_n(s) = g(s)$. Hence

$$\phi_{n,k}(D(m,i)) \cap \phi_{n,k}(D(m,j)) = \emptyset \pmod{\mu}$$

and the conclusion of the lemma follows by a continuity argument using condition (2).

We shall say that a map $\sigma: K \rightarrow I$ is *anti-injective* if whenever $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ and $\sigma|_B$ is injective then $\mu(B) = 0$. The following lemma is proved in [2].

LEMMA 2.3. *If $\sigma: K \rightarrow I$ is anti-injective there is a compact metric space M , a diffuse measure π on M and a Borel map $\tau: K \rightarrow M$ so that*

- (i) *There is a Borel map $\rho: I \times M \rightarrow K$ so that $\rho(\sigma s, \tau s) = s \pmod{\mu}$.*
- (ii) *If $B \subset I$ and $C \subset M$ are Borel sets then*

$$\mu(\sigma^{-1}B)\pi(C) = \mu(\sigma^{-1}B \cap \tau^{-1}C).$$

3. Operators on $L_0(X)$. Let $T: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0(K, \mu; Y)$ be a linear operator. We associate to T a map $\phi = \phi_T: \mathcal{B}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$. We define $\phi(B)$ to be a Borel set of minimal μ -measure so that $\text{supp } f \subset B$ implies $\text{supp } Tf \subset \phi(B) \pmod{\mu}$ [$\text{supp } f = \{s: f(s) \neq 0\}$].

The following lemma is due to Kwapien [4].

LEMMA 3.1. *If $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L_0(K, \mu)$, then there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\text{supp}(\alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_n f_n) = \cup_{i=1}^n \text{supp } f_i \pmod{\mu}$.*

LEMMA 3.2. *If $T: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0(K, \mu)$ is a linear operator then ϕ_T is a*

sub- μ -homomorphism.

PROOF. For $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ so that $\|f\| \leq \delta$ implies $\|Tf\| \leq \epsilon$. If $\lambda(B) < \delta$ and $\text{supp } f \subset B$ then $\|T(mf)\| \leq \epsilon$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $\mu(\text{supp } f) \leq \epsilon$. Now by Lemma 3.1, $\mu(\phi(B)) \leq \epsilon$. It follows easily that ϕ_T is a sub- μ -homomorphism.

We shall say that $T: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0(K, \mu; Y)$ is *elementary* if $\text{supp } f \cap \text{supp } g = 0$ implies $\text{supp } Tf \cap \text{supp } Tg = \phi \pmod{\mu}$. In this case ϕ is a μ -homomorphism and hence there is a Borel map $\sigma: K \rightarrow I$ is that $\text{supp } Tf \subset \sigma^{-1}(\text{supp } f)$ for every $f \in L_0(X)$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $T: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0$ be a linear operator. Then there is a Polish space K , a probability measure μ on K and operators $T_1: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0(K, \mu)$, $V: L_0(K, \mu) \rightarrow L_0$ so that $VT_1 = T$ and T_1 is elementary.*

PROOF. Define K to be space of triples (s, n, k) where $s \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. Let μ be the measure on K defined by

$$\mu(B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{4^n} \lambda\{s: (s, n, k) \in B\}$$

for $B \in \mathcal{B}(K)$.

By Lemma 2.2 we can partition I into Borel sets (B_n) so that each $\phi_{n,k}$ is a μ -homomorphism, i.e., $P_{B_n} TP_{D(n,k)}$ is elementary for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. Here P_A is the natural projection on $L_0(M, \nu; X)$ defined by $P_A f = 1_A \cdot f$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}(M)$.

Define T_1 by

$$T_1 f(s, n, k) = P_{B_n} TP_{D(n,k)} f(s)$$

and $V: L_0(K, \mu) \rightarrow L_0$ by

$$Vf(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} f(s, n, k) \quad s \in B_n.$$

The lemma then follows.

4. Diagonal operators. An operator $T: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0(Y)$ is called *diagonal* if $\text{supp } Tf \subset \text{supp } f \pmod{\lambda}$.

THEOREM 4.1. *If X is separable and $T: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0(Y)$ is diagonal then there is a family of continuous linear operators $T_s: X \rightarrow Y$ ($s \in I$) so that*

$$Tf(s) = T_s(f(s)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

PROOF. For each $\delta > 0$ let

$$\rho(\delta) = \sup(\|Tf\|: \|f\| \leq \delta).$$

Select an increasing sequence F_n of finite-dimensional subspaces of X with $\cup F_n = X_0$ dense in X . Denote by $1 \otimes x$ the constant function $1 \otimes x(s) = x$ for all $s \in I$. Then it is possible to define linear maps $T_s: X_0 \rightarrow Y$ so that

$$T(1 \otimes x)(s) = T_s(x) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

for $x \in X_0$.

For each $\delta > 0$ choose $\{x_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a sequence in X_0 with $\|x_n\| \leq \delta$ so that the set $\{x_n: x_n \in F_k\}$ is dense in $F_k \cap \{x: \|x\| \leq \delta\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $f \in L_0(X)$ with $f(s) \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for all s so that

$$\|T_s(f(s))\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|T_s x_j\|.$$

By the fact that T is diagonal we have

$$Tf(s) = T_s(f(s)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Hence, since $\|f\| \leq \delta$,

$$\int_0^1 \min(1, \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|T_s x_j\|) d\lambda(s) \leq \rho(\delta).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_0^1 \min(1, \sup_j \|T_s x_j\|) d\lambda(s) \leq \rho(\delta).$$

Since each T_s is continuous on each F_k we have

$$\sup_j \|T_s x_j\| = \sup_{\substack{\|x\| \leq \delta \\ x \in X_0}} \|T_s x\| = \rho_s(\delta)$$

say. Thus

$$\int_0^1 \min(1, \rho_s(\delta)) d\lambda(s) \leq \rho(\delta).$$

Hence $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \rho_s(\delta) = 0$, a.e. and T_s is continuous on X_0 for almost every $s \in I$. We may redefine T_s on a set of measure zero so that $(T_s: s \in I)$ is continuous for all $s \in I$. For simple X_0 -valued functions

$$Tf(s) = T_s(f(s)) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

If $f \in L_0(X)$ there is a sequence f_n of X_0 -valued simple functions so that $f_n \rightarrow f$, a.e.

Thus (if we extend each T_s to X continuously)

$$Tf(s) = T_s(f(s)) \quad \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

5. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let $T: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0$ be an isomorphism. By Lemma 3.3 we can find a Polish space K with a probability measure μ and operators $T_1: L_0(X) \rightarrow L(K, \mu)$, $V: L_0(K, \mu) \rightarrow L_0$ so that T_1 is elementary and $T = VT_1$. Let $\sigma: K \rightarrow I$ be a Borel map so that $\text{supp } Tf \subset \sigma^{-1}(\text{supp } f)$ for $f \in L_0(X)$.

Since $L_0(X) \cong L_0$, X is isomorphic to a subspace of L_0 . Let $W: X \rightarrow L_0$ be an isomorphic embedding and let $\hat{W}: L_0(X) \rightarrow L_0(I \times I, \lambda \times \lambda)$ be the induced embedding, i.e.

$$(\hat{W}f)(s,t) = (Wf(s))(t), \quad s,t \in I.$$

We consider the map $Q = \hat{W}T^{-1}V$. By Kwapien's representation theorem [1], [4] we can write

$$Qf(s,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(s,t)f(\tau_n(s,t)) \quad (\lambda \times \lambda)\text{-a.e.}$$

where

(i) Each $a_n: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel function and the set $\{(s,t) a_n(s,t) \neq 0 \text{ infinitely often}\}$ has measure zero.

(ii) Each $\tau_n: I \times I \rightarrow K$ is a Borel map and if $B \subset K$ has $\mu(B) = 0$ then $\mu(\tau_n^{-1}B \cap \text{supp } a_n) = 0$.

Now consider $Q_n: L_0(K, \mu) \rightarrow L_0(I \times I, \lambda \times \lambda)$ defined by

$$Q_n = \hat{W} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} P_{D(n,k)} T_1^{-1} V P_{\sigma^{-1}D(n,k)}$$

Then we have $P_{\sigma^{-1}D(n,k)} T_1 = T_1 P_{D(n,k)}$ since T_1 is elementary and hence

$$Q_n T_1 = \hat{W}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Now if $s \in D(n,k)$

$$Q_n f(s,t) = \sum_{\sigma\tau_j(s,t) \in D(n,k)} a_j(s,t)f(\tau_j(s,t)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and hence as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n f(s,t) = \sum_{\sigma\tau_j(s,t)=s} a_j(s,t)f(\tau_j(s,t)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

In particular $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n f$ exists in $L_0(I \times I)$ and so there is an operator $U: L_0(K, \mu) \rightarrow L_0(X)$ defined by

$$Uf = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{W}^{-1} Q_n f \quad f \in L_0(K, \mu).$$

U is continuous by the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem. Furthermore if $\text{supp } f \subset \sigma^{-1}(B)$ for

$B \in \mathcal{B}(I)$ then $\text{supp } Uf \subset B$; this is easily established for sets $D(n,k)$ and follows for all B by continuity. We also have $UT_1 f = f$ for $f \in L_0(X)$.

Now by an exhaustion argument we can find disjoint compact subsets $(E_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$ of K so that $\sigma|_{E_n}$ is continuous and one-one for each n and $\sigma|_G$ is anti-injective where $G = K \setminus \cup E_n$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let ν_n be the induced measure on I from $\sigma: E_n \rightarrow I$, i.e.,

$$\nu_n(B) = \mu(\sigma^{-1}B \cap E_n).$$

Let $d\nu_n/d\lambda = \phi_n \in L_0$ and define $S_n: L_0(K, \mu) \rightarrow L_0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S_n f(t) &= \phi_n(t) f(\sigma^{-1}t) & t \in \sigma(E_n) \\ &= 0 & t \notin \sigma(E_n \cup \emptyset). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly S_n is continuous for each n .

For G we go back to Lemma 2.3 and find a compact metric space M with a diffuse measure π and a Borel map $\tau: G \rightarrow M$ so that conditions 2.3(i) and (ii) hold. For convenience of exposition we allow the case $\mu(G) = 0$ and $\pi = 0$.

Let ν_0 be the measure on I defined by

$$\nu_0(B) = \mu(\sigma^{-1}B \cap G),$$

and let $\phi_0 = d\nu_0/d\lambda$.

Define $S_0: L_0(K, \mu) \rightarrow L_0(I \times M, \lambda \times \pi)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 f(s,t) &= \phi_0(s) f(\rho(s,t)) & s \in \text{supp } \phi_0 \\ &= 0 & s \notin \text{supp } \phi_0. \end{aligned}$$

To show S_0 is continuous we suppose $B \subset K$ is a Borel set with $\mu(B) = 0$ and show $(\lambda \times \pi)(\rho^{-1}B \cap \text{supp } \phi_0) = 0$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int_{\rho^{-1}B} \phi_0(s) d\lambda(s) d\pi(t) &\leq \int \int_{\rho^{-1}B} d\nu_0(s) d\pi(t) \\ &= \mu((\sigma \times \tau)^{-1} \rho^{-1}B) \\ &\leq \mu(B) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now identify $L_0(I \times M, \lambda \times \pi)$ with $L_0(I, \lambda, L_0(M, \pi))$; we define $S: L_0(K, \mu) \rightarrow L_0(I, \lambda, L_0(M, \pi) \oplus \omega)$ by

$$Sf = (S_0f, (S_n f)_{n=1}^\infty).$$

For each $n \geq 0$ we choose a Borel subset A_n of I with $A_n \subset \text{supp } \phi_n$ so that

$$\int_{A_n} \phi_n d\lambda = \int_I \phi_n d\lambda = v_n(A_n)$$

(thus the singular part of v_n vanishes on A_n).

Let $C = \cup_{n=0}^\infty \sigma^{-1}(A_n)$. As the measure $B \mapsto \mu(\sigma^{-1}B \cap (K \setminus C))$ is λ -singular T_1 maps $L_0(X)$ into $L_0(C, \mu)$. The map S is injective on $L_0(C, \mu)$, since if $Sh = 0$ then $v_n(A_n \cap \text{supp } Sh) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and this implies $\mu(\text{supp } h) = 0$. In fact S maps $L_0(C, \mu)$ isomorphically onto the set of $g = (g_0, (g_n)_{n=1}^\infty) \in L_0(L_0 \oplus \omega)$ where $\text{supp } g_n \subset A_n \pmod{\lambda}$ ($0 \leq n < \infty$). Indeed $g = Sh$ where

$$\begin{aligned} h(s) &= \phi_n(\sigma s)^{-1} g_n(\sigma s) \quad s \in E_n \cap C \\ &= \phi_0(\sigma s)^{-1} g_0(\sigma s, \tau s) \quad s \in G \cap C. \end{aligned}$$

We define a projection P onto $R(S)$ by

$$Pg = (P_{A_0} g_0, P_{A_n} g_n).$$

Consider the composition $US^{-1}P: L_0(L_0 \oplus \omega) \rightarrow L_0(X)$. Here S^{-1} is the inverse of $S: L_0(C, \mu) \rightarrow R(S)$. Then $US^{-1}PST_1 f = UT_1 f = f$ for $f \in L_0(X)$ since $R(T_1) \subset L_0(C, \mu)$.

Furthermore both $US^{-1}P$ and ST_1 are diagonal. For $US^{-1}P$ note that $\text{supp } Pf \subset \text{supp } f$ and $\text{supp } S^{-1}Pf \subset \sigma^{-1}(\text{supp } f)$. The construction of U then yields the conclusion.

In order to show that ST_1 is diagonal it suffices to show that each $S_n T_1$ is diagonal. We shall demonstrate the case $n = 0$. Let $f \in L_0(X)$ and let $\text{supp } f = A$, $\text{supp } T_1 f = B$ and $\text{supp } ST_1 f = A_1$. Then $A_1 \subset \text{supp } \phi_0 \cap \{s: \pi(t; \rho(s, t) \in B) > 0\}$. Thus to show $\lambda(A_1 \setminus A) = 0$ we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I \setminus A} \phi_0(s) \pi(t: \rho(s, t) \in B) d\lambda(s) &\leq (v_0 \times \pi)(\rho^{-1}B \cap ((I \setminus A) \times M)) \\ &= \mu((B \cap \sigma^{-1}(I \setminus A)) \cap G) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $(v_0 \times \pi)(D) = \mu((\sigma \times \tau)^{-1}D)$ which follows from Lemma 2.3, and the definition of v_0 . Let

$$US^{-1}Pf(s) = J_s(f(s)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

$$ST_1f(s) = H_s(f(s)) \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

where $J_s: L_0(M, \pi) \oplus \omega \rightarrow X$ and $H_s: X \rightarrow L_0(M, \pi) \oplus \omega$ are continuous linear operators. Then for all $f \in L_0(X)$

$$J_s H_s f(s) = f(s) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Since X is separable there is an $s \in I$ so that

$$J_s H_s x = x \quad x \in X.$$

Thus X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace Y of $L_0 \oplus \omega$.

Let P be a projection on Y . Then $P(g, h) = (P_{11}g + P_{12}h, P_{22}h)$ where $P_{11}: L_0 \rightarrow L_0$, $P_{12}: \omega \rightarrow L_0$ and $P_{22}: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ are continuous operators; we use the fact that any operator from L_0 to ω is zero.

Here P_{11} and P_{22} are projections and the map $(g, h) \rightarrow (P_{11}g, P_{22}h)$ maps Y isomorphically onto $P_{11}(L_0) \oplus P_{22}(\omega)$. The inverse map sends (g, h) to $(g + P_{12}h, h)$, and $P_{11}P_{12}h = 0$ since $(P_{12}h, h) \in Y$. Now $P_{11}(L_0) \cong L_0$ or $\{0\}$ [1] and $P_{22}(\omega) \cong \omega$ or is finite-dimensional.

We have thus proved Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

1. N. J. Kalton, *The endomorphisms of L_p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$)*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 27(1978), 353-381.
2. ———, *Isomorphisms between L_p -function spaces when $p < 1$* , J. Func. Anal., 42(1981), 299-337.
3. N. J. Kalton, N. T. Peck and J. W. Roberts, *L_0 -valued measures are bounded*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 85(1982), 575-582.
4. S. Kwapien, *On the form of a linear operator in the space of all measurable functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., 21(1973), 951-954.
5. D. R. Lewis and C. Stegall, *Banach spaces whose duals are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{L}_1(\Gamma)$* , J. Func. Anal., 12(1973), 177-187.
6. H. P. Rosenthal, *The Banach spaces $C(K)$ and $L_p(\mu)$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 81(1975), 763-781.
7. H. L. Royden, *Real Analysis*, 2nd Edition, MacMillan, New York, 1968.

