

CONVEXITY CONDITIONS FOR NON-LOCALLY CONVEX LATTICES

by N. J. KALTON†

(Received 15 November, 1982)

1. Introduction. First we recall that a (real) quasi-Banach space X is a complete metrizable real vector space whose topology is given by a quasi-norm $x \rightarrow \|x\|$ satisfying

$$\|x\| > 0 \quad (x \in X, x \neq 0) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\| \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, x \in X) \quad (1.2)$$

$$\|x_1 + x_2\| \leq C(\|x_1\| + \|x_2\|) \quad (x_1, x_2 \in X), \quad (1.3)$$

where C is some constant independent of x_1 and x_2 . X is said to be p -normable (or topologically p -convex), where $0 < p \leq 1$, if for some constant B we have

$$\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\| \leq B(\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p)^{1/p} \quad (1.4)$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$. A theorem of Aoki and Rolewicz (see [18]) asserts that if in (1.3) $C = 2^{1/p-1}$, then X is p -normable. We can then equivalently re-norm X so that in (1.4) $B = 1$.

If in addition X is a vector lattice and $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$ whenever $|x| \leq |y|$ we say that X is a quasi-Banach lattice. As in the case of Banach lattices [13] we may make the following definitions.

We shall say that X satisfies an upper p -estimate if for some constant C and any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ we have

$$\| |x_1| \vee \dots \vee |x_n| \| \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (1.5)$$

We shall say that X is (lattice) p -convex if for some C and any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\| \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here the element $(|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p)^{1/p}$ ($0 < p < \infty$) of X can be defined unambiguously exactly as for the case of Banach lattices (cf. [13, pp 40–41] and Popa [17]).

For $0 < p \leq 1$ it is trivial to see that lattice p -convexity implies p -normability and p -normability implies the existence of an upper p -estimate. In the case $p = 1$, lattice 1-convexity is equivalent to normability (i.e. X is a Banach lattice). However Popa [17] observes that for $0 < p < 1$, the space “weak L_p ” $L(p, \infty)$ of measurable functions on $(0, 1)$

† Supported by NSF grant MCS-8001852.

such that

$$\|f\| = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} tm(|f| > t)^{1/p} < \infty$$

is p -normable but not lattice p -convex.

In this note we introduce the class of L -convex quasi-Banach lattices. We say that X is L -convex if there exists $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ so that if $u \in X_+$ with $\|u\| = 1$ and $0 \leq x_i \leq u$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) satisfy

$$\frac{1}{n}(x_1 + \dots + x_n) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)u,$$

then

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Roughly speaking, X is L -convex if its order-intervals are uniformly locally convex.

It turns out that most naturally arising function spaces are L -convex lattices (e.g. the L_p -spaces, Orlicz spaces, Lorentz spaces including the spaces $L(p, \infty)$ introduced above). However we shall give examples of non L -convex lattices. We shall show that X is L -convex if and only if X is lattice p -convex for some $p > 0$. If ℓ_∞ is not lattice finitely representable in X then X is necessarily L -convex. We also show that if X is a quasi-Banach lattice linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of an L -convex lattice then X is again L -convex.

L -convex lattices behave similarly to Banach lattices in many respects. For example if X is L -convex and satisfies an upper p -estimate, then X is lattice r -convex for any $r < p$ (compare [13], p. 85] and results of Maurey and Pisier [14], [16]). Also for $0 < p < 1$, if X is L -convex and satisfies an upper p -estimate, then X is p -normable. This is false for $p = 1$; $L(1, \infty)$ is a counter-example. However an analogous result for $1 < p < 2$ involving type due to Figiel and Johnson is given in [13, p. 88]. By contrast, in general if a quasi-Banach lattice satisfies an upper p -estimate, then it is q -normable, where $q^{-1} = p^{-1} + 1$ and this result is best possible.

2. L -convexity. Before proving our basic lemma, it will be convenient to introduce some terminology. Suppose X is a quasi-Banach lattice and $u \in X_+$ with $u \neq 0$. Then if we set $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [-nu, nu]$ Y is a sublattice of X ; if we select $[-u, u]$ as the unit ball of Y then Y is an abstract M -space, and by a well-known theorem of Kakutani ([13, p. 16], [19, p. 104]) there is a compact Hausdorff space Δ so that Y is isometrically lattice isomorphic to $C(\Delta)$. Thus we can induce a lattice homomorphism $J: C(\Delta) \rightarrow X$ so that J maps the unit ball of $C(\Delta)$ onto the order interval $[-u, u]$. We call J the Kakutani map associated to u .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X be an L -convex quasi-Banach lattice satisfying an upper p -estimate. Then*

(a) *if $0 < p < r$, there is a constant M so that if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum |x_i|^r \right)^{1/r} \right\| \leq M \left(\sum \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

(b) If $0 < r < p$ there is a constant M so that if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum |x_i|^r \right)^{1/r} \right\| \leq M \left(\sum \|x_i\|^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

Proof. We shall suppose $C < \infty$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ are chosen as in (1.5) and (1.7). Without loss of generality in both parts (a) and (b) we may assume $x_i \geq 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and that $\|u\| = 1$, where $u = (\sum |x_i|^r)^{1/r}$. Let $J : C(\Delta) \rightarrow X$ be the Kakutani map associated to u . Let $Jf_i = x_i$ where $0 \leq f_i \leq 1$. Choose $\tau > 0$ so that

$$1 - \exp(-\tau^{-r}) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon.$$

Let (Ω, P) be some probability space and let $(\xi_i : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ be independent positive random variables on Ω so that for each i

$$P(\xi_i > t) = t^{-r} \quad (t \geq 1).$$

If $s \in \Delta$ and if $\max f_i(s) \leq \tau$ then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max \xi_i f_i(s) > \tau) &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(\xi_i \leq \tau f_i(s)^{-1}) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \tau^{-r} f_i(s)^r) \\ &\geq 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(-\tau^{-r} f_i(s)^r) \\ &= 1 - \exp(-\tau^{-r}) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here we use the fact that $J((\sum f_i)^{1/r}) = (\sum |x_i|^r)^{1/r} = u = J1$, so that $\sum f_i(s)^r = 1$ for $s \in \Delta$.

Now (2.1) holds trivially if we suppose $\max f_i(s) > \tau$. Thus we conclude

$$\int_{\Omega} \max_{i \leq n} (\min(\xi_i(\omega) f_i(s), \tau)) dP(\omega) \geq \tau(1 - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon). \tag{2.2}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define ξ_{ik} ($1 \leq i \leq n$) by

$$\xi_{ik}(\omega) = \left(\frac{2^k}{m}\right)^{1/r} \left(\frac{2^k}{m}\right)^{1/r} \leq \xi_i(\omega) < \left(\frac{2^k}{m-1}\right)^{1/r}$$

for $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k$. Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{ik} = \xi_i$ a.e. and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the random variables $(\xi_{ik} : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ are independent and generate a finite algebra \mathcal{A}_n in Ω with 2^{kn} atoms each of probability 2^{-kn} . Set

$$g_k(s) = \int_{\Omega} \max_{i \leq n} (\min(\xi_{ik}(\omega) f_i(s), \tau)) dP(\omega).$$

Then $g_k \in C(\Delta)$ and the sequence g_k is monotone increasing. From (2.2) we deduce that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k(s) \geq \tau(1 - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon).$$

Now, by Dini's theorem, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $g_k(s) \geq \tau(1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)$ for every $s \in \Delta$. Suppose $A \in \mathcal{A}_k$ and $P(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$; then

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus A} \max_{i \leq n} (\min(\xi_{ik}(\omega) f_i(s), \tau)) dP(\omega) \geq \tau(1 - \varepsilon).$$

This implies that $(1 - \varepsilon)u$ is dominated by an average of the finitely many distinct values of $(\tau^{-1} \max_{i \leq n} \xi_{ik}(\omega) x_i) \wedge u$. Thus

$$\max_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus A} \left\| \max_{i \leq n} \xi_{ik}(\omega) x_i \right\| \geq \tau\varepsilon$$

from the definition of L -convexity (equation (1.7)). Hence

$$P\left(\left\| \max_{i \leq n} \xi_i(\omega) x_i \right\| \geq \tau\varepsilon\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Since X satisfies an upper p -estimate,

$$P\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i(\omega)|^p \|x_i\|^p\right)^{1/p} \geq C^{-1}\tau\varepsilon\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

Now we consider two cases. In case (a) if $0 < p < r$ then

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i(\omega)|^p \|x_i\|^p dP(\omega) \geq \frac{1}{2}C^{-p}\tau^p\varepsilon^{p+1}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\xi_i|^p dP = B < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \geq \frac{1}{2}B^{-1}C^{-p}\tau^p\varepsilon^{p+1}$$

so that (a) follows.

In case (b) pick $\alpha > 1$ so that $r\alpha > p$. Let $\eta_i = \xi_i^{p/\alpha}$ so that $P(\eta_i > t) = t^{-r\alpha/p}$ for $t \geq 1$. By Lemma 1.f.8 of [13, p. 86] there is a constant B so that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^\alpha \eta_i^\alpha\right)^{1/\alpha} dP \leq B \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{(r\alpha)/p}\right)^{p/r\alpha}$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 0$. Now, for δ depending only on C and ε ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\eta_i(\omega)|^\alpha (\|x_i\|^{p/\alpha})^\alpha\right)^{1/\alpha} dP \geq \delta$$

and so

$$B \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^r\right)^{p/r\alpha} \geq \delta.$$

Thus (b) follows.

The next theorem should be compared with the Banach lattice case (Theorem 1.f.7 of [13, p. 85]).

THEOREM 2.2. *Let X be a quasi-Banach lattice satisfying an upper p -estimate. Then the following conditions on X are equivalent:*

- (i) X is L -convex
- (ii) X is lattice r -convex for some $r > 0$.
- (iii) X is lattice r -convex for every r , $0 < r < p$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii): This is simply Lemma 2.1 (b).

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): This is immediate.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): We assume $r < 1$. Suppose $0 \leq x_i \leq u$ where $\|u\| = 1$ and that

$$\frac{1}{n} (x_1 + \dots + x_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}u.$$

Then

$$(x_1 + \dots + x_n) \leq u^{1-r} (x_1^r + \dots + x_n^r),$$

where the right-hand side is well-defined in X , cf. [12, pp. 41–43]. Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}nu \leq u^{1-r} (x_1^r + \dots + x_n^r)$$

and so

$$(x_1^r + \dots + x_n^r)^{1/r} \geq (\frac{1}{2}n)u.$$

Thus

$$(\frac{1}{2}n)^{1/r} \leq C \left(\sum \|x_i\|^r \right)^{1/r}$$

so that

$$\max_{i \leq n} \|x_i\| \geq (\frac{1}{2})^{1/r} C^{-1}.$$

If $r \geq 1$ the argument is simpler, since

$$(x_1^r + \dots + x_n^r)^{1/r} \geq n^{1/r-1} (x_1 + \dots + x_n).$$

THEOREM 2.3. *Let X be a quasi Banach lattice satisfying an upper p -estimate where $0 < p < \infty$. Then*

- (i) X is q -normable where $1/q = 1/p + 1$;
- (ii) if $0 < p < 1$ and X is L -convex, then X is p -normable;
- (iii) if $1 < p < \infty$ and X is L -convex, then X is a Banach lattice.

Proof. (i) We suppose (1.5) holds. Suppose $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X_+$ and $u = x_1 + \dots + x_n$. Let $\sigma = (\|x_1\|^q + \dots + \|x_n\|^q)^{1/q}$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &\leq \left\| \max_{i \leq n} \sigma^q \|x_i\|^{-q} x_i \right\| \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^{pq} \|x_i\|^{-pq} \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= C \sigma^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^q \right)^{1/p} = C \sigma^{q+q/p} = C \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) This is simply Lemma 2.1 (a) with $r = 1$
 (iii) By Theorem 2.2 X is lattice 1-convex i.e. a Banach lattice.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of subsets of some set Ω and let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a normalized submeasure, i.e. ϕ is a set-function satisfying $\phi(\emptyset) = 0$, $\phi(A) \leq \phi(A \cup B) \leq \phi(A) + \phi(B)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi(\Omega) = 1$. From ϕ we can construct a quasi-Banach lattice $L_p(\phi)$ satisfying an upper p -estimate for $0 < p < \infty$. If $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a simple \mathcal{A} -measurable function we define

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^\infty \phi(|f| \geq t^{1/p}) dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a quasi-norm; indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\|_p^p &= \int_0^\infty \phi(|f + g| \geq t^{1/p}) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \phi(|f| \geq \frac{1}{2}t^{1/p}) dt + \int_0^\infty \phi(|g| \geq \frac{1}{2}t^{1/p}) dt \\ &\leq 2^p (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\|_p &\leq 2^{1/p} (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) \quad (0 < p \leq 1), \\ \|f + g\|_p &\leq 2 (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) \quad (1 \leq p < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The completion of the simple functions $S(\mathcal{A})$ with this quasi-norm is a quasi-Banach lattice $L_p(\phi)$ satisfying an upper p -estimate.

Suppose now ϕ is *pathological* ([3], [4]), that is so that whenever $0 \leq \lambda \leq \phi$ and λ is additive then $\lambda = 0$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $\phi(E_i) \leq \varepsilon$ but $1/n \sum 1_{E_i} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)1_\Omega$ ([3]). It follows quickly that $L_p(\phi)$ is not L -convex.

Furthermore (Talagrand [20]) ϕ can be chosen so that for every n there exist $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\phi(E_i) \leq n^{-1}$ and $1/n \sum 1_{E_i} \geq \frac{1}{2}1_\Omega$. Suppose $L_p(\phi)$ is q -normable. Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{C}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|1_{E_i}\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} = Cn^{1/q - 1/p - 1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Hence $1/q \geq 1/p + 1$ so that Theorem 2.3 (a) is best possible.

By way of contrast we observe that the space $L(p, \infty)$ is L -convex for $0 < p < 1$. In fact if $0 < r < p$, $L(p, \infty) = \{f : |f|^r \in L(pr^{-1}, \infty)\}$ and $L(pr^{-1}, \infty)$ is a Banach lattice, i.e. is locally convex (see [5]). Hence $L(p, \infty)$ is lattice r -convex for $0 < r < p$. As $L(p, \infty)$ satisfies an upper p -estimate, it is p -normable (see [8]).

3. Some applications of a theorem of Bennett and Maurey. In this section we show how a deep factorization theorem of Bennett and Maurey ([1], [2], [15]) can be used to extend a result of Krivine [12] on operators between Banach lattices (cf. [13, p. 93]). This

latter result is of considerable importance in studying operators between function spaces (see [10]).

We start by stating that Bennett-Maurey theorem (see [1] or [2] for this statement).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $0 < p < 1$ be fixed. Then there is a constant $C = C(p)$ so that whenever $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T: \ell_\infty^m \rightarrow \ell_p^n$ is a linear operator then there is a positive $D: \ell_p^n \rightarrow \ell_1^n$ given by $D(\xi_j) = (d_j \xi_j)$ so that $\|DT\| \leq \|T\|$ and $\sum d_j^{(-p/1-p)} \leq C$.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *Suppose $0 < p < 1$. Then there is a constant $B = B(p)$ so that if Δ, K are compact Hausdorff spaces, μ is a probability measure on K and $T: C(\Delta) \rightarrow L_p(K, \mu)$ is a bounded linear operator, then for $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(\Delta)$, we have*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |Tf_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq B \|T\| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|.$$

Proof. Exactly as step 2 of Theorem 1.f.14 of [1, p. 92] this can be reduced to consideration of a map $T: \ell_\infty^m \rightarrow \ell_p^n$. Now by Theorem 3.1 we can find $D: \ell_p^n \rightarrow \ell_1^n$ so that $\|DT\| \leq \|T\|$ and $D(\xi_j) = (d_j \xi_j)$ where $\sum d_j^{(-p/1-p)} \leq C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(\sum |Tf_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p^p &= \left\| D^{-1} \left(\sum |DTf_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq \left(\sum d_j^{(-p/1-p)} \right)^{1-p} \left\| \left(\sum |f_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_1^p \\ &\leq C^{1-p} K_G^p \left\| \left(\sum |DTf_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_\infty^p, \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 1.f.14 of [13]. Let $B = C^{1/p-1} K_G$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let Y be an L -convex quasi-Banach lattice. Then there is a constant A depending only on Y so that whenever X is a quasi-Banach lattice and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a bounded linear operator then for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |Tx_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \leq A \|T\| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|$$

Proof. First we observe that Y is lattice p -convex for some $p > 0$ and hence satisfies (1.6) for some C .

If $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ let $v = (\sum |Tx_i|^2)^{1/2}$ and $u = (\sum |x_i|^2)^{1/2}$. We may suppose $u, v \neq 0$. Let $J_u: C(\Delta_u) \rightarrow X$ and $J_v: C(\Delta_v) \rightarrow Y$ be associated Kakutani maps.

If $f_1, \dots, f_m \in C(\Delta_v)$,

$$\left\| J_v \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\| \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|J_v f_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

As J_v is positive this implies that for some $s \in K$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m |f_i(s)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C \|v\|^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|J_v f_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now by a standard Hahn-Banach separation argument there is a probability measure μ on Δ_v so that for $f \in C(\Delta_v)$,

$$\int_{\Delta_v} |f|^p d\mu \leq C^p \|v\|^{-p} \|J_v f\|^p.$$

For $x \in X_+$ define $Sx \in L_p(\Delta_v, \mu)$ by

$$Sx = \sup_n J^{-1}(x \wedge nv)$$

and extend S linearly. Then S is a lattice-homomorphism and $\|S\| \leq C\|v\|^{-1}$.

Now consider $STJ_u : C(\Delta_u) \rightarrow L_p(\Delta_v, \mu)$. By Theorem 3.2, if $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(\Delta_u)$ are chosen so that $J_u f_i = x_i$,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |STJ_u f_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq B \|STJ_u\| \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|,$$

where B depends only on p .

Now, since S is a lattice-homomorphism,

$$\left\| \left(\sum |STJ_u f_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p = \left\| S \left(\sum |Tx_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| = \|Sv\| = 1.$$

On the other hand $(\sum |f_i|^2)^{1/2} = 1$ and so

$$1 \leq B \|STJ_u\| \leq BC \|v\|^{-1} \|T\| \|u\|$$

so that

$$\|v\| \leq A \|T\| \|u\|,$$

where $A = BC$.

Applying Theorem 3.3 in the case $X = \ell_\infty^n$ we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Suppose Y is an L -convex quasi-Banach lattice. Then there is a constant A so that if $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ then*

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \leq A \sup_{|a_i| \leq 1} \|a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_n y_n\|.$$

Proof. Apply the theorem to the map $T : \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow Y$ given by $Te_i = y_i$, where $\{e_i\}$ are the basis vectors in ℓ_∞^n .

EXAMPLE 3.5. We do not know whether the conclusions of Theorem 3.3 or Corollary 3.4 characterize L -convex lattices. However we can give an example to show that both are false without the L -convexity assumption.

Our example will be of the form of an ℓ_∞ -product of spaces of the type $L_1(\phi_n)$, where each ϕ_n is a submeasure. We then need only produce ϕ_n to show that there is no uniform constant A valid for each n .

Let S^{n-1} be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n i.e.

$$S^{n-1} = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) : \xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2 = 1\}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra of all subsets of S^{n-1} .

If $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a \neq 0$ let $B_a \in \mathcal{A}$ be defined by $B_a = \{\xi : a \cdot \xi \neq 0\}$. For any set $a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(n-1)} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ so that $a^{(1)} \cdot \xi = \dots = a^{(n-1)} \cdot \xi = 0$ so that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} B_{a^{(j)}} \neq S^{n-1}$. Define $\phi_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi_n(A) = \frac{1}{n} \inf \left\{ k : A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k B_{a^{(j)}} \right\}.$$

Then ϕ_n is a normalized submeasure.

Let $f_i(\xi) = \xi_j$. Then if $|a_i| \leq 1$, $|a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_n f_n| \leq \sqrt{n} 1_{B(a)}$. Hence

$$\|a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_n f_n\| \leq \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n} = n^{-1/2}.$$

However $(f_1^2 + \dots + f_n^2)^{1/2} \equiv 1$ and $\|1\| = 1$.

4. Further conditions for L-convexity. Our first result in this section shows that a wide class of quasi-Banach lattices are automatically *L-convex*. We say that ℓ_∞ is *lattice finitely representable* in X if given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $x_i \geq 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) so that $x_i \wedge x_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$), $\|x_i\| = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and whenever $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|.$$

If ℓ_∞ is not lattice finitely representable in X , then there exists $c > 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any sequence (x_1, \dots, x_n) of disjoint elements we have

$$\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\| \geq c \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|.$$

It then follows quickly by induction that for every $d > 1$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for disjoint x_1, \dots, x_N ,

$$\|x_1 + \dots + x_N\| \geq d \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|x_i\|.$$

We remark that if F is an Orlicz function satisfying the Δ_2 -condition then ℓ_∞ is not lattice finitely representable in the Orlicz space $L_F(0, 1)$; equally ℓ_∞ is not lattice finitely representable in the Lorentz space $L(p, q)$ if $0 < q < \infty$ (cf. [5]).

THEOREM 4.1. *Let X be a quasi-Banach lattice such that ℓ_∞ is not lattice finitely representable in X . Then X is L-convex.*

Proof. We can and do suppose X is p -normed; that is for suitable $0 < p < 1$

$$\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\| \leq (\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p)^{1/p},$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any sequence of disjoint elements (x_1, \dots, x_N) we have

$$\|x_1 + \dots + x_N\| \geq 6^{1/p} \min_{i \leq N} \|x_i\|.$$

Then fix ε , $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ so that $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{4})^{1/p}$ and $\varepsilon < (1/32)e^{-2}N^{-1}$. Suppose that $u \in X_+$, with $0 \leq x_i \leq u$ and $(1/m)(x_1 + \dots + x_m) \geq (1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)u$.

Let $J: C(\Delta) \rightarrow X$ be the Kakutani map associated to u . We claim first that J is exhaustive; that is if $\{f_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a uniformly bounded disjoint sequence in $C(\Delta)$ then $Jf_i \rightarrow 0$. This follows easily from the hypothesis on X . Now by a theorem of Thomas [29] (cf. also [7], [9]), there is a regular X -valued measure μ defined on the Borel sets β of Δ so that

$$Jf = \int f d\mu \quad (f \in C(\Delta)).$$

We remark that $\mu(\beta)$ is bounded and so there is no difficulty in defining the integral of any bounded Borel function. It is easy to see that $\mu(\Delta) = u$ and μ is monotone; that is $0 \leq \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ whenever $A \subset B$.

Let $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\phi(A) = \|\mu(A)\|^p$. Then ϕ is a submeasure. We shall show that ϕ satisfies the hypotheses of [11, Lemma 3.1]. If A_1, \dots, A_N are disjoint sets, then $\mu(A_1), \dots, \mu(A_N)$ are disjoint in X and so

$$1 \geq \|\mu(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N)\|^p \geq 6 \min_i \|\mu(A_i)\|^p,$$

so that $\min \phi(A_i) \leq \frac{1}{6}$.

Hence if A_1, \dots, A_n are disjoint, then, as required,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(A_i) \leq N + \frac{1}{6}n. \quad (3.1)$$

Choose g_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) so that $Jg_i = x_i$. Let $B_i = \{g_i \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{B_i} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)1_\Delta.$$

From Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.3 of [11] we deduce (taking $r=3$ in the statement of the lemma)

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \phi(B_i) \geq 1 - 3 \cdot \frac{1}{6} - N(2e^2)^{1/2} \varepsilon^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

so that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \phi(B_i) \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Hence

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|x_i\| \geq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{4})^{1/p} \geq \varepsilon,$$

so that X is L -convex.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let Y be an L -convex quasi-Banach lattice and let X be a quasi-Banach lattice linearly homeomorphic to a subspace of Y . Then X is L -convex.*

Proof. We shall suppose Y is lattice p -convex for some p , $0 < p \leq 1$ satisfying equation (1.6), i.e.

$$\left\| \left(\sum |y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\| \leq C \left(\sum \|y_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$. We also suppose that the conclusion of Theorem 3.3 holds with constant $A < \infty$. Let $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator so that

$$B^{-1}\|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq B\|x\| \quad (x \in X),$$

for some constant $B < \infty$.

If X is not L -convex, then given $\delta > 0$ we can find $u \in X_+$ with $\|u\| = 1$ and $0 \leq x_i \leq u$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) so that $(1/n)(x_1 + \dots + x_n) \geq (1 - \delta)u$ and $\|x_i\| \leq \delta$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

Let $y_i = Tx_i$. Then

$$\left\| \left(\sum |y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\| \leq C \left(\sum \|y_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq CB \left(\sum \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq CBn^{1/p}\delta.$$

On the other hand

$$\left\| \left(\sum |y_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \leq A \left\| \left(\sum |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| \leq An^{1/2}\|u\| = An^{1/2}.$$

Let $v_1 = \delta^{-1}n^{-1/p}(\sum |y_i|^p)^{1/p}$ and $v_2 = n^{-1/2}(\sum |y_i|^2)^{1/2}$. Let $\theta = p(2-p)^{-1}$. Then

$$\delta^{-\theta}n^{-1} \sum |y_i| \leq v_1^\theta v_2^{1-\theta}.$$

[This is easily seen by using a Kakutani map to represent the elements of Y as functions.]
Hence

$$n^{-1} \sum |y_i| \leq \delta^\theta (\theta v_1 + (1 - \theta)v_2) \leq \delta^\theta (v_1 + v_2)$$

and so if C' is the constant occurring in equation (1.3) for quasi-norms,

$$\left\| n^{-1} \sum |y_i| \right\| \leq \delta^\theta C'(A + CB).$$

Now

$$\left\| \sum |y_i| \right\| = \left\| \sum |Tx_i| \right\| \geq \left\| T \left(\sum x_i \right) \right\| \geq B^{-1} \left\| \sum x_i \right\|.$$

Hence

$$(1 - \delta) \leq \delta^\theta BC'(A + CB).$$

For small enough δ this is a contradiction and so X is L -convex.

Conjecture. If Y is lattice p -convex where $0 < p < 1$, then X is lattice p -convex.

We remark that the conjecture is true for $p = 1$ trivially and for $0 < p < 2$, if we assume ℓ_∞ is not lattice finitely representable in X . The proof of this latter statement is the

same as of Theorem 1.d.7 of [12, p. 51] (see also Johnson, Maurey, Schechtman and Tzafriri [6]).

REFERENCES

1. G. Bennett, An extension of the Riesz-Thorin theorem in *Banach spaces of analytic functions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics No 604 (Springer-Verlag, 1977).
2. G. Bennett, Lectures on matrix transformations of ℓ^p spaces, in *Notes in Banach spaces* (H. E. Lacey, ed.) (University of Texas Press, Austin, Texas, 1980).
3. J. P. R. Christensen, Some results with relation to the control measure problem, in *Vector space measures and applications II*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics No 645 (Springer-Verlag, 1978).
4. J. P. R. Christensen and W. Herer, On the existence of pathological submeasures and the construction of exotic topological groups, *Math. Ann.* **213** (1975), 203–210.
5. R. A. Hunt, On $L(p, q)$ spaces, *Enseignement Math.* **12** (1966), 249–274.
6. W. B. Johnson, B. Maurey, G. Schechtman and L. Tzafriri, *Symmetric structures in Banach spaces*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* No. 217 (Providence, 1979).
7. N. J. Kalton, Topologies on Riesz groups with applications to measure theory, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **28** (1974), 253–273.
8. N. J. Kalton, Linear operators on L_p for $0 < p < 1$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **259** (1980), 319–355.
9. N. J. Kalton, Isomorphisms between spaces of vector-valued continuous functions, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **26** (1983), 29–48.
10. N. J. Kalton, Representations of operators between function spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* to appear.
11. N. J. Kalton and J. W. Roberts, Uniformly exhaustive submeasures and nearly additive set functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278** (1983), 803–816.
12. J. L. Krivine, Théorèmes de factorisation dans les espaces réticules, *Seminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1973–4*, Exposés 22–23, Ecole Polytechnique (Paris).
13. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II*, *Function spaces* (Springer-Verlag, 1979).
14. B. Maurey, Type et cotype dans les espaces munis de structure locales inconditionnelles, *Seminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1973–4*, Exposés 24–25 Ecole Polytechnique (Paris).
15. B. Maurey *Théorèmes de factorisation pour les operateurs linéaires à valeurs dans un espace L_p* (Asterisque No 11, 1974).
16. B. Maurey and G. Pisier, Series de variables aleatoires vectorielles independantes et propriétés geometriques des espaces de Banach, *Studia Math.* **58** (1976), 45–90.
17. N. Popa, Uniqueness of symmetric structures in $L_p(\mu)$ for $0 < p < 1$, *Rev. Roumaine. Math. Pures. Appl.* to appear.
18. S. Rolewicz, *Metric linear spaces* (PWN, Warsaw 1972).
19. H. H. Schaefer, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, (Springer-Verlag, 1974).
20. M. Talagrand, A simple example of a pathological submeasure, *Math. Ann.* **252** (1980), 97–102.
21. G. E. F. Thomas, On Radon maps with values in arbitrary topological vector spaces and their integral extension (unpublished paper, 1972).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65211