

## SUMS OF IDEMPOTENTS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

BY  
N. J. KALTON

ABSTRACT. We prove that the sum of two idempotents is in a Banach algebra is itself an idempotent if and only if it is power-bounded.

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and let  $p$  and  $q$  be idempotents in  $A$ . It is very easy to show that  $p + q$  will be an idempotent if and only if  $pq = qp = 0$ . This note is motivated by the observation that these conditions are also equivalent to the condition  $(p + q)^3 = p + q$ ; this may be established by easy algebraic arguments.

In fact if  $n \geq 3$  then  $p + q$  is an idempotent if  $(p + q)^n = p + q$ . The author first established a proof for the case when  $A$  is finite-dimensional, which is essentially reproduced below in the first proof of our main theorem. Subsequently M. Hochster pointed out to the author that if  $(p + q)^n = p + q$  then the algebra generated by  $p$  and  $q$  is always finite-dimensional, so that this also establishes the general case.

In this note we extend these ideas by replacing the condition  $(p + q)^n = p + q$  by the weaker hypothesis that  $\|(p + q)^m\|: m \in \mathbf{N}$  is bounded. We give first the proof for finite-dimensional algebras which suggested the result and then give a proof for arbitrary Banach algebras. We shall assume, without loss of generality, that all algebras are over the complex numbers and have identities.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and that  $p, q \in A$  are idempotents. Then  $p + q$  is an idempotent if (and only if)*

$$\sup_m \|(p + q)^m\| < \infty.$$

**PROOF FOR A FINITE-DIMENSIONAL.** We may suppose that  $p$  and  $q$  are  $(n \times n)$ -matrices. The hypothesis on  $p + q$  implies that every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $(p + q)$  satisfies  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . However the trace of  $p + q$ ,  $\tau(p + q) = \tau(p) + \tau(q)$  and the rank of  $p + q$  satisfies  $r(p + q) \leq r(p) + r(q)$ . Hence  $r(p + q) \leq \tau(p + q)$  so that every eigenvalue of  $p + q$  is either one or zero.

---

Received by the editors April 1, 1987.  
Research supported by NSF grant DMS-8601401.  
AMS Subject Classification (1980): 46H05.  
Canadian Mathematical Society 1987.

Now consider the Jordan normal form for  $p + q$ . We can write  $p + q = d + h$  where  $d$  is an idempotent,  $h$  is nilpotent and  $dh = hd$ . Note that if  $h^s = 0$

$$(d + h)^m = d \left( 1 + \binom{m}{1}h + \binom{m}{2}h^2 + \dots + \binom{m}{s-1}h^{s-1} \right)$$

for  $m \geq s$ . Hence, as this sequence is bounded,  $dh = hd = 0$ . But then  $r(h) + r(d) = r(h + d) = r(p + q) \leq r(p) + r(q) = \tau(p) + \tau(q) = \tau(p + q) = \tau(d) = r(d)$ . Thus  $h = 0$  and  $p + q$  is an idempotent.

**PROOF FOR THE GENERAL CASE.** We shall show that  $pq = 0$ . It will then follow by the same argument that  $qp = 0$  and hence  $p + q$  is an idempotent.

Let  $B$  be the commutative Banach algebra generated by the identity and  $pq$ . We adjoin to  $B$  a square-root  $\xi$  for  $pq$  to form  $B_0$ . Precisely let  $B_0$  be the commutative algebra of all formal sums  $(b_1 + b_2\xi)$  where  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ . We choose any  $\theta > \sqrt{\|pq\|}$  and norm  $B_0$  by

$$\|b_1 + b_2\xi\| = \|b_1\| + \theta\|b_2\|.$$

It is readily verified that  $B_0$  is then a Banach algebra.

Now by hypothesis there exists  $M$  so that for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\|p(p + q)^m q\| \leq M.$$

In fact  $p(p + q)^m q$  is a polynomial in  $pq$ . Let

$$p(p + q)^m q = \sum_{r=1}^m \alpha_{mr}(pq)^r.$$

Then  $\alpha_{mr}$  is the number of integer solutions for

$$S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_{2r} = m$$

where  $S_1 \geq 0, S_{2r} \geq 0$  and  $S_i \geq 1$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, 2r - 1$ . This in turn is the coefficient of  $x^m$  in the expansion of  $x^{2r-2}(1 - x)^{-2r}$ .

Thus  $\alpha_{mr} = 0$  if  $2r > m + 2$  and otherwise

$$\alpha_{mr} = \binom{m + 1}{m - 2r + 2} = \binom{m + 1}{2r - 1}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p(p + q)^m q &= \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 1} \binom{m + 1}{2r - 1} \xi^{2r} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \xi \left( (1 + \xi)^{m+1} - (1 - \xi)^{m+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2} \xi ( (1 + \xi)^m - (1 - \xi)^m ) \right\| \leq M \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $\psi$  be any multiplicative linear functional on  $B_0$ . Suppose  $\psi(\xi) = \lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ . Then  $\{ \lambda ( (1 + \lambda)^m - (1 - \lambda)^m ) \}_{m=0}^\infty$  is bounded and hence  $\lambda = 0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi^m\|^{1/m} = 0$$

and from this we have that if  $\delta > 0$  there is a constant  $C_\delta$  so that for all  $z \in \mathbf{C}$

$$\|\exp(z\xi)\| \leq C_\delta e^{\delta|z|}.$$

If  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$  then

$$\left\| \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{t^m}{m!} \left( \frac{1}{2} \xi (1 + \xi)^m - \frac{1}{2} \xi (1 - \xi)^m \right) \right\| \leq Me^t$$

i.e.

$$\|\xi e^t \sinh(t\xi)\| \leq Me^t$$

and hence if  $-\infty < t < \infty$ ,

$$\|\xi \sinh(t\xi)\| \leq M.$$

We also note that for  $\delta > 0$

$$\|\xi \sinh(z\xi)\| \leq C_\delta e^{\delta|z|} \|\xi\|.$$

Thus if  $\phi \in B_0^*$  the entire function

$$F(z) = \phi(\xi \sinh(z\xi))$$

is constant by Theorem 6.2.14 of Boas [1], since it is of exponential type zero and is bounded on the real axis. In fact, in our circumstances, this is also a simple consequence of Theorem 1.4.3 of [1], which will imply  $F$  is bounded in both the upper and lower half-planes. In particular, we conclude that  $\phi(\xi^2) = 0$ . Hence by the Hahn-Banach theorem,  $\xi^2 = 0$ , i.e.  $pq = 0$  as required.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS.** We observe that it is impossible to replace the hypothesis  $\sup \| (p + q)^n \| < \infty$  by the weaker hypothesis that the spectral radius  $\lim \| (p + q)^n \|^{1/n} \leq 1$ . To see this simply take  $p$  and  $q$  as the  $2 \times 2$ -matrices

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also let us note that in the proof given above, we borrowed some ideas from the theory of numerical ranges (cf. [2], p. 51).

We would like to thank several mathematicians for enlightening discussions on this question over the years, including J. Duncan, who pointed out a simplification of our argument using [1], R. J. Hindley, G. V. Wood, I. J. Papick and M. Hochster.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
2. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and Elements of Normed Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 2, Cambridge, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA  
COLUMBIA, MO. 65211

•